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#### Abstract

This paper characterizes two bi-linear maps bi-derivations and quasi-multipliers on the module extension Banach algebra $A \oplus_{1} X$, where $A$ is a Banach algebra and $X$ is a Banach $A$-module. Under some conditions, it is shown that if every bi-derivation on $A \oplus_{1} A$ is inner, then the quotient group of bounded bi-derivations and inner bi-derivations, is equal to the space of quasi-multipliers of $A$. Moreover, it is proved that $\mathrm{QM}\left(A \oplus_{1} A\right)=\mathrm{QM}(A) \oplus\left(\mathrm{QM}(A)+\mathrm{QM}(A)^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathrm{QM}(A)^{\prime}=\{m \in \mathrm{QM}(A): m(0, a)=m(a, 0)=0\}$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $X$ a Banach $A$-bimodule. Throughout of this paper, all maps are continuous. A linear map $d: A \longrightarrow X$ is called a derivation if $d\left(a a^{\prime}\right)=a \cdot d\left(a^{\prime}\right)+d(a) \cdot a^{\prime}$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. The derivation $d: A \longrightarrow X$ is said to be inner, if there exists $x \in X$ such that $d(a)=a \cdot x-x \cdot a$, for every $a \in A$. An interesting generalization of derivations is the notion of bi-derivations, for example it ha a close connection with the second cohomology of Banach algebras. A bi-derivation $D: A \times A \longrightarrow X$ is a bi-linear map that is a derivation respect to both components, i.e.,

$$
D(a b, c)=a \cdot D(b, c)+D(a, c) \cdot b \quad \text { and } \quad D(a, b c)=b \cdot D(a, c)+D(a, b) \cdot c,
$$

for all $a, b, c \in A$. We define the following algebraic centers as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(A) & =\left\{a \in A: a a^{\prime}=a^{\prime} a, \text { for all } a^{\prime} \in A\right\}, \\
Z_{A}(X) & =\{a \in A: a \cdot x=x \cdot a, \text { for all } x \in X\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Z_{X}(A)=\{x \in X: a \cdot x=x \cdot a, \text { for all } a \in A\} .
$$

We say a bi-derivation $D: A \times A \longrightarrow X$ is
(i) inner, if there exists $x \in Z_{X}(A)$ such that $D\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=x\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$, where $\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]=$ $a a^{\prime}-a^{\prime} a$.
(ii) inner respect to the first (second) component, if there exists $x \in X(y \in X)$ such that $D\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=$ $[a, x]\left(D\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=\left[a^{\prime}, y\right]\right)$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$.
(iii) componential inner, if it is inner respect to the both components.

[^0]Bres̆er et al., in [6] showed that all bi-derivations defined on noncommutative prime rings are inner and for the case semiprime rings Bres̆er in [7] considered bi-derivations on this class of rings. There are many literatures for bi-derivations that are studied by many authors, for example, we refer to [4,10,12,17,30].

Another interesting bi-linear maps defined on Banach algebras are quasi-multipliers; we refer to [2, 21,22 ], for general information regarding quasi-multipliers. Quasi-multipliers are a generalization of multipliers, where a bi-linear mapping $m: A \times A \longrightarrow A$ is called a quasi-multiplier if

