

Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. ©SPM -ISSN-2175-1188 ON LINE SPM: www.spm.uem.br/bspm (3s.) **v. 2023 (41)** : 1–7. ISSN-0037-8712 IN PRESS doi:10.5269/bspm.51771

# Some Fixed Point Theorems in Generalized M-Fuzzy Metric Space

Binod Chandra Tripathy, Sudipta Paul and Nanda Ram Das

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we define the expansive mapping in G-metric space and we prove some fixed point theorems in generalized M-fuzzy (GM-fuzzy) metric space.

Key Words: Fuzzy metric space, G-metric space, GM-fuzzy metric space, expansive mapping.

### Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries and Definitions	1
3	Main Results	3

#### 1. Introduction

The theory of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [18]. Thereafter the introduced notion has evolved in many directions of science and technology, where mathematics has a role. It has been studied by Tripathy and Borgohain [11], Tripathy and Duta [12] for studying the properties of sequences of fuzzy numbers, Tripathy and Ray [16] for studying fuzzy topological spaces, Deb and Saha [1], Dhange [2], Mustafa et. al [5], Sedghi et.al. [9], Sun and Yang [10], Tripathy et. al ([13], [14], [15]), Wang [17] and others for studying fixed point theory in fuzzy settings. Different researcher have interpreted and introduced the concept of fuzzy metric space in different ways. George and Veeramani [3] modified the concept of a fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek [4] and defined a Hausdorff topology on this fuzzy metric space.

The study of fixed points of a function satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of rigorous research activity. Mustafa and Sims [7] generalized the concept of a metric space. Based on the notion of generalized metric spaces, Mustafa et. al [8] obtained some fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying different contractive conditions.

# 2. Preliminaries and Definitions

**Definition 2.1** A fuzzy set M on an arbitrary set X is a function with domain X and range in [0,1].

**Definition 2.2** A binary operation  $*: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous *t*-norm if ([0, 1], \*) is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that  $a_1 * b_1 \leq a_2 * b_2$  whenever  $a_1 \leq a_2, b_1 \leq b_2$  for all  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in [0, 1]$ .

#### Examples of *t*-norm

(1) Minimum *t*-norm  $(*M) : *M(x, y) = min\{x, y\}.$ 

(2) Product *t*-norm (\*P) : \*P(x, y) = x.y.

(3) Lukasiewicz *t*-norm  $(*L) : *L(x, y) = max\{x + y - 1, 0\}.$ 

**Definition 2.3** Let X be a non-empty set and let  $G: X \times X \times X \to R^+$ , be a function satisfying the following properties:

 $(G_1)G(x, x, y) > 0$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ ;  $(G_2)G(x, y, z) = 0$ , if x = y = z;

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25. Submitted January 12, 2020. Published July 15, 2020

 $(G_3)G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } z = y;$   $(G_4)G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \cdots;$ (Symmetry in all three variables)  $(G_5) : G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z, a \in X;$ (rectangle inequality).

Then the function G is called Generalized metric or more specifically G-metric on X, and the pair (X, G) is called a G-metric space.

**Definition 2.4** The 3-tuple (X, M, \*) is called a fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set in  $X^2 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions, for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t_1, t_2, t > 0$ ,

(1) M(x, y, 0) = 0;(2) M(x, y, t) = 1 if and only if x = y;(3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t);(4)  $M(x, y, t_1 + t_2) \ge M(x, z, t_1) * M(z, y, t_2);$ (5)  $M(x, y, .) : (0, \infty) \to [0, 1]$  is continuous.

Then M is called fuzzy metric on X and (X, M, \*) is called fuzzy metric space and M(x, y, t) denotes the degree of nearness between x and y.

**Definition 2.5** A 3-tuple (X, M, \*) is said to be a Generalized M(GM)-fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary non-empty set, \* is a continuous t-norm and M is a fuzzy set on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$  satisfying the following conditions for each t, s > 0:

 $\begin{array}{l} (M1)M(x,x,y,t)>0 \mbox{ for all } x,y\in X \mbox{ with } x\neq y;\\ (M2)M(x,x,y,t)\geq M(x,y,z,t) \mbox{ for all } x,y,z\in X \mbox{ with } y\neq z;\\ (M3)M(x,y,z,t)=1 \mbox{ if and only if } x=y=z;\\ (M5)M(x,a,a,t)*M(a,y,z,s)\leq M(x,y,z,t+s);\mbox{ (the triangle inequality)}\\ (M6)M(x,y,z,.):(0,\infty)\rightarrow [0,1] \mbox{ is continuous.} \end{array}$ 

A GM-fuzzy metric space is said to be symmetric if M(x, y, y, t) = M(x, x, y, t) for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0.

