



## A Resonance Problem for $p$ -Laplacian with Mixed Boundary Conditions

Mustapha Haddaoui, Hafid Lebrimchi and Najib Tsouli

ABSTRACT: In this work, we are interested at the existence of nontrivial solutions for a nonlinear elliptic problems with resonance part and mixed boundary conditions. Our approach is variational and is based on the well known Landesman-Lazer type conditions.

Key Words:  $p$ -Laplacian, mixed boundary conditions, Landesman-Lazer type conditions.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction and main results</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Preliminaries</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Proof of main results</b>	<b>6</b>

### 1. Introduction and main results

In this work, we deal with the following problems with mixed boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda_1 |u|^{p-2} u + f(x, u) - h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \sigma, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda_1 |u|^{p-2} u + g(x, u) & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where  $p > 1$ ,  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ) with  $C^1$  boundary  $\partial\Omega$  such that  $\partial\Omega = \sigma \cup \Gamma$  and  $\sigma \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma$  is a sufficiently smooth  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional,  $\nu$  is the outward normal vector on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \Gamma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are a bounded Carathéodory functions,  $h \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$ , ( $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ ) and  $\lambda_1$  designates the first eigenvalue for the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \sigma, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

The investigation of existence of solutions for problems at resonance has drawn the attention of many authors, see for example [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,10].

In the recent paper of G. Li et al [8], the authors obtained, by using the Ljusternik-Schnirelman principle, the existence of a nondecreasing sequence of nonnegative eigenvalues of problem (1.2), and showed that the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  is simple, isolated and given by

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{u \in X} \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds},$$

where  $X := \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : u|_{\sigma} = 0\}$ , is a closed subspace of  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u|^p + |u|^p) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let us denote by  $\varphi_1$  the positive eigenfunction associated with  $\lambda_1$ , which can be chosen normalized. the authors characterized the seconde eigenvalue as follows

$$\lambda_2 = \inf\{\lambda : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of (1.2), with } \lambda > \lambda_1\}.$$

We assume that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the following hypotheses:

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35B34, 35D30, 35M10.

Submitted March 15, 2020. Published June 09, 2021

(F) For almost every  $x \in \Omega$ , there exist

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \pm\infty} f(x, s) = f_{\pm}(x),$$

(G) For almost every  $x \in \Gamma$ , there exist

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \pm\infty} g(x, \tau) = g_{\pm}(x).$$

We study the solvability of problem (1.1) under the well known Landesman-Laser type conditions for the resonance part. The following theorems (see [11]) is our main ingredient

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ . Assume that  $\Phi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and bounded from below. Then  $c = \inf_X \Phi$  is a critical point.*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Let  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional that satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, and suppose that  $X = V \oplus W$ , with  $V$  is a finite dimensional subspace of  $X$ . If there exists  $R > 0$  such that*

$$\max_{v \in V, \|v\|=R} \Phi(v) < \inf_{w \in W} \Phi(w),$$

then  $\Phi$  has a least a critical point on  $X$ .

Now, we are ready to state our main results.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Assume that (F) and (G) hold. Suppose that  $f(x, \cdot)$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  be strictly decreasing. Then problem (1.1) has at least one weak solution if and only if*

$$\int_{\Omega} f_+(x)\varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x)\varphi_1 ds < \int_{\Omega} h(x)\varphi_1 dx < \int_{\Omega} f_-(x)\varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_-(x)\varphi_1 ds. \quad (1.3)$$

**Theorem 1.4.** *Assume that (F) and (G) hold. Suppose that  $f(x, \cdot)$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  be increasing. Then problem (1.1) has at least one weak solution if and only if*

$$\int_{\Omega} f_-(x)\varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_-(x)\varphi_1 ds < \int_{\Omega} h(x)\varphi_1 dx < \int_{\Omega} f_+(x)\varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x)\varphi_1 ds. \quad (1.4)$$

**Theorem 1.5.** *Assume that (F) and (G) hold. If  $h \in L^{p'}(\Omega)$  satisfy (1.3) or (1.4), then problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + f(x, u) - h(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \sigma, \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = \lambda|u|^{p-2}u + g(x, u) & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

with  $\lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2$ , has at least one solution.