$$
m(a b, c d)=a m(b, c) d
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$. The set of all quasi-multipliers on $A$ is denoted by $\mathrm{QM}(A)$.
Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and $X$ be a Banach $A$-bimodule. By a module extension Banach algebra corresponding to $A$ and $X$, we will mean the $\ell^{1}$-direct sum of $A$ and $X$ i.e., $A \oplus_{1} X$ with the following algebra product and norm:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)=\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}\right) \\
\|(a, x)\|=\|a\|_{A}+\|x\|_{X}
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$. These algebras were studied initially by Zhang [29]. Some homological, cohomological results, results related to derivations on the second dual and module extension of dual Banach algebras are given in [3,13,23,24]. Triangular Banach algebras are considered extensively by Forrest and Marcoux as examples of module extension Banach algebras [14,15,16]. We refer to [5,11, $19,20,25,26,27]$, for more results related to homological and cohomological results of triangular Banach algebras. For Banach algebra $A \oplus_{1} X$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)=\left(Z(A) \cap Z_{A}(X)\right) \times Z_{X}(A) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, b, c, d \in A$.
Let $A$ be a Banach algebra, $X$ and $Y$ two Banach $A$-bimodules. A linear operator $T: X \longrightarrow Y$ is called an $A$-bimodule map if $T(\alpha \cdot x \cdot \beta)=\alpha \cdot T(x) \cdot \beta$, for all $\alpha, \beta \in A$ and $x \in X$. We denote the set of all $A$-bimodule maps from $X$ into $Y \operatorname{by~}_{\operatorname{Hom}}^{A}(X, Y)$. If $A=X=Y$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(A, A)$ is the multiplier algebra defined on $A$ which is denoted by $M(A)$ and denote the set of all bounded bi-derivations from $A \times A$ into $X$, by $\mathrm{BD}(A, X)$ and denote two subsets consist of all inner and componential inner bi-derivations from $A \times A$ into $X$, by $\operatorname{IBD}(A, X)$ and $\operatorname{IBD}_{c}(A, X)$, respectively. We define two quotient groups $\operatorname{HBD}(A, X)$ and $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A, X)$ as follows:

$$
\operatorname{HBD}(A, X)=\frac{\operatorname{BD}(A, X)}{\operatorname{IBD}(A, X)} \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A, X)=\frac{\operatorname{BD}(A, X)}{\operatorname{IBD}_{c}(A, X)}
$$

If $A=X$, then we write $\mathrm{BD}(A, A)=\operatorname{BD}(A), \operatorname{HBD}(A, A)=\operatorname{HBD}(A)$ and $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A, A)=\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A)$.
In this paper, in Section 2, we investigate bi-derivations on the module extensions of Banach algebras and characterize these bi-linear maps. In Section 3, we consider quasi-multipliers on the module extension Banach algebra $A \oplus_{1} X$.

## 2. Bi-derivations on $A \oplus_{1} X$

Now by the following result, we characterize bi-derivations on $A \oplus_{1} X$.
Theorem 2.1. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra, then $\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{BD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that
(i) $\mathcal{D}_{A} \in \mathrm{BD}(A)$,
(ii) $\mathcal{D}_{X, A} \in \mathrm{BD}(A, X)$,
(iii) $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}$ is an A-bimodule map such that $x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}$ and $x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=$ $-\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}$, for all $a \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$.
(iv) $\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(a \cdot x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=a \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A}(a, 0) \cdot x_{1}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \cdot a\right)=\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot a+x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A}(0, a)$, for all $a \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$.

## Moreover,

(iv) $\mathcal{D}$ is inner if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{A}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are inner, $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X}=0$.
(v) $\mathcal{D}$ is inner respect to the first (second) component if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{A}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are inner respect to the first (second) component, $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X}=0$.
(vi) $\mathcal{D}$ is componential inner if and only if $\mathcal{D}_{A}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are componential inner, $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X}=0$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{BD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$. Define the canonical injective maps $\imath_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \times\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$, $\imath_{X}: X \times X \longrightarrow\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \times\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ by $\imath_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=\left((a, 0),\left(a^{\prime}, 0\right)\right), \imath_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left((0, x),\left(0, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A, x, x^{\prime} \in X$ and projective maps $\pi_{A}:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow A$ and $\pi_{X}:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow X$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{A}:=\pi_{A} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \imath_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow A, \mathcal{D}_{X}:=\pi_{X} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \imath_{X}: X \times X \longrightarrow X, \mathcal{D}_{A, X}:=\pi_{A} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \imath_{X}: X \times X \longrightarrow A$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A}:=\pi_{X} \circ \mathcal{D} \circ \imath_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow X$. Since, $\mathcal{D}$ is bi-linear, the above-defined maps are bi-linear. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. For any $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X,(2.2)$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & \left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(a_{1} \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+a_{1} \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), a_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+a_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right) a_{2}+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) a_{2}, \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \cdot a_{2}+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right) \cdot a_{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right) \cdot x_{2}+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \cdot x_{2}\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a bi-derivation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)=\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right) \cdot \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)+\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, implies that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=a_{1} \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right) a_{2}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=a_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right) \cdot a_{2}
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are derivations respect to the first component. If we put $a_{1}=a_{3}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) a_{2} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot x_{3}\right)=a_{1} \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.7) and (2.8), $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}$ is an $A$-bimodule respect to the first component. Letting $a_{1}=a_{2}=$ $a_{3}=0$ and $x_{1}=x_{2}=0$, imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(0, x_{3}\right)=0, \quad\left(x_{3} \in X\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assuming $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and by (2.9), we have

