



## Upper Bound to Second Hankel Determinant for a family of Bi-Univalent Functions

Abbas Kareem Wanas

**ABSTRACT:** In the current investigation, we study a certain family of analytic and bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points defined in the open unit disk  $U$  and find an upper bounds for the second Hankel determinant  $H_2(2)$  of the functions belongs to this class.

**Key Words:** Analytic functions, bi-univalent functions, symmetric conjugate, upper bounds, second Hankel determinant.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Main Results</b>	<b>2</b>

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  stand for the family of all analytic functions in the open unit disk  $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$  and having the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  indicate the family of all functions in  $\mathcal{A}$  which are univalent in  $U$ .

One of the significant tools in the theory of univalent functions is Hankel determinant which are utility, for example, in showing that a function of bounded characteristic in  $U$ , i.e., a function which is a ratio of two bounded analytic functions, with its Laurent series around the origin having integral coefficients, is rational [8]. Also the Hankel determinant plays an important role in the study of singularities. Noonan and Thomas [19] defined the  $q^{\text{th}}$  Hankel determinant of  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  for  $n \geq 0$  and  $q \geq 1$  as

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & \cdots & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & \cdots & a_{n+q} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & \cdots & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix} \quad (a_1 = 1).$$

The Hankel determinants

$$H_2(1) = \begin{vmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_2 & a_3 \end{vmatrix} = a_3 - a_2^2$$

and

$$H_2(2) = \begin{vmatrix} a_2 & a_3 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{vmatrix} = a_2 a_4 - a_3^2$$

are well known as Fekete-Szegő and second Hankel determinant functionals, respectively. Fekete and Szegő [13] consider the further generalized functional  $a_3 - \mu a_2^2$ , where  $\mu$  is real number. Recently, several authors established upper bounds for the Hankel determinant of functions belonging to various subclasses of univalent functions (see [1,3,9,16,17,18]).

According to the Koebe one-quarter theorem [11], every  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  has an inverse function  $f^{-1}$  which satisfies  $f^{-1}(f(z)) = z$ , ( $z \in U$ ) and  $f(f^{-1}(w)) = w$ , ( $|w| < r_0(f)$ ,  $r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4}$ ), where

$$g(w) = f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \cdots \quad (1.2)$$

A function  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  is said to be bi-univalent in  $U$  if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are univalent in  $U$ . Let  $\Sigma$  denote the family of bi-univalent functions in  $U$  satisfying (1.1). In fact, Srivastava et al. [23] have apparently revived the study of analytic and bi-univalent functions in recent years. Recently, many authors introduced various subclasses of the bi-univalent function class  $\Sigma$  and considered estimates on the first two coefficients  $|a_2|$  and  $|a_3|$  in the Taylor-Maclaurin series expansion (1.1) (see [2,4,5,7,14,20,22,24,25]). The problem of finding the coefficient estimates on  $|a_n|$  ( $n = 3, 4, \dots$ ) for functions  $f \in \Sigma$  is still an open problem.

On the other hand, Zaprawa [26,27] extended the study of the Fekete-Szegő problem for some classes of bi-univalent functions. Very recently, the upper bounds of  $H_2(2)$  for some classes were discussed by Deniz et al. [10] (see also [6]).

El-Ashwah and Thomas [12] introduced the class  $S_{sc}^*$  of starlike functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points for  $f \in S$  and satisfying the following condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})}} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U.$$

This class can be extended to other class in  $U$ , namely convex functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points. Let  $C_{sc}$  denote the class of convex functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points and satisfy the condition:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{(f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})})'} \right\} > 0, \quad z \in U.$$

To prove our main results, we shall require the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** [21] *If the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$  is given by the series  $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + p_3z^3 + \dots$ , then the sharp estimate  $|p_k| \leq 2$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) holds.*

**Lemma 1.2.** [15] *If the function  $p \in \mathcal{P}$ , then*

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + (4 - p_1^2)x$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)x - p_1(4 - p_1^2)x^2 + 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z,$$

for some  $x, z$  with  $|x| \leq 1$  and  $|z| \leq 1$ .

