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ABSTRACT: In this paper, the study of the existence of a renormalized solution for quasilinear parabolic problem with variable exponents and measure data. The model is:

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)+\lambda|u|^{p(x)-2} u=\mu & \text { in } \quad Q=\Omega \times] 0, T[ \\ u=0 & \text { on } \quad \Sigma=\partial \Omega \times] 0, T[, \\ u(., 0)=u_{0}(.) & \text { in } \quad \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ and $T$ is any positive constant, $u_{0} \in L^{1}$ and for any measure with bounded total variation over $Q$ that do not charge the sets of zero $\mathrm{p}($.$) -capacity.$
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## 1. Introduction

Variable-exponent Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are the natural extensions of the classical constant exponent $L p$-spaces. This kind of theory finds many applications, for example in nonlinear elastic mechanics, electrorheological fluids dynamics, and image restoration etc. We refer the readers to [10]. In the classical case $(p()=$.2 or $p()=$.$p (a constant)), we recall that the notion of renormalized solutions$ was introduced by Di Perna and Lions [11] in their study of the Boltzmann equation. It has been studied by many authors under various conditions on the data the existence and uniqueness of the renormalized solution for parabolic equations with measure data in the classical Sobolev spaces (see [5], [7], [17] and [20]). In Sobolev space with variable exponents, the authors in [9] have proved the existence of entropy and renormalized solutions for strongly nonlinear elliptic equations in the framework of Sobolev spaces with variable exponents and in 2014, Chao zhang [22] provides the existence and uniqueness of entrpy solution for $p(x)$-Laplace equations with a Radon measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to the relative $p(x)$-capacity. The corresponding parabolic case equations in [12] have proved the existence of renormalized solutions for a class of nonlinear parabolic systems with variable exponents and, for the corresponding parabolic equations with $L^{1}$ data, the authors in [8] have proved the existence and uniqueness of renormalized solution to nonlinear parabolic equations with variable exponents and $L^{1}$ data. Chao Zhang and Shulin Zhou in [23] proved the existence and uniqueness results renormalized solutions and entropy solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations with variable exponents and $L^{1}$ data. The purpose of this article is to study the existence of renormalized solutions $u$ to the quasilinear parabolic problem involving the $p(x)$-Laplacian type operator

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{cases}u_{t}-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u)+\mathcal{B}(u)=\mu & \text { in } \quad Q=\Omega \times] 0, T[  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \quad \Sigma=\partial \Omega \times] 0, T[ \\ u(., 0)=u_{0}(.) & \text { in } \Omega\end{cases}
$$
\]

where $\Omega$ be a bounded-connected domain of $\mathbb{R}^{N},(N \geq 2)$ with lipshitz boundary $\partial \Omega$ and $\left.Q=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[$ for any fixed $T>0$. Let $p: \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow[1,+\infty)$ be a continuous real-valued function, let $p^{-}=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ and $p^{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ with $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<N$. The operator $-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u)=-\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ is a Leary lions operator (see assumption (4.1)-(4.3)), and $\mathcal{B}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{B}(u)=\lambda|u|^{p(x)-2} u$ is as continuous increasing function for $\lambda>0$ and $\mathcal{B}(0)=0$.
The existence of renormalized solution for quasilinear parabolic problem with variable exponents and measure data of (1.1), prove in clasical Sobolev space [20] in case where $u=b(u), b\left(u_{0}\right) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ with $b: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a increasing $C^{1}$-function and $b(0)=0$, for every $\mu$ is diffuse measure and also the purpose of this paper is to extend the results in [1] to the case of parabolic equations.
In the following section 2 is to recall some basic notations and properties of variable exponent LebesgueSobolev space. Section 3 is to introduce some basic knowledge on $p($.$) -parabolic capacity and properties$ of measures. Section 4, is devoted to set the main assumption, to the definition of renormalized solutions of (1.1). Section 5 is prove that the formulation of renormalized solution does not depend on the decomposition of $\mu$. Finally, to prove the main result of this paper (Theorem (5.1)), on the existence of a renormalized solution.

## 2. The Functional Spaces

We recall some defnitions and basic properties of the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. To refer to Fan and Zhao [13] for further properties of Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. Let $p: \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow[1,+\infty)$ be a continuous real-valued function, let $p^{-}=\min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ and $p^{+}=\max _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$ with $1<p()<$.$N . To denote the$ Lebesgue space with variable exponent $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ as the set of all measurable function $u: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for which the convex modular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{p(.)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is finite. If the exponent is bounded, i.e, if $p^{+}<+\infty$, then the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0 ; \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a norm in $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ called the Luxemburg norm. The space $\left(L^{p(.)}(\Omega) ;\|\cdot\|_{p(.)}\right)$ is a separable Banach space. Moreover, if $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<+\infty$, then $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ is uniformly convex, hence reflexive and its dual space is isomorphic to $L^{p \prime(.)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}=1$, for $x \in \Omega$. The following inequality will be used later:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \min \left\{\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}}\right\}  \tag{2.3}\\
\leq & \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x \leq \max \left\{\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}^{p^{-}},\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)}^{p^{+}}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the Hölder type inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{+}}\right)\|u\|_{p(.)}\|v\|_{p^{\prime}(.)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\mathrm{u} \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $\mathrm{v} \in L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega) ;|\nabla u| \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)\right\} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is Banach space equipped with the following norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{1, p(.)}=\|u\|_{p(.)}+\|\nabla u\|_{p(.)} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $\left(W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega) ;\|\cdot\|_{1, p(.)}\right)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space. The manipulation of the generalized Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces is plays and important role using the modular $\rho_{p(.)}$ of the space $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$. The results as follows:

Proposition 2.1. If $u_{n}, u \in L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $p+<+\infty$, the following properties hold true.
(i) $\|u\|_{p(.)}>1 \Longrightarrow\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p+}<\rho_{p(.)}(u)<\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p-}$,
(ii) $\|u\|_{p(.)}<1 \Longrightarrow\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p-}<\rho_{p(.)}(u)<\|u\|_{p(.)}^{p+}$,
(iii) $\|u\|_{p(.)}<1$ (respectively $\left.=1 ;>1\right) \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{p(.)}(u)<1$ (respectively $=1 ;>1$ ),
(iv) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p(.)} \longrightarrow 0($ respectively $\longrightarrow+\infty) \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{p(.)}\left(u_{n}\right)<1$ (respectively $\left.\longrightarrow+\infty\right)$,
(v) $\rho_{p(.)}\left(\frac{u}{\|u\|_{p(.)}}\right)=1$.

For a measurable function $u: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we introduce the following notation

$$
\rho_{1, p(.)}=\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x+\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x .
$$

Proposition 2.2. If $u \in W^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $p+<+\infty$, the following properties hold true.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (i)\|u\|_{1, p(.)}>1 \Longrightarrow\|u\|_{1, p(.)}^{p+}<\rho_{1, p(.)}(u)<\|u\|_{1, p(.)}^{p-} \\
& (\text { ii })\|u\|_{1, p(.)}<1 \Longrightarrow\|u\|_{1, p(.)}^{p-}<\rho_{1, p(.)}(u)<\|u\|_{1, p(.)}^{p+}, \\
& \left(\text { iii) }\|u\|_{1, p(.)}<1(\text { respectively }=1 ;>1) \Longleftrightarrow \rho_{1, p(.)}(u)<1 \quad(\text { respectively }=1 ;>1) .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Extending a variable exponent $p: \bar{\Omega} \longrightarrow[1,+\infty)$ to $\bar{Q}=\bar{\Omega} \times[0, T]$ by setting $p(x, t)=p(x)$ for all $(x, t) \in \bar{Q}$. We may also consider the generalized Lebesgue space

$$
L^{p(.)}(Q)=\left\{u: Q \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { mesurable such that } \int_{Q}|u(x, t)|^{p(x)} d(x, t)<\infty\right\}
$$

endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0 ; \int_{Q}\left|\frac{u(x, t)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d(x, t) \leq 1\right\}
$$

which share the same properties as $L^{p(.)}(\Omega)$.