$$
x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=-\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3} .
$$

Taking $a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and $x_{1}=0$, imply that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{1} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, 0\right) \cdot x_{2} .
$$

An argument similar to that in the above, for any $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$, by (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & \left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right) \cdot\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(a_{2} \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+a_{2} \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right), a_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)+a_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)\right),  \tag{2.10}\\
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2} a_{3}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)\right), \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) a_{3}+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) a_{3}, \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3}+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}\right) . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a bi-derivation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)=\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)+\mathcal{D}\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, implies that

$$
\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)=a_{2} \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) a_{3},
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)=a_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{3}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3} .
$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are derivations respect to the second component. These imply that $\mathcal{D}_{A} \in \operatorname{BD}(A)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A} \in \operatorname{BD}(A, X)$. If we put $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) a_{3}, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a_{1}=a_{3}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}\right)=a_{2} \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) . \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (2.14) and (2.15), $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}$ is an $A$-bimodule respect to the second component. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}$ is an $A$-bimodule. Let $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and $x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(0, x_{1}\right)=0, \quad\left(x_{1} \in X\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that, if we set $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$, then

$$
x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)=-\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3} .
$$

By letting $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, we have

$$
\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)=\mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) \cdot a_{+} x_{2} \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A}\left(0, a_{3}\right) .
$$

This completes the proof and the converse is trivial. Now, suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is inner, then there exists $(b, y) \in Z\left(\mathcal{D}_{X}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right) & =(b, y)\left[(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right] \\
& =\left(a a^{\prime} b-b a^{\prime} a, y \cdot a a^{\prime}-y \cdot a^{\prime} a+b a \cdot x^{\prime}-b \cdot x^{\prime} \cdot a+b \cdot x \cdot a^{\prime}-b a^{\prime} \cdot x\right) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. If $x=x^{\prime}=0$, then $\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=a a^{\prime} b-b a^{\prime} a=b\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=$ $y \cdot a a^{\prime}-y \cdot a^{\prime} a=y\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]$. If $a=a^{\prime}=0$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(0,0) & =\mathcal{D}(0,0)=\mathcal{D}\left((0, x),\left(0, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(0, \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, x^{\prime} X$. This implies that $\mathcal{D}_{X}=0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$. Thus (iv) holds.
(v) Let $\mathcal{D}$ be inner respect to the first component. Thus, there exists $(b, y) \in \mathcal{D}_{X}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right) & =(a, x)(b, y)-(b, y)(a, x) \\
& =(a b-b a, a \cdot y-y \cdot a+x \cdot b-b \cdot x) \\
& =\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. Letting $x=x^{\prime}=0$ implies that $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{X, A}$ are inner respect to the first component. If $a=a^{\prime}=0$, then $\mathcal{D}_{X}=0$ and $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$. Similarly, we can investigate the above obtained results for the second component.

