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#### Abstract

Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a compact projective manifold and $A u t\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ its group of automorphisms. The purpose of this paper is to study the topological properties of $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ if $\left.\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)\right)$ is not discrete by applying the results of [13] and the Benzekri's functor which associates to a projective manifold a radiant affine manifold. This enables us to show that the orbits of the connected component of $A u t\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ are immersed projective submanifolds. We also classify 3-dimensional compact projective manifolds such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)\right) \geq 2$.
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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the group of automorphisms of projective manifolds. Firstly we recall the definition of $(X, G)$ manifolds, their group of automorphisms and morphisms between $(X, G)$-structures. We applied the results described in the general framework of $(X, G)$-manifolds to the category of affine manifolds and projective manifolds. Benzekri has constructed a functor which associates to a projective manifold $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ a radiant affine manifold $\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ whose underlying topological space is $M \times S^{1}$. It enables us to show that there exists a surjective morphism between the connected component $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ of the group of affine automorphisms of $\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ and the connected component $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ of the group of projective automorphisms of $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$.

Let $\left(M, \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a compact affine manifold, in [13], I have studied the relations between $A u t\left(M, \nabla_{M}\right)$ and the topology of $M$. This enables us to show that the orbits of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ are projective immersed submanifolds. In the last section, we study the automorphisms group of 2 and 3 dimensional projective manifolds. We remark that a 2 -dimensional projective manifold whose group of automorphisms is not discrete is homeomorphic to the sphere, the 2-dimensional projective space or the two dimensional torus. Finally we show that a 3 -dimensional projective manifold $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ whose developing map is injective and such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right) \geq 2\right.$ is homeomorphic to a spherical manifold, $S^{2} \times S^{1}$, or a finite cover of $M$ is the total space of a torus bundle.

Remark that $(X, G)$ manifolds play an important role in low dimensional topology: seven of the eight geometry of Thurston are examples of projective geometry (see Cooper and Goldman [8] p. 1220). In [12] p.17, Sullivan and Thurston note that the existence of a $(X, G)$-structure on every 3-manifold implies the Poincare conjecture.
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## 2. $(X, G)$-manifolds

A $(X, G)$ model is a finite dimensional differentiable manifold $X$, endowed with an effective and transitive action of a Lie group $G$ which satisfies the unique extension property. This is equivalent to saying that: two elements $g, g^{\prime}$ of $G$ are equal if and only if their respective restrictions to a non empty open subset of $X$ are equal.

A $(X, G)$ manifold $(M, X, G)$ is a differentiable manifold $M$, endowed with an open covering $\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \in I}$ such that for every $i \in I$, there exists a differentiable map $f_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow X$ which is a diffeomorphism onto its image and $f_{i} \circ f_{j}^{-1}$ coincides with the restriction of an element $g_{i j}$ of $G$ to $f_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$. The map $f_{i}$ is called an $(X, G)$ chart.

A $(X, G)$ structure defined on $M$ can be lifted to the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of $M$. This structure is defined by a local diffeomorphism $D_{M}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow X$. This implies that a $(X, G)$ chart of this structure is an open subset $U$ of $\tilde{M}$ such that the restriction of $D_{M}$ to $U$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image.

Let $(X, G)$ and $\left(X^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)$ be two models, $\phi: X \rightarrow X^{\prime}$ a differentiable map and $\Phi: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ a morphism of groups such that for every $g \in G$, the following diagram is commutative:


Let $(M, X, G)$ (resp. $\left.\left(M^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)\right)$ be a $(X, G)$ manifold (resp. a ( $X^{\prime}, G^{\prime}$ ) manifold). A ( $\Phi, \phi$ )morphism $f:(M, X, G) \rightarrow\left(M^{\prime}, X^{\prime}, G^{\prime}\right)$ is a differentiable map: $f: M \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ such that for every chart $\left(U_{i}, f_{i}\right)$ of $M$ such that $f\left(U_{i}\right)$ is contained in the chart $\left(V_{j}, f_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ of $M^{\prime}$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that the restrictions of $f_{j}^{\prime} \circ f \circ f_{i}^{-1}$ and $\Phi(g) \circ \phi$ to $f_{i}\left(U_{i}\right)$ coincide.

We will denote by $\operatorname{Aut}(X, M, G)$ the group of $\left(I d_{G}, I d_{X}\right)$-automorphisms of $(M, X, G)$ and by $\operatorname{Aut}(M, X, G)_{0}$ its connected component. It is a Lie group endowed with the compact open topology. For every element $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M}, X, G)$, the developing map defines a representation $H_{M}: \operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M}, X, G) \rightarrow G$ such that the following diagram is commutative:


Remark that the group of Deck transformations that we identify to the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$, of $M$, is a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M}, X, G)$. The restriction $h_{M}$ of $H_{M}$ to the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$, of $M$ is called the holonomy representation of the $(X, G)$ manifold $(M, X, G)$.