## 3. The Inportance of Parabolic Capacity And Measures

### 3.1. The Parabolic Capacity

The relevant notion in the study of problems as (1.1) is the notion of parabolic $p($.$) -capacity. Let$ $Q=\Omega \times] 0, T[$ for any fixed $T>0$. We recall that for every $p>1$ and every open subset $U \subset Q$, the $p($.$) -parabolic capacity of U$ is given by (see [16])

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(.)}(U)=\inf \left\{\|u\|_{W_{p(.)}(0, T)}: u \in W_{p(.)}(0, T), u \geq X_{U} \text { a.e in } Q\right\}
$$

where

$$
W_{p(.)}(0, T)=\left\{u \in L^{p-}(0, T ; V), \nabla u \in\left(L^{p(.)}(Q)^{\mathbb{N}}, u_{t} \in L^{(p-)^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right.
$$

being $V=W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $V^{\prime}$ its dual space. As usual $W_{p(.)}(0, T)$ is endowed with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{W_{p(.)}(0, T)}=\|u\|_{L^{p-(0, T ; V)}}+\|\nabla u\|_{\left(L^{p(.)}(Q)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}}+\left\|u_{t}\right\|_{L^{(p-)^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; V^{\prime}\right)}
$$

The $p($.$) -parabolic capacity capp is then extended to arbitrary Borel subsets B \subseteq Q$ as

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{p(.)}(B)=\inf \left\{\operatorname{cap}_{p(.)}(U): B \subseteq U \text { and } U \subset Q \text { is open }\right\}
$$

### 3.2. The Measures

Let $\mathcal{N}(Q)$ denotes the set of all Radon measures with bounded variation on $Q$. Moreover, as already mentioned, by $\mathcal{M}_{0}(Q)$ we will denote the set of all measures with bounded total variation over $Q$ that do not charge the sets of zero $p($.$) -capacity, that is, if \mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(Q)$, then $\mu(E)=0$ for every Borel set $E \subset Q$ such that $\operatorname{cap}_{p(.)}(E)=0$.

In [16] the authors also proved the following decomposition theorem:

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mu$ be a bounded measure on $Q$. If $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(Q)$, then there exists $\left(f ; F ; g_{1} ; g_{2}\right)$ such that $f \in L^{1}(Q), \quad F \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{\mathbb{N}}, \quad g_{1} \in L^{(p-)^{\prime}}\left(0, T ; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, $g_{2} \in L^{(p-)}(0, T ; V)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{Q} \varphi d \mu=\int_{Q} f d x d t+\int_{Q} F \nabla \varphi d x d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle g_{1}, \varphi\right\rangle d t-\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\varphi_{t}, g_{2}\right\rangle d t \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}([0, T] \times \Omega)$. Such a triplet $\left(f, F, g_{1}, g_{2}\right)$ will be called a decomposition of $\mu$.
Note that the decomposition of $\mu$ is not uniquely determined.
In the proof of that result the density will be used as an argument, and so the following preliminary result can be found, for instance, in [16].

Proposition 3.2. Let $\mu \in M_{0}(Q)$. Then there exists a decomposition $(f ; F ; \operatorname{div}(G) ; g)$ of $\mu$ in the sense of Theorem (3.1) and an approximation $\mu^{\varepsilon}$ of $\mu$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(Q) ; \quad\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \leq C  \tag{3.2}\\
& \int_{Q} \mu^{\varepsilon} \varphi d x d t=\int_{Q} f^{\varepsilon} \varphi d x d t+\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} \nabla \varphi d x d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\operatorname{div}\left(G^{\varepsilon}\right), \varphi\right\rangle d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\varphi_{t}, g^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle d t, \quad \forall \varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(Q) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
f^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(Q): & f^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow f & L^{1}(Q) \\
F^{\varepsilon} \in\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(Q)\right)^{N}: & F^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow F & \left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N} \\
G^{\varepsilon} \in\left(C_{c}^{\infty}(Q)\right)^{N}: & G^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G & \left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}, \\
g^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(Q): & g^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow g & L^{(p-)}(] 0, T[; V),
\end{array}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Here are some notations that be used throughout the paper. For any nonnegative real number $k$ denoted by $T_{k}(r)=\min (k ; \max (r ;-k))$ the truncation function at level $k$. By using $\langle.,$.$\rangle mean the duality$ between suitable spaces in which functions are involved. In particular to consider both the duality between $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)$ and the duality between $W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)+L^{1}(\Omega)$. Note that the formulation of a renormalized solution does not depend on the decomposition of $\mu$. The proof of this fact relies on the following result.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(Q)$, and let $\left(f ; F ; g_{1} ; g_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\widetilde{f} ; \widetilde{F} ; \widetilde{g_{1}} ; \widetilde{g_{2}}\right)$ to be two different decompositions of $\mu$ according to Theorem (3.1). Then we have $\left(g_{2}-\widetilde{g_{2}}\right)_{t}=\widetilde{f}-f+\widetilde{F}-F+\widetilde{g_{1}}-g_{1}$ in distributional sense, $g_{2}-\widetilde{g_{2}} \in C(] 0, T\left[L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\left(g_{2}-\widetilde{g_{2}}\right)(0)=0$.

Proof. See [16], Lemma 4.6.

## 4. The Main Assumptions And The Definition of Renormalized Solution

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open set of $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2), T>0$ is given and we set $\left.Q=\Omega \times\right] 0, T[$, and $\mathcal{A}: Q \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be a Carathéodory function, such that for all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \xi \neq \eta$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi) \cdot \xi \geqslant \alpha|\xi|^{p(x)}  \tag{4.1}\\
|\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)| \leqslant \beta\left[b(x, t)+|\xi|^{p(x)-1}\right]  \tag{4.2}\\
(\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)-\mathcal{A}(x, t, \eta)) \cdot(\xi-\eta)>0  \tag{4.3}\\
\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{0}(Q) \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$u_{0}$ is a measurable function in $\Omega$, such that $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega)$.
Where $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<+\infty, \alpha, \beta$ are positives constants and $b$ is a nonnegative function in $L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)$. And $\mathcal{B}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous increasing function with $\mathcal{B}(0)=0$.
The definition of a renormalized solution for Problem (1.1) can be stated as follows.
Definition 4.1. Let $\mu \in M_{0}(Q)$ and $2-\frac{1}{N+1}<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<N$, let $u_{0} \in L^{1}(\Omega),(f ; F ; \operatorname{div}(G) ; g) a$ decomposition of $\mu$. A measurable function $u$ defined on $Q$ is a renormalized solution of problem (1.1) if :

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{k}(u-g) \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right) \text { for any } k>0, \mathcal{B}(u) \in L^{1}(Q),  \tag{4.6}\\
\text { and } v=u-g \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \cap L^{q^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, q(.)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all continuous functions $q(x)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ satisfying $q(x) \in\left[1, p(x)-\frac{N}{N+1}\right)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{n \leq|u-g| \leq n+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t=0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and if, for every function $S \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is piecewise $C^{1}$ and such that $S^{\prime}$ has compact support on $\mathbb{R}$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
& (S(v))_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(\mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) S^{\prime}(v)\right)+S^{\prime \prime}(v) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla v \\
& +\mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}(v)=f S^{\prime}(v)+F S^{\prime}(v)+G S^{\prime \prime}(v) \nabla v \\
& -\operatorname{div}\left(G S^{\prime}(v)\right) \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(v)(t=0)=S\left(u_{0}\right) \text { in } \Omega \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following are explained as shown below on definition (4.1).