For (vi) apply (v).
Corollary 2.2. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra such that $\operatorname{HBD}(A)=0$ and $\operatorname{HBD}(A, X)=0$. Then $\operatorname{HBD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)=0$

Similarly, we have:
Corollary 2.3. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra such that $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A)=0$ and $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A, X)=0$. Then $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)=0$

Corollary 2.4. Let $A \oplus_{1} A$ be a module extension Banach algebra such that $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}(A)=0$. Then $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}\left(A \oplus_{1} A\right)=0$

Example 2.5. Let $A$ be a super amenable Banach algebra i.e., every derivation from $A$ into any Banach A-bimodule $X$ is inner (see [28]). Then by Corollary 2.4, we have $\operatorname{HBD}_{c}\left(A \oplus_{1} A\right)=0$.
Proposition 2.6. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra and $T \in B^{2}(X, X)$ be an A-bimodule map. Then $\mathcal{D}:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \times\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow A \oplus_{1} X$ defined by $\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(0, T\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$, is a bi-derivation. Moreover, $\mathcal{D}$ is inner if and only if $T=0$.

Proof. Straightforward.
Lemma 2.7. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra and $\mathcal{D}_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow A$ be an inner bi-derivation. Then there is an inner bi-derivation $\mathcal{D}$ on $A \oplus_{1} X$ related to $\mathcal{D}_{A}$.
Proof. If $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ is an inner bi-derivation, then there exists $c \in Z(A)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=c\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. Define $\mathcal{D}:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \times\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right), c\left[a, x^{\prime}\right]+c\left[a^{\prime}, x\right]\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded and bi-linear. We show that there exists $(b, y) \in$ $Z\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ such that $\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=(b, y)\left[(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right]$, for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. We set $(b, y)=(c, 0)$. Then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=(c, 0)\left[(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right]$, for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in$ $A \oplus_{1} X$.

We denote the set of all $A$-bimodule bi-linear maps from a Banach $A$-bimodule $Y \times Y$ into an other Banach $A$-bimodule $Z$ by $\mathbb{H O M}_{A}(Y \times Y, Z)$. We now give an interesting result related to the bi-derivations on module extension algebras.

Theorem 2.8. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra, $\operatorname{HBD}(A)=0$ and let the only Abimodule map $\mathcal{P} \in B^{2}(X, A)$ satisfies $x_{1} \cdot \mathcal{P}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+\mathcal{P}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}=0$, for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$ be 0 , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HBD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \cong \operatorname{HBD}(A, X) \oplus \mathbb{H O M}_{A}(X \times X, X) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as vector spaces.
Proof. Since $\operatorname{HBD}(A)=0$, for any $\mathcal{D}_{A} \in \operatorname{BD}(A)$ and $a \in A, X \cdot \mathcal{D}_{A}(0, a)=\mathcal{D}_{A}(a, 0) \cdot X=0$. Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{X}$ is an $A$-bimodule. Define $\Phi: \operatorname{BD}(A, X) \oplus \mathbb{H O M}_{A}(X \times X, X) \longrightarrow \operatorname{HBD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ by $\Phi(R, S)=\left[\mathcal{D}_{R, S}^{\prime}\right]$, where $\left[\mathcal{D}_{R, S}^{\prime}\right]$ is the equivalence class of $\mathcal{D}_{R, S}^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{HBD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{R, S}^{\prime}\left((a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(0, R\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+S\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right)$, for all $(a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. Clearly, $\Phi$ is linear. We show that $\Phi$ is surjective. Let $\mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{BD}(A, X)$, then by Theorem 2.1, $\mathcal{D}$ is as the following form:

$$
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right), \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$, note that according to the our assumption $\mathcal{D}_{A, X}=0$. Since $\operatorname{HBD}(A)=0$, there exists $c \in Z(A)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)=c\left[a, a^{\prime}\right]$, for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$. Define $T:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \times\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow X$ by $T\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=c\left[a, x^{\prime}\right]+c\left[a^{\prime}, x\right]$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{R, S}\left((a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}_{X}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}-T}\left((a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(0, \mathcal{D}_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+\mathcal{D}_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)-c\left[a, x^{\prime}\right]-c\left[a^{\prime}, x\right]\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. Then

$$
\mathcal{D}\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)-\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}_{X}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}-T}^{\prime}\left((a, x),\left(a, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\mathcal{D}_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right), c\left[a, x^{\prime}\right]+c\left[a^{\prime}, x\right]\right)
$$