The pullback $p_{M}(f)$ of an element $f$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(M, X, G)$, by the universal covering map, $p_{M}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M}, X, G)$ which belongs to the normalizer $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ of $\pi_{1}(M)$ in $A u t(\tilde{M}, X, G)$. Conversely, every element $g$ of $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ induces an element $A_{M}(g)$ of $A u t(M, X, G)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:


The kernel of the morphism $A_{M}: N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right) \rightarrow A u t(M, X, G)$ is $\pi_{1}(M)$ and $A_{M}$ is a local diffeomorphim. We will denote by $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}$ the connected component of $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right.$ ), it is also the connected component of the commutator of $\pi_{1}(M)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}(\tilde{M}, X, G)$. Since $A_{M}$ is locally invertible, it induces an isomorphism between the Lie algebra $n\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ of $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ and the Lie algebra aut $(M, X, G)$ of $\operatorname{Aut}(M, X, G)$. If $(M, X, G)$ is a compact $(X, G)$ manifold, $\operatorname{aut}(M, X, G)$ is isomorphic to the subspace of elements of $\mathcal{G}$, the Lie algebra of $G$, which are invariant by $h_{M}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$.

## 3. Affine and projective structures

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the $n$-dimensional real vector space. We denote by $G l(n, \mathbb{R})$ the group of linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and by $\operatorname{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R})$ its group of affine transformations. If we fix an origin 0 of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for every element $f \in \operatorname{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R})$, we can write $f=\left(L(f), a_{f}\right)$ where $L(f)$ is an element of $G l\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $a_{f}=f(0)$. The couple ( $\left.\mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R})\right)$ is a model. A $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R})\right)$ manifold is also called an affine manifold. Equivalently, an $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, \operatorname{Aff}(n, \mathbb{R})\right)$ manifold is a $n$-dimensional differentiable manifold $M$ endowed with a connection $\nabla_{M}$ whose curvature and torsion tensors vanish identically.

Remark that the linear part $L\left(h_{M}\right)$ of the holonomy representation $h_{M}$ of an affine manifold ( $M, \nabla_{M}$ ) is the holonomy of the connection $\nabla_{M}$. We say that the $n$-dimensional affine manifold $\left(M, \nabla_{M}\right)$ is radiant if its holonomy $h_{M}$ fixes an element of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, this is equivalent to saying that $h_{M}$ and $L\left(h_{M}\right)$ are conjugated by a translation.

The $n$-dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ by the equivalence relation defined by $x \simeq y$ if and only there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $x=\lambda y$. If $x$ is an element of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$, we will denote by $[x]_{\mathbb{R} P^{n}}$ its equivalent class. The group $G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ by the action defined by $g \cdot[x]_{\mathbb{R} P^{n}}=[g \cdot x]_{\mathbb{R} P^{n}}$ the kernel of this action is the group $H_{n+1}$ of homothetic maps. We denote by $P G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ the quotient $G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ by $H_{n}$. The couple $\left(\mathbb{R} P^{n}, P G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})\right)$ is a model. A $\left(\mathbb{R} P^{n}, P G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})\right)$ is also called a projective manifold. Equivalently, a projective manifold can be defined by a differentiable manifold $M$ endowed with a projectively flat connection $P \nabla_{M}$. We will denote it by $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$.

The $n$-dimensional sphere $S^{n}$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ by the equivalence relation defined by $x \simeq y$ if and only if there exists $\lambda>0$ such that $x=\lambda y$. Let $x$ be an element of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$, we will denote by $[x]_{S^{n}}$ its equivalence class for this relation. Remark that if $\langle$,$\rangle is an Euclidean metric defined$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, there exists a bijection between the unit sphere $S_{\langle,\rangle}^{n}=\left\{x: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1},\langle x, x\rangle=1\right\}$ and $S^{n}$ defined by the restriction of the equivalence relation to $S_{\langle,\rangle}^{n}$.

There exists a map $D_{S^{n}}: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ such that for every element $x$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\},[x]_{\mathbb{R} P^{n}}=D_{S^{n}}\left([x]_{S^{n}}\right)$. The map $D_{S^{n}}$ is a covering, thus is the developing map of a projectively flat connection $P \nabla_{S^{n}}$ defined on $S^{n}$.

A $p$-dimensional projective submanifold $\left(F, P \nabla_{F}\right)$ of the projective manifold $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is a $p$ dimensional submanifold $F$ of $M$ endowed with a structure of a projective manifold, such that the canonical embedding $i_{F}:\left(F, P \nabla_{F}\right) \rightarrow\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is a morphism of projective manifolds.

Let $\hat{F}$ be the universal cover of $F$, we can lift $i_{F}$ to a projective map $\hat{i}_{F}: \hat{F} \rightarrow \hat{M}$. The image of $D_{M} \circ \hat{i}_{F}$ is contained in a $p$-dimensional projective subspace $U_{F}$ of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. The map $D_{M} \circ \hat{i}_{F}: \hat{F} \rightarrow U_{F}$ is a developing map of $F$. There exists a canonical morphism $\pi_{F}: \pi_{1}(F) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$ induced by $i_{F}$. Let $\gamma$ be an element of $\pi_{1}(F)$, the holonomy $h_{F}(\gamma)$ is the restriction of $h_{M}\left(\pi_{F}(\gamma)\right)$ to $U_{F}$. If there is no confusion, we are going to denote $h_{M}\left(\pi_{F}(\gamma)\right)$ by $h_{M}(\gamma)$.