**Example 2.1** Let X be a non-empty set and G be the G-metric on X. Denote a \* b = a.b for all  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ , For each t > 0:

 $M(x, y, z, t) = \frac{t}{t + G(x, y, z)}.$ 

Then (X, M, \*) is a GM-fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.6** Let (X, M, \*) be a *GM*-fuzzy metric space. Then

(a) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to coverage to x if and only if

 $M(x_m, x_n, x, t) \to 1$ , as  $n \to \infty$ ,  $m \to \infty$ , for each t > 0.

(b) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in X is said to be a G-Cauchy sequence if  $M(x_m, x_n, x_l, t) \to 1$  as  $m \to \infty, n \to \infty, l \to \infty$  for each t > 0.

(c) A GM-fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be G-complete.

**Lemma 2.7** If (X, M, \*) be a *GM*-fuzzy metric space, then M(x, y, z, t) is non-decreasing with respect to t for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Through out this article we assume that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, y, z, t) = 1$  and that N is the set of all natural numbers and that  $R^+$  is the set of all positive real numbers.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let (X, M, \*) be a GM-fuzzy metric space. Then the following properties are equivalent:

- 1)  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent to x.
- 2)  $M(x_n, x_n, x, t) \to 1$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .
- 3)  $M(x_n, x, x, t) \to 1$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .
- 4)  $M(x_m, x_n, x, t) \to 1$ , as  $m, n \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** Let (X, M, \*) be a *GM*-fuzzy metric space, then the following are equivalent:

1) The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is *G*-Cauchy. 2) For every  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and t > 0, there exists  $k \in N$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for  $n, m \ge k$ .

**Definition 2.10.** Let (X, M, \*) be a GM-fuzzy metric space. The following conditions are satisfied:  $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n) = M(x, y, z, t),$ whenever  $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n = y$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} z_n = z$ and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} M(x, y, z, t_n) = M(x, y, z, t),$ then M is called a continuous function on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.11** Let (X, M, \*) be a *GM*-fuzzy metric space. Then *M* is a continuous function on  $X^3 \times (0, \infty)$ .

**Lemma 2.12** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0,

$$kM(Tx, Ty, Tz, t) \ge M(x, y, z, t), \text{ where } k \in [0, 1)$$

$$(2.1)$$

**Lemma 2.13** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be a mapping satisfies the following conditions for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0

 $kM(Tx, Ty, Ty, t) \ge M(x, y, y, t),$ where  $k \in [0, 1)$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Definition 2.14** Let (X, M, \*) be a *GM*-fuzzy metric space and *T* be a self mapping on *X*. Then *T* is called expansive mapping if there exists a constant  $a \ge 1$ , such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0, we have

 $M(Tx, Ty, Tz, t) \ge aM(x, y, z, t).$ 

# 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant  $a \leq 1$  and a onto self mapping T on X, such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0,

$$M(Tx, Ty, Tz, t) \le aM(x, y, z, t). \tag{3.1}$$

Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Under the assumption, if Tx = Ty, then  $1 = M(Tx, Ty, Ty, t) \le aM(x, y, y, t)$ .

Which implies  $M(x, y, y, t) = 1 \Rightarrow x = y$ . Hence, T is injective and invertible.

Let h be the inverse mapping of T, then  $M(x, y, z, t) = M(T(hx), T(hy), T(hz), t) \le aM(hx, hy, hz, t)$ . Thus, for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0. we have,  $aM(hx, hy, hz, t) \ge M(x, y, z, t)$ .

Applying, Lemma 2.12, we conclude that inverse mapping h has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$ ; h(u) = u. But, u = T(h(u)) = T(u). This gives that u is also a fixed point of T.

Suppose there exists another fixed point  $v \neq u$  such that Tv = v. Then, Tv = v = T(h(v)) = h(T(v)).

So, Tv is another fixed point of h.

By uniqueness, we conclude that u = Tv = v, which implies that u is a unique fixed point of T.

**Theorem 3.2** Let(X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant  $c \leq 1$  and a surjective self mapping T on X, such that for all  $x, y \in X$  and t > 0,

 $M(Tx, Ty, Ty, t) \leq cM(x, y, y, t),$ Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Under the assumption, if Tx = Ty, then  $1 = M(Tx, Tx, Ty, t) \le cM(x, x, y, t)$ Which implies M(x, x, y, t) = 1.  $\Rightarrow x = y$ and hence T is invertible.