## 2. Main Results

We begin this section by defining the function family  $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{sc}(\lambda, \gamma)$  as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** *A function  $f \in \Sigma$  is said to be in the family  $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{sc}(\lambda, \gamma)$  ( $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ ) if it satisfies the conditions:*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\lambda z (f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})})' + (1 - \lambda) (f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})})} \right\} > \frac{\gamma}{2}$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{\lambda w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\lambda w (g(w) - \overline{g(-\bar{w})})' + (1 - \lambda) (g(w) - \overline{g(-\bar{w})})} \right\} > \frac{\gamma}{2},$$

where  $g = f^{-1}$  is given by (1.2).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  belongs to the family  $\mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\text{sc}}(\lambda, \gamma)$ . Then*

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{2(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3} \left( (1-\gamma)^2 (\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) + (\lambda+1)^2 \right), \\ \gamma \in \left[ 0, 1 - \frac{(\lambda+1) \left( (3\lambda+1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda+1)^2 + 8\lambda^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right)}{2(2\lambda+1)(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right]; \\ \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{8(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \frac{(1-\gamma)^2(3\lambda+1)(8\lambda^2(2\lambda-1)-35\lambda-9)-6(1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1)-(\lambda+1)^2(4\lambda(3\lambda+1)+1)}{(1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)-(1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1)-2\lambda^2(\lambda+1)^2}, \\ \gamma \in \left( 1 - \frac{(\lambda+1) \left( (3\lambda+1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda+1)^2 + 8(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right)}{4(2\lambda+1)(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}, 1 \right). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{N}_{\Sigma}^{\text{sc}}(\lambda, \gamma)$ . Then there exists  $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$  such that

$$\frac{\lambda z^2 f''(z) + z f'(z)}{\lambda z \left( f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})} \right)' + (1-\lambda) \left( f(z) - \overline{f(-\bar{z})} \right)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} p(z) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\frac{\lambda w^2 g''(w) + w g'(w)}{\lambda w \left( g(w) - \overline{g(-\bar{w})} \right)' + (1-\lambda) \left( g(w) - \overline{g(-\bar{w})} \right)} = \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{1-\gamma}{2} q(w), \quad (2.2)$$

where  $g = f^{-1}$  and  $p, q$  have the following series representations:

$$p(z) = 1 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots$$

and

$$q(w) = 1 + q_1 w + q_2 w^2 + q_3 w^3 + \dots$$

By equating the coefficients in (2.1) and (2.2), we have

$$(\lambda+1) a_2 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} p_1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(2\lambda+1) a_3 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} p_2, \quad (2.4)$$

$$2(3\lambda+1) a_4 - (\lambda(2\lambda+3)+1) a_2 a_3 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} p_3, \quad (2.5)$$

$$-(\lambda+1) a_2 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} q_1, \quad (2.6)$$

$$(2\lambda+1) (2a_2^2 - a_3) = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} q_2 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$-2(3\lambda+1) (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) + (\lambda(2\lambda+3)+1) (2a_2^2 - a_3) a_2 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2} q_3, \quad (2.8)$$

In view of (2.3) and (2.6), it easy to see that

$$p_1 = -q_1 \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$a_2 = \frac{1-\gamma}{2(\lambda+1)} p_1. \quad (2.10)$$

By subtracting (2.4) from (2.7) and using (2.10), we get

$$a_3 = \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{4(\lambda+1)^2} p_1^2 + \frac{1-\gamma}{4(2\lambda+1)} (p_2 - q_2). \quad (2.11)$$

Also, subtracting (2.5) from (2.8), further computations using (2.10) and (2.11) lead to

$$a_4 = \frac{(2\lambda+1)(1-\gamma)^3}{16(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2} p_1^3 + \frac{5(1-\gamma)^2}{16(\lambda(2\lambda+3)+1)} p_1(p_2 - q_2) + \frac{1-\gamma}{8(3\lambda+1)} (p_3 - q_3). \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, using (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)(1-\gamma)^4}{32(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3} p_1^4 + \frac{(1-\gamma)^3}{32(2\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2} p_1^2 (p_2 - q_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{16(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} p_1 (p_3 - q_3) - \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{16(2\lambda+1)^2} (p_2 - q_2)^2 \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