Remark 4.2. Note that, all terms in (4.9) are well defined. Indeed, let $k>0$ such that supp $\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset[K, K]$, let $S(u-g)=S\left(T_{k}(u-g)\right) \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1 ; p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$ and $\frac{\partial S(u-g)}{\partial t} \in \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)$. The term $S^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u)$ identifes with $S^{\prime}\left(T_{k}(v)+g\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla\left(T_{k}(v)+g\right)\right)$ a.e. in $Q$, where $v=u-g$ and $u=T_{k}(v)+g$ in $\{|u-g| \leq k\}$, assumptions (4.2) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|S^{\prime}\left(T_{k}(u-g)\right) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u)\right|  \tag{4.11}\\
& \leq \beta\left\|S^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}\left[b(x, t)+\left|\nabla\left(T_{k}(v)+g\right)\right|^{p(x)-1}\right] \text { a.e in } Q \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.2) and (4.6), it follows that $S^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$. The term $S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(u-g)$ identifes with $S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla\left(T_{k}(v)+g\right)\right) \nabla T_{k}(u-g)$ and in view of (4.2), (4.6) and (4.11), to obtain $S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(u-g) \in L^{1}(Q)$ and $S^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{B}(u) \in$ $L^{1}(Q)$. Finally $f S^{\prime}(u-g)$ and $G S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \nabla T_{k}(u-g)$ belongs to $L^{1}(Q)$ and $F S^{\prime} \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ and $G S^{\prime}(u-g) \in\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ in view of (4.6) and because $S^{\prime}$ is a bounded function on $\mathbb{R}$. Also $\frac{\partial S(u-g)}{\partial t} \in$ $L^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}(] 0, T\left[; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right)+L^{1}(Q)$ and $S(u-g) \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, which implies that $S(u-g)$ $\in C(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$.

Let it first be proven that the formulation of renormalized solution does not depend on the decomposition of $\mu$. This fact essentially relies on Lemma (3.3).

Proposition 4.3. Let $u$ be a renormalized solution of (1.1). Then $u$ satisfies (4.6)-(4.10) for every decomposition ( $f ; F ; \operatorname{div}(G) ; g)$ of $\mu$.

Proof. Assume that $u$ satisfies the conditions of Definition (4.1) for $(f ; F ; \operatorname{div}(G) ; g)$, and let $(\widetilde{f} ; \widetilde{F} ; \operatorname{div}(\widetilde{G}) ; \widetilde{g})$ be a different decomposition of $\mu$. Note that since, by Lemma (3.3), then $g-\widetilde{g} \in C(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ let $u-\widetilde{g} \in L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, hence it is almost everywhere finite. First of all to prove that $T_{k}(u-\widetilde{g}) \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$ for every $k>0$.

Let us introduce a sequence of increasing $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$-functions $S_{n}$ such that, for any $n \geq 1$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
S_{n}(r)=r \text { if }|r| \leq n,  \tag{4.12}\\
\operatorname{supp}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}\right) \subset[-(n+1),(n+1)], \\
\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

To choose as test function $T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)$ in (4.9) and use Lemma (3.3), to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A+B+D+E=F+I+H+M \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right\rangle d t, \\
B & =\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t, \\
D & =-\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(u-g) T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t, \\
E & =\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{B}(u) T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & =\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) f+\widetilde{f}\right) T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
I & =\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) F+\widetilde{F}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
H & =\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) G+\widetilde{G}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
M & =\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) G \nabla(u-g) T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t
\end{aligned}
$$

By initial condition (4.10) and Lemma (3.3), to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)\right)(T) d x-\int_{\Omega} \Theta_{k}\left(S_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) d x \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Theta_{k}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} T_{k}(s) d s$ is a positive Lipschitz continuous function. Using (4.14) and the definition (4.12) of $S_{n}$, leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \geq-k \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{0}\right| d x, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E_{k}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q:\left|S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right| \leq k\right\}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
B & =\int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{2} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla u d x d t  \tag{4.16}\\
& -\int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{2} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla g d x d t \\
& +\int_{E_{k}} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(g-\widetilde{g}) d x d t  \tag{4.17}\\
& =B_{1}+B_{2}+B_{3}
\end{align*}
$$

the properties of $S_{n}$, and because $0<S_{n}^{\prime}<1$ let $\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{p^{-}} \leq S_{n}^{\prime}(s),\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(s)\right)^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}} \leq S_{n}^{\prime}(s), S_{n}^{\prime}(s) \leq$ $S_{n}^{\prime}(s)^{2}+\chi_{\{n \leq|s| \leq n+1\}}$, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{1} & \geq \alpha \int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{p-}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t  \tag{4.18}\\
& -\alpha \int_{\{n \leq|v| \leq n+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.1), (4.2), and Young's inequality, to deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|B_{2}\right|+\left|B_{3}\right| \leq & {\left[C\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|c\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}+C\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)\|\nabla g\|_{L^{p(.)}}^{p^{-}}\right.}  \tag{4.19}\\
& \left.+C\|\nabla(g-\widetilde{g})\|_{L^{p(.)}}^{p^{-}}\right]+\frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{p-}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \\
& +\int_{\{n \leq|u-g| \leq n+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{align*}
|D|+|M| \leq & C\left[\|b\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}+\|\nabla g\|_{L^{p(.)}}^{p^{-}}+\|G\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}\right]  \tag{4.20}\\
& +C \int_{\{n \leq|u-g| \leq n+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.1) and Young's inequality, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& |E|+|F|+|H|+|I| \leq C\left[\|\mathcal{B}(u)\|_{L^{1}}+\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{1}}\right.  \tag{4.21}\\
& +\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{\prime}(x)}}}|G|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t+\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{\prime}(x)}}}|\widetilde{G}|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t \\
& +\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p^{\prime \frac{p^{\prime}(x)}{p(x)}}|\nabla g|^{p(x)} d x d t+\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p^{p^{\prime} \frac{p^{\prime}(x)}{p(x)}}\right.}|\nabla \widetilde{g}|^{p(x)} d x d t\right.} \\
& +\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{\prime}(x)}}|F|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t+\int_{E_{k}} \frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{\prime}(x)}}}|\widetilde{F}|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t} \\
& \left.+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\left.L^{1}\right]}\right]+\alpha \int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{p^{-}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t \\
& +C \iint_{\{n \leq|u-g| \leq n+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (4.13) to (4.21), we deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{E_{k}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{p^{-}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \leq  \tag{4.22}\\
& \quad C\left[\|\mathcal{B}(u)\|_{L^{1}}+\|f\|_{L^{1}}+\|\widetilde{f}\|_{L^{1}}+\|G\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}+\|\widetilde{G}\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\|\nabla g\|_{L^{p(.)}}^{p^{-}}+\|\nabla \widetilde{g}\|_{L^{p(.)}}^{p^{-}}+\|F\|_{L^{p^{p^{\prime}(.) ~}}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}+\|\widetilde{F}\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}}^{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{1}}\right] \\
& \quad+C \underset{\{n \leq|u-g| \leq n+1\}}{ }|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the properties of $S_{n}$ and the fact that $\widetilde{g}$ belongs to $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, the result that will be deduced from preceding inequality that, for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\int_{Q} \chi_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla\left(S_{n}(u-g)\right)\right|^{p(x)} d x d t \leq C
$$

inequality (2.3) implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{E_{k}} \min \left\{\left\|\nabla\left(S_{n}(u-g)\right)\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p-},\left\|\nabla\left(S_{n}(u-g)\right)\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p+}\right\} \\
& \leq \int_{Q} \chi_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla\left(S_{n}(u-g)\right)\right|^{p(x)} d x d t \leq C,
\end{aligned}
$$

then is $S_{n}(u-g)$ is bounded in $L^{p-}\left(10, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)\right.$. Since $\nabla\left(T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right)=\chi_{E_{k}} \nabla\left(S_{n}(u-g)+\right.$ $g-\widetilde{g})$ and since $g, \widetilde{g} \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, this implies that $v_{n}=T_{k}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$ and converges, up to a subsequence converge to $v$ weakly in $L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, thus also in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)$; but $v_{n} \rightarrow T_{k}(u-\widetilde{g})$ a.e. in $Q$ and is bounded by $k$, so that $v_{n} \rightarrow T_{k}(u-\widetilde{g})$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)$. Then $T_{k}(u-\widetilde{g})=v \in L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$, for all $k>0$. To prove that (4.8) holds true for $\widetilde{g}$. Using the admissible test function $\theta_{h}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)$ in (4.9) with $S=S_{n} ; \theta_{h}(s)=T_{h+1}(s)-T_{h}(s)$, the coercive character (4.1) and the use of Young's inequality it possible to obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{F_{n}}\left|S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)\right|^{2}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t  \tag{4.23}\\
\leq & C k \int_{Q}(|\mathcal{B}(u)|+|f|+|\widetilde{f}|) \theta_{h}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \overline{\theta_{h}}\left(S_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) d x+\int_{F_{n}}\left(\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|F|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|\widetilde{F}|^{p^{\prime}(x)}\right. \\
+ & \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|G|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|\widetilde{G}|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|b|^{p^{\prime}(x)} \\
+ & \frac{C_{1}}{p(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}(x)}{p(x)}}}|\nabla g|^{p(x)}+\frac{C_{1}}{\left.p(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x)\right)^{\frac{p^{\prime}(x)}{p(x)}}|\nabla \widetilde{g}|^{p(x)}\right)} \\
+C & \left.\int \quad \int \nabla u\right|^{p(x)} d x d t+\omega(n) .
\end{align*}
$$

Where $F_{n}=\left\{h \leq\left|S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right| \leq h+1\right\}$. Taking the limit as $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in (4.23), using (4.8) and the convergence of $\chi_{F_{n}}$ to $\chi_{\{h \leq|u-g| \leq h+1\}}$ shows that for any $h>0$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha \int_{\{h \leq|u-\widetilde{g}| \leq h+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t \leq \int_{\left\{\left|u_{0}\right|>h\right\}}\left|u_{0}\right| d x+\int_{\{|u-\widetilde{g}| \geq h\}} C \quad(|\mathcal{B}(u)|+|f|+|\widetilde{f}|) d x d t+ \\
& \iint_{\{h \leq \widetilde{g} \mid \leq h+1\}} C_{2} \quad\left(|G|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+|\widetilde{G}|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+|F|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+|\widetilde{F}|^{p^{p^{\prime}(x)}}+|b|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+|\nabla g|^{p(x)}+|\nabla \widetilde{g}|^{p(x)}\right) d x d t  \tag{4.24}\\
& \{h \leq|u-\widetilde{g}|
\end{align*}
$$

which yields, as $h$ tends to infinity (recall that $u-\widetilde{g}$ is almost everywhere finite),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{h \leq|u-\widetilde{g}| \leq h+1\}}|\nabla u|^{p(x)} d x d t=0 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following to prove that the renormalized equation (4.9) and the initial condition (4.10) hold with $\widetilde{g}$ as well. For every function $S$ in $W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which is piecewise $C^{1}$ and such that $S^{\prime}$ has a compact support and let $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}(Q)$, we chose $S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)$ as test function in (4.9) (with $S=S_{n}$ in
(4.9) and to Lemma (3.3), the result is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi\right\rangle d t  \tag{4.26}\\
& \quad+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(u-g) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) f+\widetilde{f}\right) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) F+\widetilde{F}\right) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) F+\widetilde{F}\right) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) G+\widetilde{G}\right) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \nabla \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) G+\widetilde{G}\right) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) G \nabla(u-g) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows to pass to the limit as $n$ tends to $\infty$ in each term of (4.26). In what follows $\omega(n)$ stands for any quantity that vanishes as $n$ diverges. For the parabolic contribution in (4.26)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi\right\rangle d t  \tag{4.27}\\
= & \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right)_{t}, \varphi\right\rangle d t \\
= & \left.-\int_{Q} S\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi_{t} d x d t=\int_{0}^{T}\left\langle(S(u-\widetilde{g}))_{t}, \varphi\right\rangle d t+\omega(n) .
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that, since $\operatorname{supp}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset[-k, k]$ and, $\left.\operatorname{supp}\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) S^{\prime} S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \subset$ $\{|u-g| \leq n+1,|u-\widetilde{g}| \leq k\}$; then $\nabla u$ may be replaced by $\omega=\nabla\left(T_{k+1}(u-\widetilde{g})+\widetilde{g}\right) \in\left(L^{p(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ in
all the terms of (4.26). Using the definition of $S_{n}, \quad\left(S_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow 1\right.$ and is bounded by1), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
= & \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \omega) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \omega) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t  \tag{4.28}\\
= & \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

And,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(t, x, \nabla u) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
= & \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \omega) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow+\infty]{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \omega) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t  \tag{4.29}\\
= & \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t,
\end{align*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t, \tag{4.30}
\end{align*}
$$

the definition of $S_{n}^{\prime},\left(S_{n}^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow 0\right)$ allows to obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla(u-g) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
= & \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \omega) \nabla\left(T_{k+1}(u-\widetilde{g})+\widetilde{g}-g\right)  \tag{4.31}\\
& S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0,
\end{align*}
$$

repeating the arguments that lead to (4.28), (4.29), (4.30) and (4.31), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) f+\widetilde{f}\right) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \widetilde{f} S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t, \tag{4.32}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) F+\widetilde{F}\right) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \nabla \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \widetilde{F} S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \nabla \varphi d x d t,  \tag{4.33}\\
& \int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) F+\widetilde{F}\right) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \widetilde{F} \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t,  \tag{4.34}\\
& \int_{Q}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) G+\widetilde{G}\right) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \nabla \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \widetilde{G} S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \nabla \varphi d x d t,  \tag{4.35}\\
& \int_{Q}^{\longrightarrow}\left(\left(S_{n}^{\prime}(u-g)-1\right) G+\widetilde{G}\right) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} \int_{Q} \widetilde{G} \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t,  \tag{4.36}\\
& \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(u-g) G \nabla(u-g) S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi d x d t \underset{n \rightarrow+\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 . \tag{4.37}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of the above convergence results in a position to pass to the limit as $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in (4.26) and to conclude that $u$ satisfies (4.9) (with $g$ instead of $\widetilde{g}$ ). It remains to show that $S(u-\widetilde{g})$ satisfies the initial condition (4.10). To this end, for $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ we take $\varphi=(T-t) \psi$ in (4.26), it possible to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi\right\rangle d t  \tag{4.38}\\
& +\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(t, x, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t+\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} \mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t=\int_{Q} f S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t+\int_{Q} G \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G \varphi \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) d x d t+\int_{Q} F \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} F \varphi \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

As far as the parabolic contribution in (4.38) is concerned, since $\varphi(0) \neq 0, S_{n}(u-g)=S_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)$ and $(g-\widetilde{g})(0)=0$ and the integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, S^{\prime}\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi\right\rangle d t  \tag{4.39}\\
= & \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(S^{\prime} S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right)_{t}, \varphi\right\rangle d t=-\int_{\Omega} S\left(S_{n}\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \varphi(0) d x \\
- & \int_{Q} S\left(S_{n}(u-g)+g-\widetilde{g}\right) \varphi_{t} d x d t=-\int_{\Omega} S\left(u_{0}\right) \varphi(0) d x \\
- & \int_{Q} S(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi_{t} d x d t+\omega(n)
\end{align*}
$$

Secondly, we use $\varphi$ as test function in (4.9) ( with $\widetilde{g}$ ), then leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} S(u-\widetilde{g})(0) d x \\
& -\int_{Q} S(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi_{t} d x d t+\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t  \tag{4.40}\\
& +\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) \varphi d x d t+\int_{Q} \mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q} f S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) \varphi d x d t+\int_{Q} G \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G \varphi \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} F \nabla \varphi S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g}) d x d t+\int_{Q} F \varphi \nabla\left(S^{\prime}(u-\widetilde{g})\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.38), (4.39) and (4.40) the conclusion is that $\int_{\Omega} S(u-\widetilde{g})(0) \psi d x=\int_{\Omega} S\left(u_{0}\right) \psi d x ;$ for all $\psi \in$ $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then $S(u-\widetilde{g})(0)=S\left(u_{0}\right)$ in $\Omega$.

## 5. The Existence of Result

This section is devoted to establish the existence of a renormalized solution.
Theorem 5.1. Under assumptions (4.1)-(4.5) there exists at least a renormalized solution $u$ of Problem (1.1).

Proof. (of Theorem (5.1)) The proof is divided into 6 steps. In Step 1, we introduce an approximate problem. Step 2 is devoted to establish a few a priori estimates. In Step 3, the limit $u$ of the approximate solutions $u^{\varepsilon}$ is introduced and $u-g$ is shown to belongs to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$ and to satisfy (4.6)-(4.7). In Step 4, the definition of a time regularization of the field $T_{k}(u)$ and to establish Lemma (5.2), which allows to control the parabolic contribution that arises in the monotonicity method when passing to the limit. Step 5 is devoted to prove an energy estimate (Lemma (5.3)). At last, Step 6 is devoted to prove that $u$ satisfies (4.8)-(4.10) of Definition (4.1).

- Step 1. Let us introduce the following regularization of the data: for $\varepsilon>0$ fixed

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{\varepsilon} \text { are a sequence of } C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega) \text { functions such that } \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
u_{0}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{0} \text { in } L^{1}(\Omega) \text { as } \varepsilon \text { tends to } 0
$$

In view of Proposition (3.2), we can find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega):\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \leq C \text { and } \\
& \mu^{\varepsilon}=f^{\varepsilon}+F^{\varepsilon}-\operatorname{div}\left(G^{\varepsilon}\right)+\left(g^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

and such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega): f^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow f \text { in } L^{1}(Q) \text { as } \varepsilon \text { tends to } 0,  \tag{5.3}\\
F^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega): F^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow F \text { in }\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N} \text { as } \varepsilon \text { tends to } 0,  \tag{5.4}\\
G^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega): G^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow G \text { in }\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N} \text { as } \varepsilon \text { tends to } 0,  \tag{5.5}\\
g^{\varepsilon} \in C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega): g^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow g \text { in } L^{p-}(10, T[; V) \text { as } \varepsilon \text { tends to } 0 . \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us now consider the following regularized problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t}-\operatorname{div} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& =\mu^{\varepsilon}=f^{\varepsilon}+F^{\varepsilon}-\operatorname{div}\left(G^{\varepsilon}\right)+\left(g^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t} \text { in } Q  \tag{5.7}\\
& \left.u^{\varepsilon}=0 \text { on }\right] 0, T[\times \partial \Omega  \tag{5.8}\\
& \quad u^{\varepsilon}(t=0)=u_{0}^{\varepsilon} \text { in } \Omega \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, proving existence of a weak solution $u^{\varepsilon} \in L^{p^{-}}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)$ of (5.7)-(5.9) is an easy task (see [15]).

- Step 2 Using $T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ as a test function in (5.7) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \bar{T}_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)(t) d x+\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d s=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} f^{\varepsilon} T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d s \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} F^{\varepsilon} \nabla T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d s \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\Omega} G^{\varepsilon} \nabla T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d s  \tag{5.10}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} \bar{T}_{k}\left(u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for almost every $t$ in $(0, T)$, and where $\bar{T}_{k}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} T_{k}(s) d s$. Using assumptions (4.1)-(4.2) and the definition of $\bar{T}_{k}(r)$ in (5.10), to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \bar{T}_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)(t) d x+\alpha \int_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d s  \tag{5.11}\\
& \leq k\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}+k\left\|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}+\beta \int_{E_{k}} b(t, x)\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right| d x d t \\
& +\beta \int_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right|\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right| d x d s+k\left\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $E_{k}=\left\{(x, t) \in Q:\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}$, using young's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \bar{T}_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)(t) d x+\left(\alpha-\beta \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}\right) \int_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d s \\
\leq \quad & k\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}+k\left\|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}+\beta\|b\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)}\left\|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)} \\
& +\frac{\beta}{p^{+}} \int_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d t+k\left\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& k \int_{\left\{(x, t) \in Q:\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|>k\right\}}\left|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right| d x d t \leq k\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \\
& +\beta\|b\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)}\left\|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)}  \tag{5.13}\\
& +\frac{\beta}{p^{+}} \int_{E_{k}}\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d t+k\left\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, let $T_{1}\left(s-T_{k}(s)\right)=T_{k, 1}(s)$ and take $T_{k, 1}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ as test function in (5.7). Reasoning as above, using that $\nabla T_{k, 1}(s)=\nabla s \chi_{\{k \leq|s| \leq k+1\}}$ and appling young's inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\left\{k \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k+1\right\}}\left|\nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{p(x)} d x d t \\
& \leq C k \int_{\left|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right|>k}\left|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x+C k \int_{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|>k}\left|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right| d x d t \\
& +C k \int_{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|>k}\left|f^{\varepsilon}\right| d x d t+C\left(\int_{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|>k}\left|F^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|>k}\left|G^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)} d x d t\right) \leq C,
\end{aligned}
$$

inequality (2.3) implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T} \chi_{\left\{k \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k+1\right\}} \min \left\{\left\|\nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p-},\left\|\nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p+}\right\} \\
& \leq \int_{\left\{k \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k+1\right\}}\left|\nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|^{p(x)} d x d t \leq C . \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From the estimation $(5.12),(5.14)$ and the properites of $\bar{T}_{k}$ and $u_{0}^{\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon} \text { is bounded in } L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon} \text { is bounded in } L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 2.1 in [8] and by $(5.12),(5.14)$ and si $2-\frac{1}{N+1}<p()<$.$N , we obtain$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon} \text { is bounded in } L^{q-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, q(x)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all continuous variable exponents $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfying

$$
1 \leq q(x)<\frac{N(p(x)-1)+p(x)}{N+1}
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$. And