Let h be the inverse mapping of T, So,  $M(x, y, y, t) = M(T(hx), T(hy), T(hy), t) \le cM(hx, hy, hy, t)$ . Then, for all  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $cM(hx, hy, hy, t) \ge M(x, y, y, t)$ .

Applying Lemma 2.12 on the inverse mapping h, and use argument similar to that in Proof Theorem 3.1, we conclude that T has unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space. If there exists a constant  $k \leq 1$  and surjective self mapping on X, such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0.

$$M(Tx, Ty, Tz, t) \le k \{ M(x, z, z, t) * M(y, z, z, t) \}.$$
(3.2)

Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** The proof follows from Theorem 3.2 by taking z = y in condition (3.2).

**Theorem 3.4** Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space and let  $T : X \to X$  be a surjective mapping satisfying the following condition for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and t > 0,

 $M(T(x), T(y), T(z), t) \le k \max\{(M(x, z, z, t/2) * M(y, z, z, t/2)), (M(z, y, y, t/2))\}$ 

$$*M(x, y, y, t/2)), (M(z, x, x, t/2) * M(y, x, x, t/2)),$$
(3.3)

where  $k \leq 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof.** Condition (3.3) implies T is injective and therefore invertible. Let h be the inverse mapping of T. By condition (4), for all  $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$  We have, M(x, y, z, t) = M(T(hx), T(hy), T(hz), t) $\leq k \max\{(M(hx, hz, hz, t/2) * M(hy, hz, hz, t/2)), (M(hz, hy, hy, t/2))\}$ 

$$*M(hx, hy, hy, t/2)), (M(hz, hx, hx, t/2) * M(hy, hx, hx, t/2))\}$$
(3.4)

By (M4), we have

$$Max\{(M(hx, hz, hz, t/2) * M(hy, hz, hz, t/2)), (M(hz, hy, hy, t/2) * M(hx, hy, hy, t/2)),$$

$$(M(hz, hx, hx, t/2) * M(hy, hx, hx, t/2)) \le M(hx, hy, hz, t).$$
(3.5)

Thus equation (3.4) implies

$$kM(hx, hy, hz, t) \ge M(x, y, z, t). \tag{3.6}$$

Applying, Theorem 3.1 with the help of (3.6).

We conclude that the inverse mapping h has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$  Such that h(u) = u. But u = T(h(u)) = T(u),

Which shows that u is also a fixed point of T.

To show u is unique fixed point, we can use the same argument in Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.5**: Let (X, M, \*) be a complete non symmetric GM-fuzzy metric space and let  $T : X \to X$  be a surjective mapping satisfying the following condition for all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$ ,

$$M(T(x), T(y), T(y), t) \le kmax\{M(x, y, y, t), M(y, x, x, t)\}.$$
(3.7)

When  $k \leq 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof:** Since  $Max\{M(x, y, y, t), M(y, x, x, t)\} \leq M(x, y, y, t)$ , then from (3.7), we deduce

$$M(T(x), T(y), T(y), t) \le kM(x, y, y, t)$$
 for all  $x, y \in X, t > 0.$  (3.8)

From (3.8), it is clear that Theorem 3.2 implies that T has a unique fixed point.

**Corollary 3.6**: Let (X, M, \*) be a complete non-symmetric GM-fuzzy metric space, and let  $T : X \to X$  be a surjective mapping satisfying the following condition for all  $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$ ,

 $M(T(x), T(y), T(z), t) \le kmax\{(M(x, y, y, t/2) * M(y, x, x, t/2)), (M(x, z, z, t/2) * M(z, x, x, t/2))(M(z, y, y, t/2) * (M(y, z, z, t/2))\},$ when  $k \le 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof**: Follows from the Theorem 3.5 on taking z = y.

**Corollary 3.7**: Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space and let  $T : X \to X$  be a surjective mapping satisfying the following condition for all  $x, y, z \in X, t > 0$ ,

$$M(T(x), T(y), T(z), t) \le k \{ M(x, Tx, Tx, t/2) * M(Tx, y, z, t/2) \},$$
(3.9)

where  $k \leq 1$ . Then T has a unique fixed point.

**Proof:** From  $(M_4)$ , we have  $M(x, Tx, Tx, t/2) * M(Tx, y, z, t/2) \le M(x, y, z, t)$ . Then condition (10) becomes  $M(T(x), T(y), T(z), t) \le kM(x, y, z, t)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and the proof follows from (3.1).

**Theorem 3.8**: Let (X, M, \*) be a complete GM-fuzzy metric space and  $T : X \to X$  be an onto and continuous mapping satisfying the followings condition for all  $x \in X$  and t > 0,

$$M(T(x), T^{2}(x), T^{3}(x), t) \leq aM(x, Tx, T^{2}x, t).$$
(3.10)

Where  $a \leq 1$ . Then T has a fixed point.

**Proof**: Let  $x_0 \in X$ , since T is onto, so there exists an element  $x_1$  satisfying  $x_1 \in T^{-1}(x_0)$ . By the same argument we can pick up  $x_n \in T^{-1}(x_{n-1})$  where n = 2, 3, 4, 5, ...

Let  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$ , then there is a sequence  $x_n$  with  $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$  and  $T(x_n) = x_{n-1}$ . Then (3.10) implies

 $M(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-3}, t) = M(Tx_n, T^2x_n, T^3x_n, t) \le aM(x_n, Tx_n, T^2x_n, t)$ 

$$= aM(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, t). (3.11)$$

Therefore, we have

 $M(x_n, x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, t) \ge \frac{1}{a}M(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-3}, t).$ Let  $q = \frac{1}{a}$ , then  $q \ge 1$ .

It can be easily verified that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy and by completeness of (X, M, \*), the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $u \in X$ .

Since T is continuous, then  $T(x_n) = x_{n-1} \to T(u)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, T(u) = u, which shows that u is a fixed point of T.

Funding Statement. The work is done without any fund supported from any funding agency.

**Competing Interest.** The authors declare that the article is free from the competing interest.

#### References

- A. Deb Ray and P.K. Saha, Fixed point theorems on Generalized Fuzzy Metric spaces, Hacettepe Jour. Math. Statistics, 39(1)(2010), 1-9.
- 2. B.C. Dhange, Generalized metric spaces and mappings with fixed point, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., 84(4)(1992) 329-336
- 3. A. George, and P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets Syst., 64(3) (1994), 395-399.
- 4. O. Kramosil, and J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernelika. 11 (1975), 326-334.
- Z. Mustafa, H. Obiedat and F. Awawdeh, Some fixed point theorem for Mapping on complete G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2008(2008) article ID 189870, doi: 10.1155/2008/189870
- 6. Z. Mustafa, A new structure for generalized metric spaces with application to fixed point theory, Ph.D. Thesis, the university of New Castle, Australia, 2005.
- 7. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, Jour. Nonlinear Conv. Anal., 7(2) (2006), 289-297
- Z. Mustafa, F. Awawdeh and W. Shatanawi, Fixed point theorem for expansive mapping in G-metric spaces, Int. J. Contemp. Math, Sci., 5(50)(2010), 2463-2472.
- S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and H. Zhou, A common fixed point theorem in D\*-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2007(2007) Article ID 27906, 1-13
- G.P. Sun and K. Yang, Generalized fuzzy metric spaces with properties, Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology, 2(7)(2010) 673-678.
- 11. B.C. Tripathy and S. Borgogain, Some classes of difference sequence spaces of fuzzy real numbers defined by Orlicz function, Advances in Fuzzy Systems, 2011), Article ID216414, 6 pages.
- B.C. Tripathy and A. J. Dutta, On I-acceleration convergence of sequences of fuzzy real numbers, Math. Modell. Analysis, 17(4)(2012), 549-557.
- B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das Banach's and Kannan's fixed point results in fuzzy 2-metric spaces, Proyecciones J. Math., 32(4)(2013), 359-375.
- B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das, A fixed point theorem in a generalized fuzzy metric space, Boletim da Sociedade Paranaense de Matemática, 32(2)(2014), 221-227.
- B.C. Tripathy, S. Paul and N.R. Das Fixed point and periodic pint theorems in fuzzy metric space, Songklanakarin Journal of Science and Technology, 37(1)(2015), 89-92.
- B.C. Tripathy and G.C. Ray On mixed fuzzy topological spaces and countability, Soft Computing, 16(10)(2012), 1691-1695.

- S.Z. Wang, B.Y. Li, Z.M. Gao and K. Iseki, Some Fixed point theorem on Extension Mappings, Math. Japonica, 29(4) (1984), 631-636
- 18. L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Inf. control, 8(1965), 338-353

Binod Chandra Tripathy, Department of Mathematics, Tripura University, Suryamaninagar; Agartala - 799022 Tripura, India. E-mail address: tripathybc@yahoo.com; tripathybc@rediffmail.com

and

Sudipta Paul, Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014 Assam, India. E-mail address: sudiptapaul\_math@rediiffmail.com

and

Nanda Ram Das, Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati-781014 Assam, India. E-mail address: nrd47@yahoo.co.in