According to Lemma 1.2 and (2.9), we write

$$p_2 - q_2 = \frac{(4-p_1^2)(x-y)}{2} \quad (2.14)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p_3 - q_3 &= \frac{p_1^3}{2} + \frac{p_1(4-p_1^2)(x+y)}{2} - \frac{p_1(4-p_1^2)(x^2+y^2)}{4} \\ &\quad + \frac{(4-p_1^2)\left[\left(1-|x|^2\right)z - \left(1-|y|^2\right)w\right]}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

for some  $x, y, z$  and  $w$  with  $|x| \leq 1$ ,  $|y| \leq 1$ ,  $|z| \leq 1$  and  $|w| \leq 1$ .

Substituting the calculated values from (2.14) and (2.15) in the right hand side of (2.13), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &= \left| \frac{p_1^4(1-\gamma)^4(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}{32(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3} + \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^3(x-y)}{64(2\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2} \right. \\ &\quad + \frac{p_1^4(1-\gamma)^2 + p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2(x+y)}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} - \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2(x^2+y^2)}{64(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{p_1(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2\left[\left(1-|x|^2\right)z - \left(1-|y|^2\right)w\right]}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} - \frac{(4-p_1^2)^2(1-\gamma)^2(x-y)^2}{64(2\lambda+1)^2} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{p_1^4(1-\gamma)^4(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}{32(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3} + \frac{p_1^4(1-\gamma)^2 + p_1(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^3}{64(2\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2} + \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \right] (|x| + |y|) \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{p_1^2(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{64(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} - \frac{p_1(4-p_1^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \right] (|x|^2 + |y|^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{(4-p_1^2)^2(1-\gamma)^2}{64(2\lambda+1)^2} (|x| + |y|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $p$  is in the class  $\mathcal{P}$ , so  $|p_1| \leq 2$ . Choosing  $p_1 = p$ , we can assume without loss of generality that  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Then, for  $\eta_1 = |x| \leq 1$  and  $\eta_2 = |y| \leq 1$ , we have

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq L_1 + L_2(\eta_1 + \eta_2) + L_3(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2) + L_4(\eta_1 + \eta_2)^2 = M(\eta_1, \eta_2),$$

where

$$L_1 = L_1(p) = \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{32(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \left[ \left( \frac{(1-\gamma)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}{(\lambda+1)^2} + 1 \right) p^4 - 2p^3 + 8p \right] \geq 0,$$

$$L_2 = L_2(p) = \frac{p^2(4-p^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{64(\lambda+1)} \left( \frac{1-\gamma}{\lambda(2\lambda+3)+1} + \frac{2}{3\lambda+1} \right) \geq 0,$$

$$L_3 = L_3(p) = \frac{p(p-2)(4-p^2)(1-\gamma)^2}{64(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \leq 0,$$

$$L_4 = L_4(p) = \frac{(4-p^2)^2(1-\gamma)^2}{64(2\lambda+1)^2} \geq 0.$$

We next maximize the function  $M(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  on the closed square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . We must investigate the maximum of  $M(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  according to  $p \in (0, 2)$ ,  $p = 0$  and  $p = 2$  taking into account the sign of  $M_{\eta_1\eta_1} \cdot$

$$M_{\eta_2\eta_2} - (M_{\eta_1\eta_2})^2.$$

Since  $L_3 < 0$  and  $L_3 + 2L_4 > 0$  for  $p \in (0, 2)$ , we conclude that

$$M_{\eta_1\eta_1} \cdot M_{\eta_2\eta_2} - (M_{\eta_1\eta_2})^2 < 0.$$

Therefore the function  $M$  cannot have a local maximum in the interior of the closed square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Now, we investigate the maximum  $M$  on the boundary of the closed square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