## 2. Preliminaries

Denoting by  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the variational functional corresponding to the problem (1.1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) = & \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx \\ & - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) ds + \int_{\Omega} h u dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F(x, t) &= \int_0^t f(x, \xi) d\xi \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, \\ G(x, \tau) &= \int_0^{\tau} g(x, \xi) d\xi \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Gamma, \quad \forall \tau \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the functional  $\Phi \in \mathcal{C}^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ , with derivative at point  $u \in X$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = & \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx - \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{p-2} u v ds \right) \\ & - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v dx - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) v ds + \int_{\Omega} h v dx, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for every  $v \in X$ , and its critical points correspond to solutions of (1.1).

Now, let denote  $V = \langle \varphi_1 \rangle$  the linear spans of  $\varphi_1$  and

$$W = \left\{ u \in X : \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} u dx + \int_{\Gamma} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} u ds = 0 \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

We can decompose  $X$  as a direct sum of  $V$  and  $W$ . Indeed, for  $u \in X$ , writing  $u = \alpha \varphi_1 + w$  where  $w \in X$  and

$$\alpha = \lambda_1 \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} u dx + \int_{\Gamma} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} u ds}{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx}.$$

Due to the fact that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi_1|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |\varphi_1|^p ds},$$

we get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} w dx + \int_{\Gamma} |\varphi_1|^{p-1} w ds = 0.$$

Therefore  $w \in W$ , (the uniqueness of  $w$  comes from the uniqueness of  $\lambda_1$ .) Hence

$$X = V \oplus W.$$

Recall that a functional  $\Phi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on  $X$ , if for any sequence such that  $|\Phi(u_n)| \leq c$  and  $\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ , we can show that there exists a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that (F), (G) and (1.3) or (1.4) are verified. Then the functional  $\Phi$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ , and  $c$  a real number such that:

$$|\Phi(u_n)| \leq c \text{ for all } n, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.4)$$

We claim that  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ . Otherwise, suppose by contradiction that

$$\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Put  $v_n = u_n / \|u_n\|$ , thus  $(v_n)$  is bounded, for a subsequence still denoted  $(v_n)$ , we can assume that  $v_n \rightharpoonup v$  weakly in  $X$ , by Sobelev injection theorems we have  $v_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $v_n \rightarrow v$  a.e in  $\Omega$ , since the range of the trace mapping  $X \hookrightarrow L^p(\Gamma)$  is continuous and compact (see [9]),  $v_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $L^p(\Gamma)$ . Dividing (2.3) by  $\|u_n\|^p$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v_n|^p ds \right) \right. \\ \left. - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^p} dx - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{G(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^p} ds + \int_{\Omega} h \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|^p} dx \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By the hypotheses on the functions  $f, g, h$  and  $(u_n)$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^p} dx + \int_{\Gamma} \frac{G(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|^p} ds - \int_{\Omega} h \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|^p} dx \right) = 0,$$

while

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx, \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} |v_n|^p ds = \int_{\Gamma} |v|^p ds,$$

from (2.5) we deduce that

$$1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p + |v_n|^p) dx = \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v|^p ds \right) + \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx.$$

Then  $v \neq 0$ . According to the variational characterization of  $\lambda_1$  and the weak lower semi continuity of norm yield

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v|^p ds \right) + \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v|^p + |v|^p) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla v_n|^p + |v_n|^p) dx = \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v|^p ds \right) + \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$v_n \rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } X, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx = \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v|^p ds \right).$$

Thus, by the simplicity of the eigenfunction  $\varphi_1$ , we deduce that  $v = \pm \varphi_1$ .

Now, from (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} -cp &\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx - \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u_n|^p ds \right) - p \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx \\ &\quad - p \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) ds + p \int_{\Omega} h u_n dx \leq cp. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

In view of (2.4), for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n$  large enough, one can also have

$$\begin{aligned} -\varepsilon \|u_n\| &\leq - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx + \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u_n|^p ds \right) + \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n ds - \int_{\Omega} h u_n \leq \varepsilon \|u_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Let

$$\varphi(x, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(x, s)}{s} & \text{if } s \neq 0 \\ f(x, 0) & \text{if } s = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\psi(x, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{G(x, s)}{s} & \text{if } s \neq 0 \\ g(x, 0) & \text{if } s = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose that  $v_n \rightarrow -\varphi_1$  (for example), then  $u_n(x) \rightarrow -\infty$  for a.e.  $x \in \Omega$ , it follows from hypotheses (F) and (G) that

$$\begin{cases} f(x, u_n) \rightarrow f_+(x) & \text{a.e } x \in \Omega, \\ \varphi(x, u_n) \rightarrow f_+(x) & \text{a.e } x \in \Omega, \\ g(x, u_n) \rightarrow g_+(x) & \text{a.e } x \in \Gamma, \\ \psi(x, u_n) \rightarrow g_+(x) & \text{a.e } x \in \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the Lebesgue's theorem imply