for all $(a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right) \in A \oplus_{1} X$. Then by the proof of Lemma 2.7(i), we have $\mathcal{D}-\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}_{X}, \mathcal{D}_{X, A}-T}^{\prime}$ is an inner bi-derivation. Thus, $\Phi(R, S)=\left[\mathcal{D}_{R, S}\right]=[\mathcal{D}]$. Finally, by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} \Phi & =\left\{\left(\mathcal{D}_{X, A}, \mathcal{D}_{X}\right) \in \operatorname{BD}(A, X) \oplus \mathbb{H O}_{\mathbb{M}_{A}}(X \times X, X): \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{D}_{X, A}, \mathcal{D}_{X}} \text { is central inner }\right\} \\
& =\left\{\left(\mathcal{D}_{X, A}, \mathcal{D}_{X}\right) \in \operatorname{BD}(A, X) \oplus \mathbb{H O M}_{A}(X \times X, X): \mathcal{D}_{X, A} \in \operatorname{IBD}(A, X) \text { and } \mathcal{D}_{X}=0\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{IBD}(A, X)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that (2.19) holds.

Note that in the above Theorem if $X=A$, then $\mathbb{H O M}_{A}(A \times A, A)=\operatorname{QM}(A)$ and so, by assumptions in Theorem 2.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HBD}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \cong \operatorname{QM}(A) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 2.9. Let $M_{n}$ be an algebra consists of all $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{P} \in B^{2}\left(M_{n}, M_{n}\right)$ be an $M_{n}$-bimodule map such that $A \cdot \mathcal{P}(B, C)=-\mathcal{P}(A, B) \cdot C$, for all $A, B, C \in M_{n}$. Note that there are $A, B \in M_{n}$ such that $\mathcal{P}(A, B) \neq-\mathcal{P}(B, A)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{P}(A, B)=\left(\alpha_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$ and $\mathcal{P}(B, A)=\left(\beta_{i j}\right)_{n \times n}$. Let $a \in A$ and set $A=C=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{n \times n} \in M_{n}$ such that $a_{i i}=a$ and $a_{i j}=0$, for all $i \neq j$, where $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a \beta_{i j}\right)_{n \times n} & =A \cdot \mathcal{P}(B, A)=-\mathcal{P}(A, B) \cdot A \\
& =-\left(\alpha_{i j} a\right)_{n \times n}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\alpha_{i j}=-\beta_{i j}$, for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, a contradiction. Thus, $\mathcal{P}=0$. By [8, Propositions 1.3.51 and 1.3.52], $M_{n}$ is a simple algebra and consequently is a prime Banach algebra. From [6, Theorem 3.3], we have $\operatorname{HBD}\left(M_{n}\right)=0$. Then (2.21) implies that $\operatorname{HBD}\left(M_{n} \oplus_{1} M_{n}\right) \cong \operatorname{QM}\left(M_{n}\right)$.

## 3. Quasi-multipliers

As we mentioned in the first section, quasi multipliers are a generalization of multipliers. In [9], Daws introduced a module version of multiplies that is another generalization of multipliers. He called a linear map $T$ from a Banach algebra $A$ into a Banach $A$-bimodule $X$, a left multiplier of $X$; if $T(a b)=T(a) \cdot b$, for all $a, b \in A$. Similarly, $T$ is a right multiplier of $X$; if $T(a b)=a \cdot T(b)$, for all $a, b \in A$. In this section, we say that $m: A \times A \longrightarrow X$ is a quasi-multiplier of $X$ or $m \in \mathrm{QM}(A, X)$, if $m(a b, c d)=a \cdot m(b, c) \cdot d$, for all $a, b, c, d \in A$. Our aim in this section is characterizing of quasi-multipliers on the module extensions $A \oplus_{1} X$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A \oplus_{1} X$ be a module extension Banach algebra, then $m \in \operatorname{QM}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(m_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), m_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+m_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that
(i) $m_{A} \in \operatorname{QM}(A)$,
(ii) $m_{X, A} \in \operatorname{QM}(A, X)$,
(iii) $m_{A, X}$ is an A-bimodule map such that $x_{1} \cdot m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}=0$.
(iv) $m_{X}$ is an A-bimodule map such that $m_{X}(x, 0)=m_{X}(0, x)=0$, for every $x \in X$.