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (5.13), to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{1}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $\varepsilon$.
Proceeding as in [2], [3] that for any $S \in W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $S^{\prime}$ is compact (supp $S^{\prime} \subset[-k, k]$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { is bounded in } L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)_{t} \text { is bounded in } L^{1}(Q)+L^{(p-)^{\prime}}(] 0, T\left[; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right) \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, as a consequence of (5.18), by Stampacchia's Theorem, we obtain (5.20). To show that (5.21) holds true, we multiply the equation (5.7) by $S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)_{t}=\operatorname{div}\left(S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)  \tag{5.22}\\
& -\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla\left(S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
& -\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)+f^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& +F^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(G^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \\
& +G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are both included in $[-k ; k] ; u^{\varepsilon}$ may be replaced by $\left(T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)+g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ in $\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}$, where $v^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$. To have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \\
& \leq \beta\left\|S^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}}\left[b(x, t)+\left|T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)+g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)-1}\right] \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, each term in the right hand side of (5.22) is bounded either in $L^{(p-)^{\prime}}(] 0, T\left[; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right)$ or in $L^{1}(Q)$, and obtain (5.21).
Now an estimate on a sort of energy at infinity of the approximating solutions. For any integer $n \geq 1$, consider the Lipschitz continuous function $\theta_{n}$ defined through $\theta_{n}(s)=T_{n+1}(s)-T_{n}(s)$. Remark that $\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq 1$ for any $n \geq 1$ and that $\theta_{n}(s) \rightarrow 0$, for any $s$ when $n$ tends to infinity. Using the admissible test function $\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ in (5.7) leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)(t) d x+\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t  \tag{5.24}\\
& +\int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\left(u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for almost every $t$ in $] 0, T\left[\right.$ and where $\widetilde{\theta_{n}}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} \theta_{n}(s) d s \geq 0$. Hence, dropping a nonnegative term

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon} d x d t  \tag{5.25}\\
\leq & \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla g^{\varepsilon} d x d t-\int_{Q} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} f^{\varepsilon} \theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t+\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\left(u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
\leq & \beta \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}}\left[b(x, t)| | \nabla g^{\varepsilon}\left|+\left|\nabla v^{\varepsilon}+\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)-1}\right| \nabla g^{\varepsilon} \mid\right] d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q}^{\mid \mathcal{B}}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\left|\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t+\int_{Q}\right| f^{\varepsilon} \mid \theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) d x \\
& +\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t+\int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(\theta_{n}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right) d x d t \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \widetilde{\theta_{n}}\left(u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Using assumption (5.2), (5.25) and applying Young's inequality, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}}\left|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d t  \tag{5.26}\\
& \leq C\left(\int_{\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq n\right\}} \frac{p^{+}-1}{p^{+}}|b|^{p^{\prime}(x)}\right. \\
& +\frac{C_{1}}{\left.p(x)\left(\frac{\alpha}{2} p^{\prime}(x)\right)^{\frac{p(x)}{p^{\prime}(x)}}\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|G^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\left|F^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)}\right) d x d t} \\
& +\int_{\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq n\right\}}\left|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right| d x d t+\int_{\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq n\right\}}\left|f^{\varepsilon}\right| d x d t \\
& +\int_{\left\{\left|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq n\right\}}\left|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right| d x .
\end{align*}
$$

- Step 3 Arguing again as in [[2], [3], [4]] estimate (5.20) and (5.21) implies that, for a subsequence still indexed by $\varepsilon$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon} \text { converges a.e where to } u-g \text { in } Q \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

using (5.7), (5.18) and (5.23), we get
$u^{\varepsilon}$ converge almost every where to $u$ in $Q$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { converge weakly to } T_{k}(u-g) \\
\text { in } L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[, W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)  \tag{5.29}\\
\chi_{\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(t, x, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \rightharpoonup \eta_{k} \text { weakly in }\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}, \tag{5.30}
\end{gather*}
$$

as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 for any $k>0$ and any $n \geq 1$ and where for any $k>0, \eta_{k}$ belongs to $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$. Since $\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is a continuous incrassing function, from the monotone convergence theorem, by (5.18) and (5.28), to obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { converge weakly to } \mathcal{B}(u) \text { in } L^{1}(Q) \text {. } \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now establish that $u-g$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Indeed using (5.12) and $\left|\bar{T}_{k}(s)\right| \geq|s|-1$ leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right|(t) d x \leq & \operatorname{meas}(\Omega)+k\left\|\mu^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)}+k\left\|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right\|_{L^{1}(Q)} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)\|b\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)}\left\|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime-}}\right)\left\|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)}\left\|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(Q)} \\
& +k\left\|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (5.27) and (5.1)-(5.6), to have $u-g$ belongs to $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. Now in a position to exploit (5.26). Since $u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\sup _{\varepsilon} \text { meas }\left\{\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \geq n\right\}\right)=0 \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the equi-integrability of the sequences $\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)},\left|G^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)},\left|F^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p^{\prime}(x)},\left|f^{\varepsilon}\right|,\left|\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right|$ and $\left|u_{0}^{\varepsilon}\right|$ in $L^{1}(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\sup _{\varepsilon} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}}\left|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)} d x d t\right)=0 \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Step 4 The specific time regularization of $T_{k}(u)$ (for fixed $k \geq 0$ ) is defined as follows. Let $\left(v_{0}^{\mu}\right)_{\mu}$ be a sequence in $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)$ such that $\left\|v_{0}^{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq k, \forall \mu>0$, and $v_{0}^{\mu} \rightarrow T_{k}\left(u_{0}\right)$ a.e in $\Omega$ with $\frac{1}{\mu}\left\|v_{0}^{\mu}\right\|_{L^{p(.)}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$.
For fixed $k \geq 0$ and $\mu>0$, let us consider the unique solution

$$
T_{k}(u)_{\mu} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right)
$$

of the monotone problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{\partial T_{k}(u)_{\mu}}{\partial t}+\mu\left(T_{k}(u)_{\mu}-T_{k}(u)\right)=0 \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)  \tag{5.34}\\
T_{k}(u)_{\mu}(t=0)=v_{0}^{\mu} \tag{5.35}
\end{gather*}
$$

The behavior of $T_{k}(u)_{\mu}$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$ is investigated in [14] and we just recall here that (5.34)-(5.35) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{k}(u)_{\mu} \rightarrow T_{k}(u) \text { strongly in } L^{p-}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p(.)}(\Omega)\right) \\
& \text { a.e in, } Q \text { as } \mu \rightarrow+\infty \tag{5.36}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\left\|T_{k}(u)_{\mu}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq k$, for any $\mu$, and $\frac{\partial T_{k}(u)_{\mu}}{\partial t} \in L^{(p-)^{\prime}}(] 0, T\left[; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right)$. The main estimate is the following

Lemma 5.2. Let $v^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$. Let $S$ be an increasing $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})-$ function such that $S(r)=r$ for $r \leq k$, and supp $S^{\prime}$ is compact. Then

$$
\liminf _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\frac{\partial v^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t}, S^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)\left(T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\mu}-T_{k}(v)\right)\right\rangle d t \geq 0
$$

where here $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the duality pairing between L^{1}(\Omega)+W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega) \cap V(\Omega)$.