Proposition 3.1. The group of automorphisms of the $n$-dimensional projective manifold $S^{n}$ is isomorphic to $S l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, the group of invertible $(n+1) \times(n+1)$ matrices such that for every element $A \in$ $S l(n+1, \mathbb{R}),|\operatorname{det}(A)|=1$.

Proof. Let $g$ be an element of $S l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. For every $[x]_{S^{n}} \in S^{n}$, we write $u_{g}(x)=[g(x)]_{S^{n}}$. Let $[g]$ be the image of $g$ by the quotient map $S l(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow P G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, we have $[g] \circ D_{S^{n}}=D_{S^{n}} \circ u_{g}$. This implies that $u_{g}$ is an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S_{n}, P \nabla_{S^{n}}\right)$. Suppose that $u_{g}=I d_{S^{n}}$, it implies that for every $[x] \in S^{n}$, $g(x)=\lambda(x) x, \lambda(x)>0$, we deduce that $g(x)=\lambda I d_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}, \lambda>0$, and $\lambda^{n+1}=1$ since $g \in S l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$. This implies that $\lambda=1$. We deduce that $u: S l(n+1, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow A u t\left(S^{n}, P \nabla_{S^{n}}\right)$ defined by $u(g)=u_{g}$ is injective. Let $f$ be an element of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(S^{n}, P \nabla_{S^{n}}\right)$, there exists an element $[g] \in P G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[g] \circ D_{S^{n}}=D_{S^{n}} \circ f$. Consider an element $g \in S l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ whose image by the quotient map is $[g]$, $f=u_{g}$. This implies that $u$ is an isomorphism.

## The Benzecri correspondence.

Consider the embedding $i_{n}^{G}: G l(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ defined by $i_{n}^{G}(A)=$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the open embedding $i_{n}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ defined by $i_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}, 1\right]$. For every elements $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $g \in G l(n, \mathbb{R})$, we have $i_{n}(g(x))=i_{n}^{G}(g)\left(i_{n}(x)\right)$. We deduce that for every affine manifold $\left(M, \nabla_{M}\right)$ whose developing map is $D_{M}$, there exists a projective structure defined on $M$ whose developing map is $i_{n} \circ D_{M}$.

Benzecri [4] p.241-242 has defined a functor between the category of projective manifolds of dimension $n$ and the category of radiant affine manifolds of dimension $n+1$ which can be described as follows:

Firstly, we remark that since the universal cover $\tilde{M}$ of the projective manifold $M$ is simply connected and $D_{S^{n}}: S^{n} \rightarrow P \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a covering map, the theorem 4.1 of Bredon [5] p. 143 implies that the development map $D_{M}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow P \mathbb{R}^{n}$, can be lifted to a local diffeomorphism $D_{M}^{\prime}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow S^{n}$ which is a projective morphism. Let $N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ be the normalizer of $\pi_{1}(M)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\tilde{M}, P \nabla_{\tilde{M}}\right)$, for every $g \in N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$, there exists $H_{M}^{\prime}(g) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(S^{n}, P \nabla_{S^{n}}\right)$ such that the following diagram is commutative:


We will denote by $h_{M}^{\prime}$ the restriction of $H_{M}^{\prime}$ to $\pi_{1}(M)$.
There exists a local diffeomorphism $D_{\tilde{M} \times S^{1}}: \tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ defined by $D_{\tilde{M} \times S^{1}}(x, t)=$ $t D_{M}^{\prime}(x)$, which is the developing map of a radiant structure defined on $M \times S^{1}$ whose holonomy representation $h_{M \times S^{1}}: \pi_{1}\left(M \times S^{1}\right) \rightarrow G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$ is defined by $h_{M \times S^{1}}(\gamma, n)=2^{n} h_{M}^{\prime}(\gamma)$. This radiant affine manifold $M \times S^{1}$ is the construction of Benzecri, we will often denote this affine structure by $\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ and by $p_{B(M)}: M \times S^{1} \rightarrow M$ the projection on the first factor.

Let $f:\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, P \nabla_{N}\right)$ be a morphism between $n$-dimensional projective manifolds; $f$ can lifted to the projective the morphism $\tilde{f}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \tilde{N}$. We deduce the existence of a morphism of affine manifolds $f^{\prime}: \tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \rightarrow \tilde{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ defined by $f^{\prime}(x, t)=(\tilde{f}, t)$. The morphism $f^{\prime}$ is equivariant with respect to the action of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ on $\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and $\pi_{1}(B(N))$ on $\tilde{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, and covers a morphism $b(f): B(M) \rightarrow B(N)$.

Let $\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)$ be a $n$-dimensional radiant affine manifold. We suppose that the holonomy of $N$ fixes the origin of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The vector field defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $X_{R}^{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(x)=x$ is invariant by the holonomy. Its pullback by the developing map is a vector field $X_{R}^{\tilde{N}}$ of $\tilde{N}$ invariant by $\pi_{1}(N)$. We deduce that $X_{R}^{\tilde{N}}$ is the pullback of a vector field $X_{R}^{N}$ of $N$ called the radiant vector field of $N$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a compact projective manifold. There exists a surjective morphism of groups between the connected component of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ and the connected component of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$.

Proof. Let $f$ be an element of $A u t\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$, the connected component of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$. Consider an element $\tilde{f}$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\tilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}\right)_{0}$ over $f$. For every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, we can write $\tilde{f}(\tilde{x}, t)=$ $(\tilde{g}(\tilde{x}, t), h(\tilde{x}, t))$. The flow of $X_{R}^{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}}$ is in the center of $G l(n+1, \mathbb{R})$, we deduce that $\tilde{f}$ commutes with the flow $X_{R}^{\tilde{B}(M)}, g(\tilde{x}, t)$ does not depend of $t$ and $h(\tilde{x}, t)=t h(\tilde{x}, 1)$.

Let $\gamma$ be an element of $\pi_{1}(M)$, since $(\gamma, 2) .(\tilde{x}, t)=(\gamma(\tilde{x}), 2 t)$ is an element of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ and $\tilde{f}$ is an element of $N\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)_{0}$, we deduce that $(\gamma, 2)$ commutes with $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ commute with $\gamma$. This implies that there exists an element $g$ of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ whose lifts is $\tilde{g}$. Remark that since $\tilde{f}$ is an affine transformation, $h(x, 1)$ is a constant. The correspondence $P: A u t\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0} \rightarrow A u t\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ defined by $P(f)=g$ is well defined and is surjective morphism of groups since for every element $f \in$ $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}, P(b(f))=f$.

Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a projective manifold $M$, the orbits of the radiant flow $\phi_{t}^{B(M)}$ of $X_{R}^{B(M)}$ are compact. The images of the elements of $\phi_{t}^{B(M)}$ by $P$ are the identity on $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$. This implies that $\left.\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)\right)+1 \leq \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)\right)\right)$. We deduce that if $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is a projective manifold, such that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is not discrete, the dimension of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ is superior or equal to 2 .

## 4. Automorphisms of projective manifolds and automorphisms of radiant affine manifolds

Let $\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)$ be an affine manifold. In [13], I have shown that $\operatorname{aut}\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)$, the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)$ is endowed with an associative product defined by $X . Y=\nabla_{M X} Y$. We deduce that $\nabla_{B(M)}$ defines on $\operatorname{aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$ an associative structure which can be pulled back to $n\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$. It results that the Lie algebra $H_{B(M)}\left(n\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)\right)$ of the image of $N\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)$ by $H_{B(M)}$ is stable by the canonical product of matrices which is the image of the associative product of $n\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)$ by $H_{B(M)}$. Remark that $H_{B(M)}\left(n\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $n\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)$. The theorem 23 of chap. III of [1] implies that we can write: $H_{B(M)}\left(n\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)\right)=S_{M} \oplus N_{M}$ where $S_{M}$ is a semi-simple associative algebra and $N_{M}$ a nilpotent associative algebra.

In [14], by using this associative product, I have shown that the orbits of the canonical action of $\operatorname{Aff}\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)_{0}$ on $N$ are immersed affine submanifolds of $\left(N, \nabla_{N}\right)$ and are the leaves of a (singular) foliation. This leads to the following result:
Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a projective manifold. The orbits of the action of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ on $M$ are immersed projective submanifolds and are the leaves of a singular foliation.
Proof. The orbits of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ are immersed affine submanifolds of $B(M)$. The proposition 3.2 shows that there exists a surjective map $P: \operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ such that, for every $g \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ and $x \in B(M), p_{B(M)}(g(x))=P(g)\left(p_{B}(x)\right)$. This implies that the orbits of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ are the images of the orbits of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ by the quotient map $B(M) \rightarrow M$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a compact oriented projective manifold of dimension superior or equal to 2. Suppose that $H_{M}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)\right)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$, then $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is isomorphic to a finite quotient of $\mathbb{K} P^{m}$ by a subgroup of $\mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K}$ is the field of real numbers, complex numbers, quaternions or octonions. The action of $\pi_{1}(M)$ on $\mathbb{K} P^{n}$ is induced by its action on $\mathbb{K}^{m+1}$ by homothetic maps.
Proof. The fact that $H_{M}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)\right)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ implies that $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)\right.$ acts transitively on $S^{n}$. The theorem of Montgomery Zipplin [11] p. 226 implies that a connected compact subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)\right)$ acts transitively on $S^{n}$. The theorem I p. 456 of Montgomery and Samelson [10] implies that a connected compact simple subgroup $C^{\prime}$ of $K^{\prime}$ acts transitively on $S^{n}$. The Lie algebra of the connected component $C$ of $H_{M}^{\prime-1}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of $C^{\prime}$ since the kernel of $H_{M}^{\prime}$ is discrete. This implies that $C$ is compact. Remark that the orbits of the action of $C$ on $\tilde{M}$ are open. We deduce that $C$ acts transitively on $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{M}$ is compact. This implies that $D_{M}^{\prime}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow S^{n}$ is a covering since it is a local diffeomorphism defined between compact manifolds. This implies that $D_{M}^{\prime}$ is a diffeomorphim since $S^{n}$ and $\tilde{M}$ are simply connected.

We can write $\mathbb{R}^{n}=\oplus_{i \in I} U_{i}$ where $U_{i}$ is an irreducible component of the action of $h_{M}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ since $\pi_{1}(M)$ is finite.

Let $i, j \in I$, consider two non zero elements $x_{i} \in U_{i}, x_{j} \in U_{j}$, since $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}\right)$ acts transitively on $S^{n}$ there exists $B \in H_{M}^{\prime}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}\right)$ such that $B\left(x_{i}\right)=c x_{j}, B\left(U_{i}\right) \cap U_{j}$ is invariant by $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$, we deduce that $B\left(U_{i}\right)=U_{j}$ since $U_{j}$ is irreducible and $B$ is an isomorphism.

The group of automorphisms of the irreducible representation $U_{i}$ is $\mathbb{K}$ where $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}$ or $\mathbb{O}$. We deduce that $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$ vector space and the action of $\left.H_{M}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}\right)$ on $\mathbb{K}^{n}$ is induced by its action on $\mathbb{K}$ by right multiplication of elements of $\mathbb{K}$.

## Remark.

Suppose that the dimension of $M$ is even, and $\left.H_{M}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}\right)\right)$ acts transitively on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. The proof of the previous theorem can be simplified as follows: Every element of $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ has a fixed point
since every element of $G l(2 n+1, \mathbb{R})$ has a real eigenvalue. We deduce that $H_{M}^{\prime}\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)$ is the identity and there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{n}$ such that $D_{M}=f \circ p_{M}$. This implies that $f$ is a covering map and $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^{n}$ or $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$.

Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a projective manifold, suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable. This implies that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ and the connected component of the normalizer $N\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)_{0}$ of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\widetilde{B(M)}, \nabla_{\widetilde{B(M)}}\right)$ are not solvable. We deduce that the image of $N\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ by $H_{B(M)}$ contains a subgroup $H_{S^{1}}$ isomorphic to $S^{1}$. We denote by $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$, a vector field which generates the Lie algebra of $H_{S^{1}}$, its pullback by the developing map $D_{B(M)}$ of $B(M)$ is a vector field $\tilde{X}_{B(M)}$ invariant by the fundamental group of $B(M)$. We deduce that there exists a vector field $X_{B(M)}$ of $B(M)$ whose pullback by the universal covering map is $\tilde{X}_{B(M)}$. Suppose that the developing map is injective, the flow of $X_{B(M)}$ defines an action of $S^{1}$ on $B(M)$ which is transverse and commutes with the radial flow. This implies there exists a vector $X_{M}$ on $M$ which is the image of $X_{B(M)}$ by the map induced by $p_{B(M)}: B(M) \rightarrow M$. The vector field $X_{M}$ induces an action of $S^{1}$ on $M$ : We have:

Proposition 4.3. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a compact projective manifold whose developing map is injective, suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable, then $M$ is endowed with a non trivial action of $S^{1}$.

## 5. Automorphisms of projective manifolds of dimension 2 and 3

In dimension 2 , we have the following result:
Proposition 5.1. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a 2-dimensional compact connected oriented projective manifold, suppose that $A u t\left(M, P \nabla_{P}\right)$ is not discrete, then $M$ is homeomorphic to the 2-dimensional torus or to the sphere.

Proof. Suppose $N_{M} \neq 0$, there exists a non zero element $A_{M} \in N_{M}$ such that $A_{M}^{2}=0$, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(A_{M}\right)\right)=2, \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{M}\right)\right)=1$. Remark that $\operatorname{Im}\left(A_{M}\right)$ is fixed by the holonomy.

Suppose that $N_{M}=0$, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}\left(S_{M}\right) \geq 2$, there exists a non zero element distinct of the identity $e_{M} \in S_{M}$ such that $e_{M}^{2}=e_{M}$. To see this remark that $S_{M}$ contains either an associative algebra isomorphic to the associative algebra of $2 \times 2$ real matrices or two idempotents which are linearly independent. The linear map $e_{M}$ is diagonalizable and its eigenvalues are equal to 0 and 1 . Since the flow of $e_{M}$ is distinct of the radial flow, we deduce that 0 is an eigenvalue of $e_{M}$. This implies that either the dimension of the eigenspace associated to 0 is 1 , or the the dimension of the eigenspace associated to 1 is 1 . We deduce that the holonomy preserves a vector subspace of dimension 1.

We conclude that if $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ ) is not discrete, its holonomy fixed a point of $P \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The lemma 2.5 p. 808 in Goldman [9] implies that the Euler number of $M$ is positive.

## Dimension 3.

In this section, we study the group of automorphisms of a connected 3-dimensional compact projective manifold $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ whose group of automorphisms is not discrete.

Aut $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable.
Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(B(M), \nabla_{B(M)}\right)_{0}$ and $N\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)_{0}$ are not solvable. We deduce that the connected subgroup of $G l(n+1, \mathbb{R}), H_{B(M)}\left(N\left(\pi_{1}(M)\right)_{0}\right)$ contains a subgroup $H "$ isomorphic to $S^{1}$. We denote by $X^{"}{ }_{B(M)}$ a vector field which generates the Lie algebra of $H "$. The pullback $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ of $X^{\prime \prime}{ }_{B(M)}$ by $D_{B(M)}$ is the pullback of a vector field $X_{B(M)}$ of $B(M)$ by $p_{B(M)}$.

Suppose that the set of fixed points of $H$ " is not empty, we can write $\mathbb{R}^{4}=U \oplus V$ where $U$ is a 2-dimensional vector subspace corresponding to the non trivial irreducible submodule of $H$ " and $V$ the set of fixed points. Remark that $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)$ preserves $U$ and $V$ since it commutes with $H$ ". This implies that there exists a foliation $\mathcal{F}_{U}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathcal{F}_{V}\right)$ on $B(M)$ whose pullback by the universal covering map is the pullback by $D_{B(M)}$ of the foliation of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ whose leaves are 2-dimensional affine spaces parallel to $U$ (resp. parallel to $V$ ).

Proposition 5.2. Suppose that $V \cap D_{B(M)}(\widetilde{B(M)})$ is empty. Then a finite cover of $M$ is a total space of a fibre bundle over $S^{1}$ whose fibre is $T^{2}$.

Proof. The vector field defined by $Y "(u, v)=u ; u \in U, v \in V$ is invariant by the holonomy of $B(M)$. To show this, remark that the restriction of $H$ " to $U$ defines on it a complex structure and since $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)$ commutes with $H^{"}$, its restriction to $U$ are morphisms of that complex structure. The pullback of $Y^{\prime \prime}$ by $D_{B(M)}$ is the pullback of a vector field $Y_{B(M)}$ of $B(M)$ by the universal covering map. The image $Y_{M}$ of $Y_{B(M)}$ by $p_{B(M)}$ and $X_{M}$ commute and generate a locally free action of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ on $M$ since $V \cap D_{B(M)}(\widetilde{B(M)})$ is empty. Chatelet, Rosenberg and Weil [6] implies that $M$ is the total space of a fibre bundle over $S^{1}$ whose fibre is $T^{2}$.

If $\mathcal{F}_{V}$ has compact leaf, we have the following result:
Proposition 5.3. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a 3-dimensional compact projective manifold whose developing map is injective. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable and $V \cap D_{B(M)}(\widetilde{B(M)})$ is not empty. Then the holonomy of $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ is solvable.

Proof. Let $\hat{F}_{0}$ be a connected component of $V \cap D_{B(M)}(\widetilde{B(M)})$ its image by the universal covering map is a compact leaf $F_{0}$ compact leaf of $\mathcal{F}_{V}$ which is a 2-dimension compact affine manifold, we deduce that its fundamental group is solvable. Let $r$ be the restriction of $h\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ to $V$, since $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ preserves $V$, we have an exact sequence:

$$
1 \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker}(r) \rightarrow h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M)) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}(r) \rightarrow 1\right.
$$

The groups $\operatorname{Ker}(r)$ is solvable since it restriction to $U$ commutes with a non trivial linear action of $S^{1}$. The group $\operatorname{Im}(r)$ is also solvable since it is contained in $h_{F_{0}}\left(\pi_{1}\left(F_{0}\right)\right)$, we deduce that $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ is solvable.

## $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is solvable.

In this section we study 3-dimensional projective manifolds whose group of automorphisms is solvable. We can decompose the associative algebra $n\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ by writing: $n\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))=S_{M} \oplus N_{M}\right.$, where $S_{M}$ is a semi-simple associative algebra and $N_{M}$ a nilpotent associative algebra. We deduce that $S_{M}$ is the direct product of associative algebras isomorphic to either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and is commutative. It results that the fact that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not commutative implies that $N_{M}$ is not commutative.

## $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is solvable and is not commutative.

Theorem 5.4. Suppose that $N_{M}$ is not commutative, then $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right)$, the image of the holonomy of $B(M)$ is solvable.

## Proof. First step:

Suppose that the square of every element of $N_{M}$ is zero.
Let $A, B \in N_{M}$ such that $A B \neq B A$. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(A))=3$. It implies that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(A))=1$. Since $(A+B)^{2}=0$, we deduce that $A B+B A=0$ and $\left.B(\operatorname{Ker}(A)) \subset \operatorname{Ker}(A), B(\operatorname{Im}(A)) \subset \operatorname{Im}(A)\right)$, we deduce that the restriction of $B$ to $\operatorname{Im}(A)$ is zero since $B$ is nilpotent and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(A))=1$. This implies that $B A=0$, we deduce that $A B=0$ and $A B=B A$. Contradiction.