Proof. Let $m \in \mathrm{QM}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$. Suppose that the mappings $\imath_{A}, \imath_{X}, \pi_{A}:\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow A$ and $\pi_{X}$ : $\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right) \longrightarrow X$ are the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $m_{A}:=\pi_{A} \circ m \circ \imath_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow A, m_{X}:=$ $\pi_{X} \circ m \circ \imath_{X}: X \times X \longrightarrow X, m_{A, X}:=\pi_{A} \circ m \circ \imath_{X}: X \times X \longrightarrow A$ and $m_{X, A}:=\pi_{X} \circ m \circ \imath_{A}: A \times A \longrightarrow X$. Since, $m$ is bi-linear, the above-defined maps are bi-linear. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(m_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right), m_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+m_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, a^{\prime} \in A$ and $x, x^{\prime} \in X$. For any $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X,(2.2)$ implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right) m\left(\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & \left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right)\left(m_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), m_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+m_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(a_{1} m_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+a_{1} m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right), a_{1} \cdot m_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+a_{1} \cdot m_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+x_{1} \cdot m_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+x_{1} \cdot m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right)\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & m\left(\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}\right),\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(m_{A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right), m_{X, A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+m_{X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}+x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(m_{A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right), m_{X, A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+m_{X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}, x_{3}\right)+m_{X}\left(x_{1} \cdot a_{2}, x_{3}\right)\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=a_{1} m_{A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{X, A}\left(a_{1} a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=a_{1} \cdot m_{X, A}\left(a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, putting $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and $x_{1}=0$, imply that $m_{X}\left(0, x_{3}\right)=0$ and for $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \cdot m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By letting $a_{2}=a_{3}=0$ and (3.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2} \cdot, x_{3}\right)=a_{1} \cdot m_{X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A, X}\left(a_{1} \cdot x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=a_{1} \cdot m_{A, X}\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An argument similar to that in the above, for any $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in A$ and $x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3} \in X$, by (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)\right)= & m\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2} a_{3}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)\right) \\
= & \left(m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right), m_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+m_{X}\left(x_{1}, a_{2} \cdot x_{3}+x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
m\left(\left(a_{1}, x_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, x_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right)= & \left(m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)+m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), m_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+m_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right) \cdot\left(a_{3}, x_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) a_{3}+m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) a_{3}, m_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3}+m_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}+m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by putting $x_{1}=x_{2}=x_{3}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)=m_{A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) a_{3} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2} a_{3}\right)=m_{X, A}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (3.5), (3.6), (3.12) and (3.13) imply that $m_{A} \in \operatorname{QM}(A)$ and $m_{X, A} \in \mathrm{QM}(A, X)$. Set $a_{1}=a_{2}=$ $a_{3}=0$ and $x_{3}=0$, then $m_{X}\left(x_{1}, 0\right)=0$. This implies that, for $a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot x_{3}=0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $a_{1}=a_{2}=0$, by (3.14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)=m_{X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \cdot a_{3}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if $a_{1}=a_{3}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{2} \cdot a_{3}\right)=m_{A, X}\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right) a_{3} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (3.15) and (3.16), $m_{A, X}$ and $m_{X}$ are right $A$-module. Hence, $m_{A, X}$ and $m_{X}$ are $A$-bimodule.

Remark 3.2. In the module extension Banach algebra $A \oplus_{1} X$, if $A=X$ is one of the following Banach algebra: (1) without of order, i.e., for any $a, b \in A, a b=0$ implies that $a=0$ or $b=0$, (2) unital, (3) $a$ Banach algebra with a non-zero idempotent element or (4) a Banach algebra with a left (right) bounded approximate identity, then the map $m_{A, X}$ in Theorem 3.1 is zero. Thus, $m \in \operatorname{QM}\left(A \oplus_{1} X\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left((a, x),\left(a^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(m_{A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right), m_{X}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)+m_{X, A}\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)\right) \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that
(i) $m_{A} \in \operatorname{QM}(A)$,
(ii) $m_{X, A} \in \operatorname{QM}(A)$,
(iii) $m_{X} \in \operatorname{QM}(A)$ such that $m_{X}(x, 0)=m_{X}(0, x)=0$, for every $x \in A$.

If we denote the set of all quasi-multipliers such as $m_{X}$ by $\operatorname{QM}(A)^{\prime}$, then we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{QM}\left(A \oplus_{1} A\right)=\operatorname{QM}(A) \oplus\left(\operatorname{QM}(A)+\operatorname{QM}(A)^{\prime}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.3. Let $G$ be a locally compact group, $L^{1}(G)$ and $M(G)$ be the group and the measure algebras on $G$, respectively. Then by [22] and Remark 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G) \oplus_{1} L^{1}(G)\right) & =\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G)\right) \oplus\left(\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G)\right)+\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G)\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =M(G) \oplus\left(M(G)+\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G)\right)^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.4. Let $G$ be a non-compact locally compact abelian group, $A(G)$ and $B(G)$ be the Fourier and the Fourier-Stieltjes algebras on $G$, respectively. We have $L^{1}(G)=A(\hat{G})$, where $\hat{G}$ is the dual of $G$ and $M(\hat{G}) \cong B(G)$. Then by Example 3.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{QM}\left(A(G) \oplus_{1} A(G)\right) & =\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(\hat{G}) \oplus_{1} L^{1}(\hat{G})\right) \\
& =M(\hat{G}) \oplus\left(M(\hat{G})+\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(\hat{G})\right)^{\prime}\right) \\
& =B(G) \oplus\left(B(G)+\operatorname{QM}\left(L^{1}(G)\right)^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S$ be a locally compact semigroup and $M(S)$ be the space of all bounded complex regular Borel measures on $S$. A locally compact semigroup $S$ is called a foundation semigroup if $\bigcup\{\operatorname{supp}(\mu): \mu \in$ $\left.M_{a}(S)\right\}$ is dense in $S$, where $M_{a}(S)$ is a subspace of $M(S)$ contains all $\mu \in M(S)$ such that the maps $s \mapsto \delta_{s} *|\mu|$ and $s \mapsto|\mu| * \delta_{s}$ from $S$ into $M(S)$ are continuous ( $\delta_{s}$ denotes the Dirac measure at $s$ ). A complex-valued bounded function $g$ on $S$ is called $M_{a}(S)$-measurable, if it is $\mu$-measurable, for all $\mu \in M_{a}(S)$. The space of such functions denotes by $L^{\infty}\left(S, M_{a}(S)\right)$ and, for every $g \in L^{\infty}\left(S, M_{a}(S)\right)$,

$$
\|g\|_{\infty}=\sup \left\{\|g\|_{\infty,|\mu|}: \mu \in M_{a}(S)\right\}
$$

where $\|g\|_{\infty,|\mu|}$ denotes the essential supremum norm with respect to $|\mu|$. The semigroup $S$ is called compactly cancellative if for any two compact subsets $C$ and $D$ of $S$, the following two sets are compact subsets of $S$

$$
\begin{aligned}
C D^{-1} & =\{x \in S: x d \in C \text { for some } d \in D\} \\
D C^{-1} & =\{x \in S: c x \in D \text { for some } c \in D\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 3.5. Let $S$ be a compactly cancellative foundation semigroup with identity. Then by [1, Corollary 3.2], $\operatorname{QM}\left(M_{a}(S)\right)=M(S)$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{QM}\left(M_{a}(S) \oplus_{1} M_{a}(S)\right)=M(S) \oplus\left(M(S)+\operatorname{QM}\left(M_{a}(S)\right)^{\prime}\right)
$$
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