Proof. See [4], Lemma 1.

- Step 5 Here to prove that the weak limit $\eta_{k}$ and to prove the weak $L^{1}$ convergence of the "truncted" energy $\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 . In order to show this result recall the Lemma below.

Lemma 5.3. The subsequence of $u^{\varepsilon}$ defined in step 3 satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \leq \int_{Q} \eta_{k} \nabla T_{k}(v) d x d t,  \tag{5.37}\\
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q}\left[\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u_{\chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}^{\varepsilon}}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u_{\chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}}\right)\right]  \tag{5.38}\\
& \quad \times\left[\nabla u_{\chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}^{\varepsilon}}^{\varepsilon}-\nabla u_{\chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}}\right] d x d t=0
\end{align*}
$$

$\eta_{k}=\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u_{\chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}}\right)$ a.e in $Q$, for any $k \geq 0$, as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 .

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla T_{k}(v) \\
& \text { weakly in } L^{1}(Q) . \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $k \geq 0$, to consider the test function $S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)\left(T_{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}\right)$ in (5.7), and use the
definition (4.12) of $S_{n}^{\prime}$ and we definie $W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}=T_{k}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)-\left(T_{k}(u)\right)_{\mu}$, to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t}, S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle d t+\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v^{\varepsilon} W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
+ & \int_{Q} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t  \tag{5.40}\\
& +\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v^{\varepsilon} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Now pass to the limit in (5.40) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \mu \rightarrow+\infty, n \rightarrow+\infty$ for $k$ fixed real number. In order to perform this task, to prove below the following results for any $k \geq 0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \liminf _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{T}\left\langle\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)_{t}, S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}\right\rangle d t \geq 0 \text { for any } n \geq k,  \tag{5.41}\\
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v^{\varepsilon} W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0,  \tag{5.42}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1,  \tag{5.43}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} f^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1,  \tag{5.44}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1,  \tag{5.45}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1,  \tag{5.46}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1,  \tag{5.47}\\
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \nabla v^{\varepsilon} d x d t=0, \text { for any } n \geq 1 \tag{5.48}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof of (91). In view of the definition $W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}$, we apply lemma (5.2) with $S=S_{n}$ for fixed $n \geq k$. As a consequence, (5.41) hold true.

Proof of (92). For $n \geq 1$, we have $\operatorname{supp}\left(S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right) \subset[-(n+1),-n] \cup[n, n+1],\left\|W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \leq 2 k$ and $\left\|S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 1$. Using assumptions (4.2) and applying Young's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v^{\varepsilon} W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t\right|  \tag{5.49}\\
& \leq C_{3} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& +C_{4} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right|\right\}}\left(|b(x, t)|^{p^{\prime}(x)}+\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for $n \geq 1$, using assumptions (5.33)-(5.32) and the equi-integrability of the sequences $\left|\nabla g^{\varepsilon}\right|^{p(x)}$ in $L^{1}(Q)$, permits to pass to the limit as $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in (5.49) and to etablish (5.42)

Proof of (93). For $n \geq 1$ and in view (5.31). Lebesgue's convergence theorem implies that for any $\mu>0$ and $n \geq 1$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q} \mathcal{B}(u) S_{n}^{\prime}(v)\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t \tag{5.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Appealing now to (5.36) and passing to the limit as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$ in (5.50) allows to conclude that (5.43) holds true.

Proof of (94). By (5.3), (5.27) and Lebesgue's convergence theorem implies that for any $\mu>0$ and $n \geq 1$, it is possible to pass to the limit for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} f^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=\int_{Q} f S_{n}^{\prime}(v)\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t
$$

using (5.36) permits to the limit as $\mu$ tends to $+\infty$ in the above equality to obtain (5.44).

Proof of (95). By (5.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow F S_{n}^{\prime}(v) \text { a.e. in } Q, \text { and } \\
& \left|F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)\right| \leq(n+1)\left\|F^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)} \text { a.e. in } Q .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us recal the main properrties of $W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}$. For $\mu>0, W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}$ converges to $\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right)$ weakly in $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Taking into account that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)} \leq 2 k \text { for any } \varepsilon>0, \mu>0 \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

to be able to deduce that

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) \quad \text { a.e. in } Q \text { and } \\
& L^{\infty}(Q) \text { weakly-* as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \tag{5.52}
\end{align*}
$$

also to deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=\int_{Q} F S_{n}^{\prime}(v) \nabla\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the strong convergence of $T_{k}(v)_{\mu}$ to $T_{k}(v)$ in $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$, as consequece (5.45) holds true.

Proof of (96). For $n \geq 1$ and from (5.4), (5.29), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} F^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime \prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla v^{\varepsilon} W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
= & \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{Q} F S_{n}^{\prime \prime}(v) \nabla v\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of (97). Using (5.29) and (5.5) lead to $G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)$ tends to $G S_{n}^{\prime}(v)$ strongly in $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. We deduce that

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t=\int_{Q} G S_{n}^{\prime}(v) \nabla\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t
$$

for $\mu>0$, by (5.52) and the strong convergence of $T_{k}(v)_{\mu}$ to $T_{k}(v)$ in $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$ allows to conclude (5.47).

Proof of (98). From (5.5) and (5.29), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} G^{\varepsilon} \nabla S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon} d x d t & =\int_{Q} G \nabla S_{n}^{\prime}(v)\left(T_{k}(v)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t \\
& =0, \text { for } n \geq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now turn back to the proof of Lemma (5.3), due to (5.41)-(5.48), in a position to pass to the limit-sup when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, then to the limit-sup when $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$ and to the limit as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (5.40). Using the definition of $W_{\mu}^{\varepsilon}$, we deduce that for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{limsuplimsup}_{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \nabla\left(T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)-T_{k}(v)_{\mu}\right) d x d t \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)=\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)$ for $k \leq n$, the above inequality implies that for $k \leq n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t  \tag{5.54}\\
\leq & \lim _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \operatorname{limsuplimsup}_{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}(v)_{\mu} d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Due to (5.30), $\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \rightarrow \eta_{n+1} S_{n}^{\prime}(v)$ weakly in $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and the strong convergence of $T_{k}(v)_{\mu}$ to $T_{k}(v)$ in $L^{p^{-}}(] 0, T\left[; W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega)\right)$ as $\mu \rightarrow+\infty$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\mu \rightarrow+\infty} \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}(v)_{\mu} d x d t  \tag{5.55}\\
= & \int_{Q} S_{n}^{\prime}(v) \eta_{n+1} \nabla T_{k}(v) d x d t=\int_{Q} \eta_{n+1} \nabla T_{k}(v) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

for $k \leq n$, since $S_{n}^{\prime}(s)=1$ for $|s| \leq n$. Now for $k \leq n$, we have

$$
S_{n}^{\prime}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}}=\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)_{\chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}} \text { a.e in } Q \text {. }
$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, to obtain

$$
\eta_{n+1} \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}=\eta_{k} \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}} \text { a.e in } Q-\{|v|=k\} \text { for } k \leq n
$$

Recalling (5.54) and (5.55) allows to conclude that (5.37) holds true.