When  $\eta_1 = 0$  and  $0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1$  (similarly  $\eta_2 = 0$  and  $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1$ ), we have

$$M(0, \eta_2) = E(\eta_2) = L_1 + L_2\eta_2 + (L_3 + L_4)\eta_2^2.$$

(1) The case  $L_3 + L_4 \geq 0$ :

In this case for  $0 < \eta_2 < 1$  and any fixed  $p$  with  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ , it is easily observed that  $E'(\eta_2) = L_2 + 2(L_3 + L_4)\eta_2 > 0$ . Therefore  $E(\eta_2)$  is increasing function and hence, for fixed  $p \in [0, 2)$ , the maximum of  $E(\eta_2)$  occurs at  $\eta_2 = 1$  and

$$\max E(\eta_2) = E(1) = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4.$$

(2) The case  $L_3 + L_4 < 0$ :

Since  $L_2 + 2(L_3 + L_4) \geq 0$  for  $0 < \eta_2 < 1$  and any fixed  $p$  with  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ , it is easily observed that  $L_2 + 2(L_3 + L_4) < L_2 + 2(L_3 + L_4)\eta_2 < L_2$ . Therefore  $E'(\eta_2) > 0$  and hence, for fixed  $p \in [0, 2)$ , the maximum of  $E(\eta_2)$  occurs at  $\eta_2 = 1$ .

Also, for  $p = 2$ , we find

$$M(\eta_1, \eta_2) = \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{2(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1)} \left( \frac{(1-\gamma)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}{(\lambda+1)^2} + 1 \right). \quad (2.16)$$

Taking into account the value (2.16) and the cases 1 and 2, for  $0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1$  and any fixed  $p$  with  $0 \leq p \leq 2$ ,

$$\max E(\eta_2) = E(1) = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4.$$

When  $\eta_1 = 1$  and  $0 \leq \eta_2 \leq 1$  (similarly  $\eta_2 = 1$  and  $0 \leq \eta_1 \leq 1$ ), we have

$$M(1, \eta_2) = K(\eta_2) = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + (L_2 + 2L_4)\eta_2 + (L_3 + L_4)\eta_2^2.$$

Similarly to the above cases of  $L_3 + L_4$ , we find that

$$\max K(\eta_2) = K(1) = L_1 + 2L_2 + 2L_3 + 4L_4.$$

Since  $E(1) \leq K(1)$  for  $p \in [0, 2]$ ,  $\max M(\eta_1, \eta_2) = M(1, 1)$  on the boundary of the closed square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Hence, the maximum of  $M$  occurs at  $\eta_1 = 1$  and  $\eta_2 = 1$  in the closed square  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ .

Assume that  $T : [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$T(p) = \max M(\eta_1, \eta_2) = M(1, 1) = L_1 + 2L_2 + 2L_3 + 4L_4. \quad (2.17)$$

Now, substituting the values of  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  and  $L_4$  in (2.17), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} T(p) = & \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{32(3\lambda+1)(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1)^3} \left\{ \left[ (1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) \right. \right. \\ & - (1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) - 2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2 + 2(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3 \left. \right] p^4 \\ & + 4(\lambda+1) \left[ (1-\gamma)(3\lambda+1)(2\lambda+1) + 3(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1) - 4(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2 \right] p^2 \\ & \left. + 32(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $T(p)$  has a maximum value in an interior of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T'(p) = & \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{8(3\lambda+1)(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1)^3} \left\{ \left[ (1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) \right. \right. \\ & - (1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) - 2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1)^2 + 2(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3 \left. \right] p^3 \\ & \left. + 8(\lambda+1) \left[ (1-\gamma)(3\lambda+1)(2\lambda+1) + 3(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda+1) - 4(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^2 \right] p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

After some calculations, we consider the following cases:

Case1: Assume that

$$(1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) - (1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) - 2\lambda^2(\lambda+1)^2 \geq 0.$$

Thus

$$\gamma \in \left[ 0, 1 - \frac{(\lambda+1) \left( (3\lambda+1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda+1)^2 + 8\lambda^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right)}{2(2\lambda+1)(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right]$$

and so  $T'(p) > 0$  for  $p \in (0, 2)$ . Since  $T$  is an increasing function in the interval  $(0, 2)$ , hence the maximum point of  $T$  must be on the boundary of  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Then, we have