Suppose that that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(A))=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(A))=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(B))=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(B))=2$. We deduce that $\operatorname{Im}(A)=\operatorname{Ker}(A), \operatorname{Im}(B)=\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ since $A^{2}=B^{2}=0$. If $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(B)=0, \mathbb{R}^{4}=\operatorname{Ker}(A) \oplus$ $\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ and $A B=B A=0$ since $A B+B A=0$. If $\operatorname{Ker}(A)=\operatorname{Ker}(B), A B=B A=0$ since $\operatorname{Im}(A)=$ $\operatorname{Im}(B)=\operatorname{Ker}(A)=\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. Contradiction.

We deduce that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(B))=1$. We can write $\mathbb{R}^{4}=\operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ where $\operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(B), \operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)=\operatorname{Ker}(B)$. Every element in $h_{B(M)}\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$ preserves $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Ker}(B), \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(A)+\operatorname{Ker}(B)$ since it commutes with $A$ and $B$. We deduce that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is solvable since it preserves a flag.

Step 2.
Suppose that there exists an element $A \in N_{M}$ such that $A^{2} \neq 0$.
If $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker} A)=1$, we have $\left(A^{2}\right)^{2}=0$ implies that $\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{2}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A^{2}\right)$. Remark that $x \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(A^{2}\right)$ if and only if $A(x) \in \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ and $x \in A^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}(A)) ; \operatorname{dim}\left(A^{-1}(\operatorname{Ker}(A))=2\right.$ since $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(A))=1$. We deduce that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(A^{2}\right)\right)=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{2}\right)\right)=2$, and $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(A^{2}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{2}\right) \subset \operatorname{Im}(A)$ and $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ preserves a flag since it commutes with $A$ and $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(A))=3$.

Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker}(A))=2$, we deduce that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Im}(A))=2$. Suppose that $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Im}(A)=0$, we deduce that $\mathbb{R}^{4}=\operatorname{Ker}(A) \oplus \operatorname{Im}(A)$. This is impossible since $A$ is nilpotent. We also remark that $\operatorname{Ker}(A)$ is distinct of $\operatorname{Im}(A)$ since $A^{2} \neq 0$. This implies that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Im}(A))=1$. Every element of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ preserves, $\operatorname{Ker}(A) \cap \operatorname{Im}(A), \operatorname{Ker}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(A)+\operatorname{Im}(A)$ and thus preserves a flag. We deduce that $h_{B(M)}(\pi(B(M)))$ is solvable.

## $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is commutative.

Suppose that the developing map is injective and $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is commutative and its dimension is superior or equal to 2 . Let $X_{M}$ and $Y_{M}$ two projective vector fields linearly independent. We denote by $X_{B(M)}$ and $Y_{B(M)}$ two affine vector fields of $B(M)$ whose respective images by $p_{B(M)}$ are $X_{M}$ and $Y_{M}$. There exist affine vector fields $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ whose respective images by the covering map are $X_{B(M)}$ and $Y_{B(M)}$. Remark that if the group generated by $X_{M}$ and $Y_{M}$ acts freely on $M$, then Chatelet, Rosenberg and Weil [6] implies that $M$ is the total space of a torus bundle.

In the rest of this section we assume that the set of zero of $X_{M}$ is not empty. This implies that we can assume that the set of zero $U$ of $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ is not empty by eventually replacing $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ with $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}+c X_{R}$ where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $X_{R}$ is the radiant flow. We denote by $B(N)$ the image of $U \cap D_{B(M)}(\tilde{M})$ by the covering map. Remark that $B(N)$ is not empty.

Proposition 5.5. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(U)=3$ then $\pi_{1}(M)$ is solvable.
Proof. Suppose that the restriction of $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $U$ is not zero. This implies that the restriction of $Y_{B(M)}$ to $B(N)$ is not zero. This implies that the group of projective automorphisms of $N$, the quotient of $B(N)$ by the radiant flow is not discrete. The proposition 5.1 implies that $N$ has a finite cover homeomorphic to $S^{2}$ or $T^{2}$. This implies that $\pi_{1}(B(N))$ is solvable. The restriction of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ to $U$ induces an exact sequence whose image is contained in the image of the holonomy representation of $B(N)$ and whose kernel is solvable. We deduce that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ and $\pi_{1}(M)$ are solvable. If the restriction of $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $U$ vanishes, let $V$ be the image of $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$, if $V$ is not contained in $U$, then $\mathbb{R}^{4}=U \oplus V$, and since $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ commutes with $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$, it preserves $V$. This implies that $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ are linearly dependent contradiction.