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} (f(x, u_n) v_n - p \varphi(x, u_n) v_n) dx = (p-1) \int_{\Omega} f_+(x) \varphi_1 dx, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} (g(x, u_n) v_n - p \psi(x, u_n) v_n) ds = (p-1) \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds. \tag{2.11}$$

Combining (2.6) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} -cp - \varepsilon \|u_n\| &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) u_n dx - p \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) u_n ds \\ &\quad - p \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) ds + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h u_n \leq cp + \varepsilon \|u_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $\|u_n\|$  the last inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-cp}{\|u_n\|} - \varepsilon &\leq \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) v_n dx - p \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, u_n) v_n dx + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) v_n ds \\ &\quad - p \int_{\Gamma} \psi(x, u_n) v_n ds + (p-1) \int_{\Omega} h v_n \leq \frac{cp}{\|u_n\|} + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and passing to the limits, we deduce from (2.10) and (2.11) that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_+(x) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds = \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx,$$

which contradicts (1.3). Thus  $(u_n)$  is bounded in  $X$ , for a subsequence denoted also  $(u_n)$ , there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $X$ , and strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $L^p(\Gamma)$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle \Phi'(u_n), (u_n - u) \rangle = 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi'(u_n), (u_n - u) \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda_1 \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \lambda_1 \int_{\Gamma} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) ds - \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) (u_n - u) ds + \int_{\Omega} h (u_n - u) dx = o_n(1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

It can be easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} |u_n|^{p-2} u_n (u_n - u) ds = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x, u_n) (u_n - u) dx &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u_n) (u_n - u) ds = 0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} h(x) (u_n - u) dx &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, from (2.12) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0.$$

Thus by the  $(S_+)$  property,  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $X$  and  $\Phi$  satisfies the  $(PS)$  condition.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Assume that  $(F)$ ,  $(G)$  and (1.3) are satisfied. Then the functional  $\Phi$  is coercive on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose by contadiction that  $\Phi$  is not coercive, then there exists a sequence  $(u_n)$  such that  $\|u_n\| \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $|\Phi(u_n)| \leq c$ . In the proof of lemma 2.1, we have showed that  $v_n = u_n/\|u_n\| \rightarrow \pm\varphi_1$ . Since

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p dx - \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u_n|^p ds \right),$$

one has

$$- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u_n) dx - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u_n) ds + \int_{\Omega} h u_n dx \leq \Phi(u_n) \leq c. \quad (2.13)$$

Assume  $v_n \rightarrow +\varphi_1$  (for example). Dividing (2.13) by  $\|u_n\|$ , we get

$$- \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} dx - \int_{\Gamma} \frac{G(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|} ds + \int_{\Omega} h \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|} dx \leq \frac{c}{\|u_n\|}.$$

Passing to the limits, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f_+(x) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds \geq \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx,$$

which contradicts (1.3).  $\square$

### 3. Proof of main results

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** If (1.3) holds, the coerciveness of the functional  $\Phi$  and the Palais-Smale condition entrain, from theorem 1.1, that  $\Phi$  attains its minimum, so problem (1.1) admits at least a weak solution in  $X$ .

we show that (1.3) is a necessary condition. Let  $u \in X$  be a weak solution of (1.1). Then taking  $v = \varphi_1$  as a test function in (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \varphi_1 dx &= \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^{p-2} u \varphi_1 ds \right) \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) \varphi_1 ds - \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) \varphi_1 ds = \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx.$$

Since  $f(x, \cdot)$  and  $g(x, \cdot)$  are strictly decreasing functions, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} f_+(x) \varphi_1 dx < \int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi_1 dx < \int_{\Omega} f_-(x) \varphi_1 dx \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Omega, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds < \int_{\Gamma} g(x, u) \varphi_1 ds < \int_{\Gamma} g_-(x) \varphi_1 ds \text{ for a.a. } x \in \Gamma. \quad (3.2)$$

Summing (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} f_+(x) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds < \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx < \int_{\Omega} f_-(x) \varphi_1 dx + \int_{\Gamma} g_-(x) \varphi_1 ds.$$

$\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** If (1.4) holds, then  $\Phi$  has the geometry of the saddle point theorem 1.2. Indeed, splitting  $X = V \oplus W$ , it is well known that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx \geq \lambda_2 \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) \text{ for all } u \in W. \quad (3.3)$$

Thus for  $u \in W$ , using Hölder inequality, (3.3) and recalling the properties of the functions  $F$  and  $G$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda_1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) ds + \int_{\Omega} h(x) u dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \left( 1 - \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \right) \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p - C_1 \left( |\Omega|^{1/p'} + |\Gamma|^{1/p'} + \|h\|_{p'} \right) \|u\|,\end{aligned}\quad (3.4)$$

and

$$\Phi(u) \geq \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) - C_2 \left( |\Omega|^{1/p'} + |\Gamma|^{1/p'} + \|h\|_{p'} \right) \|u\|,\quad (3.5)$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are positive constants,  $\|\cdot\|_{p'}$  denote the norm in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$ . Summing (3.4) and (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(u) &\geq \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{p(1 + \lambda_2)} \left( \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) - C_3 \left( |\Omega|^{1/p'} + |\Gamma|^{1/p'} + \|h\|_{p'} \right) \|u\| \\ &\geq \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{p(1 + \lambda_2)} \|u\|^p - C_3 \left( |\Omega|^{1/p'} + |\Gamma|^{1/p'} + \|h\|_{p'} \right) \|u\|.\end{aligned}\quad (3.6)$$

Then  $\Phi$  is coercive on  $W$ , so that

$$\inf_{w \in W} \Phi(w) > -\infty.\quad (3.7)$$

On the other hand, for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(t\varphi_1) &= - \int_{\Omega} F(x, t\varphi_1) dx - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, t\varphi_1) ds + t \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx \\ &= t \left( \int_{\Omega} h(x) \varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, t\varphi_1) \varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Gamma} \psi(x, t\varphi_1) \varphi_1 ds \right),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  has been defined by (2.8) and (2.9). From the Lebesgue theorem, it follows that  $\int_{\Omega} (h(x) - \varphi(x, t\varphi_1)) \varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Gamma} \psi(x, t\varphi_1) \varphi_1 ds$  tends to  $\int_{\Omega} (h(x) - f_+(x)) \varphi_1 - \int_{\Gamma} g_+(x) \varphi_1 ds$ , as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and the limit is negative by (1.4). Analogously, if  $t$  tends to  $-\infty$ , we have the same result with  $f_-(x)$  and  $g_-(x)$  exchanged with  $f_+(x)$  and  $g_+(x)$  respectively. In both cases we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Phi(t\varphi_1) = -\infty.\quad (3.8)$$

By (3.7) and (3.8), there exists  $R > 0$  such that

$$\max_{v \in V, \|v\|=R} \Phi(v) < \inf_{w \in W} \Phi(w).$$

Hence,  $\Phi$  satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.2, and there exists a critical point of  $\Phi$ , that is a solution of (1.1).

For the necessary condition, we can take the same technique as in the proof of theorem 1.3.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** The result of Lemma 2.1 holds true for the Euler functional associated to problem (1.5), that is

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\lambda}(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p dx - \frac{\lambda}{p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |u|^p ds \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u) dx - \int_{\Gamma} G(x, u) ds + \int_{\Omega} h u dx\end{aligned}$$

for every  $u \in X$ . Indeed, Let  $(u_n)$  be a sequence satisfying (2.3) and (2.4), suppose that  $(u_n)$  is unbounded, and define  $v_n = u_n/||u_n||$ , so that, up to subsequence,  $(v_n)$  converges weakly to a function  $v$  in  $X$ . Dividing (2.4) by  $||u_n||^{p-1}$ , and then taking  $\langle \Phi'_\lambda(u_n), v_n - v \rangle = o_n(1)$ , we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla (v_n - v) dx = 0$$

this fact implies (as in proof of Lemma 2.1) that  $v_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $X$ . since  $\langle \Phi'_\lambda(u_n), \psi/||u_n||^{p-1} \rangle = o_n(1)$ , with  $\psi \in X$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla \psi dx = \lambda \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p-2} v \psi dx + \int_{\Gamma} |v|^{p-2} v \psi ds \right),$$

so that  $v$  solve the problem  $-\Delta_p u = \lambda |u|^{p-2} u$  with mixed boundary condition on  $\partial\Omega$ . But this equation, being  $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ , has zero as the only solution by definition of  $\lambda_2$ . Thus  $v = 0$ , a contradiction with the strong convergence of  $v_n$  to  $v$ . Hence  $(u_n)$  is bounded. This implies, by same argument in proof of Lemma 2.1, that  $(u_n)$  is strongly convergent.

On the other hand, as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 1.3, rewrite everything with  $\lambda$  instead of  $\lambda_1$  and use the fact that  $\lambda < \lambda_2$ , we get the coerciveness of  $\Phi_\lambda$  on  $W$ .

Now, recalling that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla t\varphi_1|^p dx = \lambda_1 \left( \int_{\Omega} |t\varphi_1|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |t\varphi_1|^p ds \right), \quad \text{for every } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\lambda(t\varphi_1) &= \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda}{p} |t|^p \left( \int_{\Omega} |\varphi_1|^p dx + \int_{\Gamma} |\varphi_1|^p ds \right) \\ &\quad + t \left( \int_{\Omega} h(x)\varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, t\varphi_1)\varphi_1 dx - \int_{\Gamma} \psi(x, t\varphi_1)\varphi_1 dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

since  $\lambda > \lambda_1$  and  $p > 1$ , we have, as before

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \pm\infty} \Phi_\lambda(t\varphi_1) = -\infty.$$

Using again the saddle point theorem, the desired result follows.  $\square$

## References

1. G. A. Afrouzi, M. Mirzapour, A. Hadjian, S. Shakeri, *Existence of solutions of weak solutions for a semilinear problem with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Bulletin of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Volume 3 Issue 3(2011), Pages 109-114.
2. C. O. Alves, P. C. Carriao, O. H. Miyagaki, *Multiple solutions for a problem with resonance involving the  $p$ -laplacian*, Abstr. Appl. Anal, volume 3, number 1-2 (1998), 191-210.
3. A. Anane, O. Chakron, B. Karim, A. Zerouli, *Existence of solution for a resonant Steklov Problem*, Bol.Soc. Paranaense de Mat.(3s) v.27 1 (2009) 87-90.
4. A. Anane, J. P. Gossez, *Strongly nonlinear elliptic problems near resonance a variational approach*, Comm. Partial Diff Eqns, 15 (1990), 1141-1159.
5. D. Arcoya, L. Orsina, *Landesman-Lazer conditions and quasilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications. v.28 N 10 (1997) 1623-1632.
6. P. Drabek, S. B. Robinson, *Resonance Problems for the  $p$ -Laplacian*, Journal of Functional Analysis. 169,(1999) 189-200
7. El. M. Hssini, M. Massar, M. Talbi and N. Tsouli, *Existence of solutions for a fourth order problem at resonance*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat. (3s.) v. 32 2 (2014): 133-142.
8. G. Li, H. Liu, B. Cheng, *Eigenvalue problem for  $p$ -Laplacian with mixed boundary conditions*, Mathematical Sciences 2013, 7:8 doi:10.1186/2251-7456-7-8.
9. J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.



10. L. Li, Chun-Lei. Tang, *Infinitely many solutions for resonance elliptic systems*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 353 (2015) 35–40.
11. P. H. Rabinowitz, *Some minimax theorems and applications to partial differential equations*, Nonlinear Analysis: A collection of papers honor of Erich Röthe. Academic press, New York, 1978, pp. 161-177.

*Mustapha Haddaoui,*  
*University Moulay Ismail, FST Errachidia,*  
*LAMIMA Laboratory, ROALI Team,*  
*Morocco.*  
*E-mail address: m.haddaoui@umi.ac.ma*

*and*

*Hafid Lebrimchi,*  
*FS Oujda,*  
*LaMAO Laboratory,*  
*Morocco.*  
*E-mail address: h.lebrimchi@ump.ac.ma*

*and*

*Najib Tsouli,*  
*FS Oujda,*  
*LaMAO Laboratory,*  
*Morocco.*  
*E-mail address: tsouli@hotmail.com*