Proof of (88). Let $k \geq 0$ be fixed. We use the monotone character (4.3) of $\mathcal{A}(x, t, \xi)$ with respest to $\xi$, to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& I^{\varepsilon}=\int_{Q}\left(\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right)-\mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right)\right)  \tag{5.56}\\
&\left(\nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}-\nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right) d x d t \geq 0
\end{align*}
$$

Inequality (5.56) is split into $I^{\varepsilon}=I_{1}^{\varepsilon}+I_{2}^{\varepsilon}+I_{3}^{\varepsilon}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1}^{\varepsilon} & =\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}} d x d t \\
I_{2}^{\varepsilon} & =-\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right) \nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}} d x d t \\
I_{3}^{\varepsilon} & =-\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right)\left(\nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}-\nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right) d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

To pass to the limit-sup as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $I_{1}^{\varepsilon}$, $I_{2}^{\varepsilon}$ and $I_{3}^{\varepsilon}$. Let us remark that $v^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$ and $\nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}=\left(\nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)-g^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right)$ a.e in $Q$, assume that $k$ is such that $\chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}$ almost everywhere converges to $\chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}$ ( in fact this is true for almost every $k$, see Lemma 3.2 in [6]).

Using (5.37), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{1}^{\varepsilon} & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& +\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right) \nabla g^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& \leq \int_{Q} \eta_{k} \nabla T_{k}(v) d x d t+\int_{Q} \eta_{k} \nabla g \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}} d x d t . \tag{5.57}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (5.29) and (5.30),

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{2}^{\varepsilon} & =-\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}\right)\left(\nabla T_{k}(v)+\nabla g\right) d x d t \\
& =-\int_{Q} \eta_{k}\left(\nabla T_{k}(v)+\nabla g\right) d x d t . \tag{5.58}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of (5.6) and (5.29), for all $k>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} I_{3}^{\varepsilon}=- & \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right)  \tag{5.59}\\
& \left(\nabla T_{k}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right)+\nabla g^{\varepsilon} \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}-\nabla T_{k}(v)+\nabla g \chi_{\{|v| \leq k\}}\right) d x d t=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit-sup as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (5.56) and using (5.57), (5.58) and (5.59) show that (5.38) holds true.

Proof of (89). Using (5.38) and the usual Minty argument applies it follows that (5.39) holds true.

- Step 6: In this step to prove that $u$ satisfies (4.8)-(4.10). To this end, remark that $v^{\varepsilon}=u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$ and for fixed $n \leq 0$ one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& =\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{n+1}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t-\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{n}\left(v^{\varepsilon}\right) d x d t \\
& \quad+\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \nabla g^{\varepsilon} d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n\right\}} \nabla g^{\varepsilon} d x d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to (5.30) and (5.39) one is at liberty to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 for fixed $n \geq 1$,
to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla u^{\varepsilon} d x d t  \tag{5.60}\\
= & \int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla T_{n+1}(v) d x d t-\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla T_{n}(v) d x d t \\
& +\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \chi_{\{|v| \leq n+1\}} \nabla g d x d t \\
& -\int_{Q} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \chi_{\{|v| \leq n\}} \nabla g d x d t \\
= & \int_{\left\{n \leq\left|u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq n+1\right\}} \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla u d x d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Taking the limit as $n$ tends to $+\infty$ in (5.60) and using the estimate (5.33), that $u$ satisfies (4.8). Let $S$ be a function in $W^{2, \infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $S^{\prime}$ has a compact. Let $k$ be a positive real number such that $\operatorname{supp}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset[-k, k]$. Pontwise multiplication of that approximate equation (5.7) by $S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)_{t}-\operatorname{div}\left(S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)  \tag{5.61}\\
& +S^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)+\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& =f^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)+F^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)-\operatorname{div}\left(S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) G^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& +S^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \text { in } \mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 in each term of (5.61). Since $S$ is bounded, and $S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $S(u-g)$ a.e in $Q$ and in $L^{\infty}(Q) *$-weak, then $\left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)_{t}$ converges to $\left(S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)\right)_{t}$ in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(Q)$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 . Since $\operatorname{supp}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset[-k, k]$, we have $S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)=$ $S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \chi_{\left\{\left|v^{\varepsilon}\right| \leq k\right\}}$ a.e in $Q$. The pointwise convergence of $u^{\varepsilon}$ to $u$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 , the bounded character of $S$ and (5.39) of Lemma (5.3) imply that $S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $S^{\prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u)$ weakly in $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 , because $S^{\prime}(u-g)=0$ for $|u-g| \geq k$ a.e in $Q$. The pointwise convergence of $u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}$ to $u-g$, the bounded character of $S^{\prime}, S^{\prime \prime}$ and (5.39) of Lemma (5.3) allow to conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \mathcal{A}\left(x, t, \nabla u^{\varepsilon}\right) \nabla T_{k}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) \\
& \rightarrow S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) \mathcal{A}(x, t, \nabla u) \nabla T_{k}(u-g) \text { weakly in } L^{1}(Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The use of (5.31) to obtain that $\mathcal{B}\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right) S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $\mathcal{B}(u) S^{\prime}(u-g)$ in $L^{1}(Q)$, and we use (5.3), (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) and (5.29) and we obtain that $f^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $f S^{\prime}(u-g)$ in $L^{1}(Q)$, the term $F^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $F S^{\prime}(u-g)$ weakly in $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ and the term $G^{\varepsilon} S^{\prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $G S^{\prime}(u-g)$ strongly in $\left(L^{p^{\prime}(.)}(Q)\right)^{N}$ and $S^{\prime \prime}\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right) G^{\varepsilon} \nabla\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ converges to $S^{\prime \prime}(u-g) G \nabla(u-g)$ weakly in $L^{1}(Q)$. As a consequence of the above convergence result, the position to pass to the limit as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 in equation (5.61) and to conclude that $u$ satisfies (4.9). It remains to show that $S(u-g)$ satisfies the initial condition (4.10). To this end, firstly remark that, $S$ being bounded, $S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(Q)$. Secondly, (5.61) and the above considerations on the behavior of the terms of this equation show that $\frac{\partial S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^{1}(Q)+L^{(p-)^{\prime}}(] 0, T\left[; W^{-1, p^{\prime}(.)}(\Omega)\right)$. As a consequence, an Aubin's type Lemma ([21], Corollary 4) implies that $S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)$ lies in a compact set of $C^{0}(] 0, T\left[; L^{1}(\Omega)\right)$. It follows that, on one hand, $S\left(u^{\varepsilon}-g^{\varepsilon}\right)(t=0)$ converges to $S(u-g)(t=0)$ strongly in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. Due to (5.1), to conclude that (4.10) holds true. As a conclusion of Step $\mathbf{3}$ and Step $\mathbf{6}$, the proof of Theorem (5.1) is complete.
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