Suppose that $V$ is a subset of $U$, let $W$ be the image of $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$, if $W$ is not contained in $U$, then we can apply the previous argument to obtain a contradiction by replacing $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ by $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$. Suppose that $W$ is contained in $U, V \cap W=\{0\}$ since $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ are linearly independent. We deduce that, the holonomy of $B(M)$ preserves, $V, V \oplus W$ and $U$. This implies that the holonomy of $B(M)$ and $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ are solvable.

Proposition 5.6. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(U)=2$, then $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is solvable.
Proof. Suppose that $U \oplus \operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
Step 1.
If the restriction of $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ or $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $\operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$ are not a multiple of the identity, we deduce that $r\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))\right.$, the image of the restriction of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ to $\operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$ is solvable since it commutes with $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$. The restriction of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ to $\operatorname{Ker}\left(X_{B(M)}\right)$ is contained in the holonomy group the 2-dimensional closed affine manifold $B(N)$, we deduce that it is solvable. This implies $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is solvable.

Step 2.
Suppose that the restriction of $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ and $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $\operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$ are multiple of the identity. If the restriction of $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $U$ is equal to $a I_{U}$, we deduce that $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ is contained in the vector space generated
by $I d_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}$ and $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$. This implies that the dimension of the vector space generated by $X_{M}$ and $Y_{M}$ is 1 . Contradiction. We deduce that the restriction of $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ to $U$ is not a multiple of the $I d_{U}$. There exists a real $a$ such that the restriction of $Z=Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}+a d_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}$ to $\operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$ is zero. The restriction of $Z$ to $U$ is distinct of a multiple of the identity, we conclude that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is solvable by replacing $Y_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ by $Z$ in the first step of the proof.

Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}\left(U \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)=1\right.$. The vector subspaces, $U \cap \operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right), U, U \oplus \operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$ are stable by the holonomy. We deduce that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ preserves a flag and is solvable.

Suppose that $U=\operatorname{Im}\left(X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\right)$.
We can write $\mathbb{R}^{4}=V e c t\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$ where $\operatorname{Vect}\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=U$ and $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\left(e_{3}\right)=e_{1}, X_{B(M)}^{\prime}\left(e_{4}\right)=e_{2}$. Let $\gamma$ be an element of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$, if we write the fact that the matrix $M(\gamma)$ of $\gamma$ commutes with the matrix of $X_{B(M)}^{\prime}$ in the basis $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}\right)$, we obtain that:

$$
M(\gamma)=
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{1} & b_{1} & c_{1} & d_{1} \\
a_{2} & b_{2} & c_{2} & d_{2} \\
0 & 0 & a_{1} & b_{1} \\
0 & 0 & a_{2} & b_{2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Since the restriction of $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ to $U$ is contained in the holonomy of $\pi_{1}(B(N))$ which is solvable, we deduce that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is solvable.

Proposition 5.7. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim}(U)=1$, then $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is nilpotent.
Proof. We can write $n\left(\pi_{1}(B(M))=S_{M} \oplus N_{M}\right.$ where $S_{M}$ is semi-simple and $N_{M}$ nilpotent. Suppose that $N_{M}$ is not zero, and consider $n \in N_{M}$, if $n^{2} \neq 0,\left(n^{2}\right)^{2}=0, \operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(n^{2}\right)\right) \geq 2$, if $n^{2}=0$, $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Ker}(n)) \geq 2$. We can apply the proposition 5.4 and the proposition 5.5.

Suppose that $N_{M}=0, n(B(M))$ contains a non zero idempotent $u_{1}$ which is not a multiple of the identity, since it does not generates the radiant flow. If the eigenvalues of $u_{1}$ are 0 or 1 , this implies that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{1}\right)\right) \geq 2$ ) or $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ker}\left(u_{1}\right)-I d_{\mathbb{R}^{4}}\right) \geq 2$, we can apply the proposition 5.4 and the proposition 5.5 to deduce that $\pi_{1}(B(M))$ is nilpotent.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)$ be a 3-dimensional projective manifold whose developing map is injective, suppose that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)\right) \geq 2$, then $M$ is homeomorphic to a spherical manifold, $S^{2} \times S^{1}$ or a finite cover of $M$ is a torus bundle.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is not solvable, the proposition 5.2 and the proposition 5.3 imply that either $M$ is the total space of a bundle over $S^{1}$ whose fibre is homeomorphic to $T^{2}$ or $\pi_{1}(M)$ is solvable. If $\operatorname{Aut}\left(M, P \nabla_{M}\right)_{0}$ is solvable, the theorem 5.1 , the propositions $5.4,5.5$ and 5.6 show that $\pi_{1}(M)$ is solvable. In [2], it is shown that a 3 -dimensional closed manifold whose fundamental group is solvable is homeomorphic to a spherical manifold, $S^{1} \times S^{2}$, a finite cover of $M$ is a torus bundle, or $\mathbb{R} P^{3} \# \mathbb{R} P^{3}$. Benoist [3] and Goldman and Cooper [8] have shown that there does not exist a projective structure on $\mathbb{R} P^{3} \# \mathbb{R} P^{3}$.
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