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq 2} T(p) = T(2) = \frac{(1-\gamma)^2}{2(3\lambda+1)(\lambda+1)^3} \left( (1-\gamma)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) + (\lambda+1)^2 \right).$$

Case2: Assume that

$$(1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) - (1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) - 2\lambda^2(\lambda+1)^2 < 0.$$

that is,

$$\gamma \in \left( 1 - \frac{(\lambda+1) \left( (3\lambda+1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda+1)^2 + 8\lambda^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)} \right)}{2(2\lambda+1)(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1)}, 1 \right).$$

Therefore  $T'(p) = 0$  implies the real critical point  $p_{0_1} = 0$  or

$$p_{0_2} = \sqrt{\frac{-2(1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) + 2(\lambda+1)^2 \left[ 4(\lambda(3\lambda+4)+1) - 3(2\lambda+1)^2 \right]}{(1-\gamma)^2(2\lambda+1)^2(\lambda(2\lambda-3)-1) - (1-\gamma)(\lambda+1)(6\lambda^2+5\lambda+1) - 2\lambda^2(\lambda+1)^2}}.$$

When

$$\gamma \in \left( 1 - \frac{(\lambda + 1) \left( (3\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda + 1)^2 + 8\lambda^2 (\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)} \right)}{2(2\lambda + 1)(\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)}, \right. \\ \left. 1 - \frac{(\lambda + 1) \left( (3\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda + 1)^2 + 8(2\lambda + 1)^2 (\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)} \right)}{4(2\lambda + 1)(\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)} \right),$$

we observe that  $p_{0_2} \geq 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is out of the interval  $(0, 2)$ . Hence the maximum value of  $T(p)$  occurs at  $p_{0_1} = 0$  or  $p_{0_2}$  which contradicts our assumption of having the maximum value at the interior point of  $p \in [0, 2]$ . Since  $T$  is an increasing function in the interval  $(0, 2)$ , so the maximum point of  $T$  must be on the boundary of  $p \in [0, 2]$ , that is,  $p = 2$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq 2} T(p) = T(2) = \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2}{2(3\lambda + 1)(\lambda + 1)^3} \left( (1 - \gamma)^2 (\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1) + (\lambda + 1)^2 \right).$$

When

$$\gamma \in \left( 1 - \frac{(\lambda + 1) \left( (3\lambda + 1) + \sqrt{(3\lambda + 1)^2 + 8(2\lambda + 1)^2 (\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)} \right)}{4(2\lambda + 1)(\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1)}, 1 \right),$$

we observe that that  $p_{0_2} < 2$ , that is,  $p_{0_2}$  is an interior of the interval  $[0, 2]$ . Since  $T''(p_{0_2}) < 0$ , so the maximum value of  $T(p)$  occurs at  $p = p_{0_2}$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq p \leq 2} T(p) = T(p_{0_2}) = \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2}{8(\lambda(3\lambda + 4) + 1)} \times \\ \times \frac{(1 - \gamma)^2 (3\lambda + 1) (8\lambda^2(2\lambda - 1) - 35\lambda - 9) - 6(1 - \gamma)(\lambda + 1)(6\lambda^2 + 5\lambda + 1) - (\lambda + 1)^2 (4\lambda(3\lambda + 1) + 1)}{(1 - \gamma)^2 (2\lambda + 1)^2 (\lambda(2\lambda - 3) - 1) - (1 - \gamma)(\lambda + 1)(6\lambda^2 + 5\lambda + 1) - 2\lambda^2 (\lambda + 1)^2}.$$

This completes the proof of our Theorem. □

### Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee(s) for their helpful comments and suggestions.

### References

1. Ali, R. M., Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V. and Supramaniam, S., *The Fekete-Szegö coefficient functional for transforms of analytic functions*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 35, 119-142, (2009).
2. Ali, R. M., Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V. and Supramaniam, S., *Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 25, 344-351, (2012).
3. Altınkaya, Ş. and Yalçın, S., *Fekete-Szegö inequalities for classes of bi-univalent functions defined by subordination*, Adv. Math. Sci. J. 3, 63-71, (2014).
4. Altınkaya, Ş. and Yalçın, S., *Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions*, Int. J. Anal. 2014, Art. ID 867871, 1-4, (2014).
5. Altınkaya, Ş. and Yalçın, S., *Coefficient estimates for two new subclasses of bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric points*, J. Funct. Spaces 2015, Art. ID 145242, 1-5, (2015).
6. S. Altınkaya, Ş. and Yalçın, S., *Second Hankel determinant for a general subclass of bi-univalent functions*, TWMS J. Pure Appl. Math. 7, no. 1, 98-104, (2016).
7. Caglar, M., Orhan, H. and Yagmur, N., *Coefficient bounds for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Filomat 27, 1165-1171, (2013).
8. Cantor, D. G., *Power series with integral coefficients*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69, 362-366, (1963).

9. Deekonda, V. K. and Thoutreedy, R., *An upper bound to the second Hankel determinant for functions in Mocanu class*, Vietnam J. Math. 43, 541-549, (2015).
10. Deniz, E., Caglar, M. and Orhan, H., *Second Hankel determinant for bi-starlike and bi-convex functions of order  $\beta$* , Appl. Math. Comput. 271, 301-307, (2015).
11. Duren, P. L., *Univalent Functions*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).
12. El-Ashwah, R. M. and Thomas, D. K., *Some subclasses of close-to-convex functions*, J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. 2, 86-100, (1987).
13. Fekete, M. and Szegő, G., *Eine Bemerkung Uber Ungerade Schlichte Funktionen*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 2, 85-89, (1933).
14. Frasin, B. A. and Aouf, M. K., *New subclasses of bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 24, 1569-1573, (2011).
15. Grenander, U. and Szegő, G., *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, California Monographs in Mathematical Sciences Univ. California Press, Berkeley, (1958).
16. Janteng, A. S., Halim, A. and Darus, M., *Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions*, Int. J. Math. Anal. 1, no. 13, 619-625, (2007).
17. Lee, S. K., Ravichandran, V. and Supramaniam, S., *Bounds for the second Hankel determinant of certain univalent functions*, J. Ineq. Appl. 281, 1-17, (2013).
18. Mishra, A. K. and Kund, S. N., *The second Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions associated with the Carlson-Shaffer operator*, Tamkang J. Math. 44, 73-82, (2013).
19. Noonan, J. W. and Thomas, D. K., *On the second Hankel determinant of a really mean  $p$ -valent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 223, no. 2, 337-346, (1976).
20. Orhan, H., Magesh, N. and Balaji, V. K., *Initial coefficient bounds for a general class of bi-univalent functions*, Filomat 29, 1259-1267, (2015).
21. Pommerenke, Ch., *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, (1975).
22. Srivastava, H. M., Eker, S. S., Hamidi, S. G. and Jahangiri, J. M., *Faber polynomial coefficient estimates for bi-univalent functions defined by the Tremblay fractional derivative operator*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 44, no. 1, 149-157, (2018).
23. Srivastava, H. M., Mishra, A. K. and Gochhayat, P., *Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions*, Appl. Math. Lett. 23, 1188-1192, (2010).
24. Wanas, A. K. and Majeed, A. H., *Chebyshev polynomial bounded for analytic and bi-univalent functions with respect to symmetric conjugate points*, Applied Mathematics E-Notes 19, 14-21, (2019).
25. Wanas, A. K. and Alina, A. L., *Applications of Horadam polynomials on Bazilevič bi-univalent function satisfying subordinate conditions*, Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 1294, 1-6, (2019).
26. Zaprawa, P., *On the Fekete-Szegő problem for classes of bi-univalent functions*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 21, 169-178, (2014).
27. Zaprawa, P., *Estimates of initial coefficients for bi-univalent functions*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Art. ID 357480, 1-6, (2014).

Abbas Kareem Wanas,  
Department of Mathematics,  
College of Science,  
University of Al-Qadisiyah,  
Iraq.  
E-mail address: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq