



The Fekete-Szegő Estimates for a New Class of Analytic Functions Associated With the Convolution

Amit Soni and Ambuj Kumar Mishra

ABSTRACT: In the present investigation, we discuss the sharpness of the bound of the Fekete-Szegő functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ for the functions belonging to certain subclass $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\xi(\psi)$ of analytic functions by means of convolution. The significant and useful consequences with the relevance of this class with some known classes are also pointed out.

Key Words: Analytic functions, starlike functions, convex functions, subordination, Fekete-Szego inequality.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 The Fekete-Szegő Inequality	5
3 Concluding Remarks and Observations	8

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be the class of all functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad (1.1)$$

analytic in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$, normalized by $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. Further the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of univalent functions is denoted by S . Flash back that a function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is starlike if $f(\mathbb{U})$ is a starlike domain and convex if $f(\mathbb{U})$ is a convex domain. The classes comprise of starlike and convex functions are usually denoted by S^* and C , respectively. The function $f(z)$ is subordinate to the function $g(z)$, written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, provided that there is an analytic function $w(z)$ defined on \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$. A function is starlike if and only if $Re(zf'(z)/f(z)) > 0$, or in other words if $zf'(z)/f(z) \prec (1+z)/(1-z)$. The superordinate function $\phi(z) = (1+z)/(1-z)$ is a convex function. Ma and Minda [16] have given a unification of various subclasses consisting of starlike and convex functions for which either one of the expressions $zf'(z)/f(z)$ or $1 + zf''(z)/f'(z)$ is subordinate to a more general superordinate function. Literally, they considered the analytic function ψ with positive real part in the unit disk \mathbb{U} , $\psi(0) = 1$, $\psi'(0) > 0$, where ψ maps \mathbb{U} onto a region starlike with respect to 1 and symmetric with respect to the real axis. The unified class $S^*(\psi)$ initiated by Ma and Minda [16] consists of functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U})$$

They also investigated the corresponding class $C(\psi)$ of convex functions $f \in \mathcal{H}$ satisfying

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \psi(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

A function $f \in S^*(\psi)$ is said to be starlike function with respect to ψ , and a function $f \in C(\psi)$ is a convex function with respect to ψ . In geometric function theory, bounds for the coefficient $|a_i|$ play the

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45, 30C80.

Submitted January 08, 2020. Published August 25, 2020

significant role, as it reveals the geometric properties for the corresponding function. For instance, the bound for the second coefficient $|a_2|$ of given functions in the class S describes the growth and distortion bounds together with covering theorems. The Fekete-Szegő coefficient functional also comes up obviously in the exploration of univalence of analytic functions. A number of authors have scrutinized the Fekete-Szegő functional for functions in various subclasses of univalent, multivalent and close-to-convex functions [17], [18], [11], [26], [14], [27], [19], [2] and recently by R. Agrawal et al. [1].

In 1933; Fekete and Szegő [10] obtained the sharp bound for $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ as a function of the real parameter μ and proved that

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq 1 + 2 \exp\left(-\frac{2\mu}{1-\mu}\right) \quad (0 \leq \mu \leq 1),$$

for functions in the class S . Later the problem of finding sharp bound for the non-linear functional $|a_3 - \mu a_2^2|$ of any compact family of functions $f \in S$ is identified as Fekete-Szegő problem.

If $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by (1.1) and $g \in \mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \quad (1.2)$$

then the convolution (or Hadamard product) $f * g$ of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z). \quad (1.3)$$

In this article ψ is assumed to be an analytic function with positive real part in the unit disk \mathbb{U} and has the Taylor's series expansion of the form

$$\psi(z) = 1 + B_1 z + B_2 z^2 + B_3 z^3 + \dots;$$

with $B_1 > 0$ and B_2 is any real number.

Stimulated by the class $R_\gamma^\tau(\beta)$ in paper [25], we introduce the following class.

Definition 1.1. Let $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. A function $f \in \mathcal{H}$ is in the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ if

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\mathcal{L}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{L}''_g f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_g(f(z)) = f(z) * g(z)$ and $\psi(z)$ defined same as the above.

If we set

$$\psi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \quad (-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}),$$

in (1.4), we get

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz} \right) = \mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(A, B) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{H} : \left| \frac{\mathcal{L}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{L}''_g f(z) - 1}{\epsilon(A - B) - B(\mathcal{L}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{L}''_g f(z) - 1)} \right| < 1 \right\}$$

which is again a new class. Here we discuss some applications of particular case when $g(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)}$ of our class discussed in the literature.

(1) $R_\gamma^\tau(1 - 2\beta, -1) = R_\gamma^\tau(\beta)$ for $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\tau = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ was discussed recently by Swaminathan [25].

(2) The class $R_\gamma^\tau(1 - 2\beta, -1)$ for $\tau = e^{i\eta} \cos \eta$, where $-\pi/2 < \eta < \pi/2$ is considered in [20] and the properties of certain integral transforms of the type

$$V_\lambda(f) = \int_0^1 \lambda(t) \frac{f(tz)}{t} dt, \quad f \in R_\gamma^{(e^{i\eta} \cos \eta)}(\beta)$$

under a suitable restriction on $\lambda(t)$ was discussed using duality techniques for various values of in [20].

(3) The class $R_\gamma^\tau(0, -1)$ with $\tau = e^{i\eta} \cos \eta$ was considered in [12] with reference to the univalence of partial sums.

(4) if $f \in R_\gamma^{e^{i\eta} \cos \eta}(1 - 2\beta, -1)$ whenever $zf'(z) \in P_\gamma^\tau(\beta)$, the class considered in [24].

Here we list some more particular cases of this class discussed in the literature:

(1) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(l+1)+\theta(k-1)}{l+1} \right)^m z^k$, where $\theta > 0$, $l \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, I_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, which is defined by:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I'_g(\theta, l)f(z) + \nu z I''_g(\theta, l)f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.5)$$

where $I_g(\theta, l)$ is the generalized multiplier transformation which was introduced and studied by Catas et al. [6].

(2) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l+k}{l+1} \right)^m z^k$, where $l \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, S_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (S'_g(l)f(z) + \nu z S''_g(l)f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.6)$$

where $S_g(l)$ is the generalized multiplier transformation see [7,8].

(3) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \theta(k-1))^m z^k$, where $\theta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{D}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\mathcal{D}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{D}''_g f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.7)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{D}_g(\theta)$ is the Salagean operator, see ([21]).

(4) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b} \right)^s z^k$ where $b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-$, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, J_{s,b}}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (J'_{s,b} f(z) + \nu z J''_{s,b} f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.8)$$

where the operator $J_{s,b}$ was introduced and studied by Srivastava and Attiya [23].

(5) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{k+1} \right)^\alpha z^k$ where $\alpha \geq 0$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, I^\alpha}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (I^{\alpha'} f(z) + \nu z I^{\alpha''} f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.9)$$

where the operator I^α was introduced and studied by Jung et al. [13].

(6) Let $g(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma(1+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(1+\beta)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta)}{\Gamma(k+\alpha+\beta)} z^k$ where $\alpha \geq 0, \beta > -1$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, Q_\beta^\alpha}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (Q_{\beta}^{\alpha'} f(z) + \nu z Q_{\beta}^{\alpha''} f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.10)$$

where the operator Q_β^α was introduced and studied by Jung et al. [13].

(7) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\mu)^\nu}{(k+\mu)^\nu} \Gamma_k(a_1) z^k$, where $\Gamma_k(a_1) = \frac{(a_1)_{k-1} \dots (a_l)_{k-1}}{(b_1)_{k-1} \dots (b_m)_{k-1} (1)_{k-1}}$ (with $a_i \in \mathbb{C}, i = 1, 2, \dots, l; b_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m, l \leq m+1, l, m, \in \mathbb{N}_0, z \in \mathbb{U}$). and $(\nu)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(\nu)_k := \begin{cases} 1, & (k = 0, \nu \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \\ \nu(\nu+1)\dots(\nu+k-1), & (k \in \mathbb{N}, \nu \in \mathbb{C}). \end{cases}$$

Then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{K}(a_1, b_1, l, m, \mu, \nu)}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\mathcal{K}'(a_1, b_1, l, m, \mu, \nu) f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{K}''(a_1, b_1, l, m, \mu, \nu) f(z) - 1) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.11)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{K}(a_1, b_1, l, m, \mu, \nu(\psi))$ was introduced and studied by Selvaraj and Karthikeyan [22].

(8) Let $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+b}{k+b}\right)^s \frac{(k+\mu-2)! \rho!}{(\mu-1)!(k+\rho-1)!} z^k$ ($b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, s \in \mathbb{C}, \mu > 0, \rho > -1$) then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ reduces to the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, J_{s,b}^{\rho, \mu}}^\epsilon(\psi)$ which is defined by

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left(J_{s,b}^{\rho, \mu'} f(z) + \nu z J_{s,b}^{\rho, \mu''} f(z) - 1 \right) \prec \psi(z), \quad (1.12)$$

where the operator $J_{s,b}^{\rho, \mu}$ was introduced and studied by Al-Shaqsi et al. [3] and Darus et al. [9].

In the present investigation, we derive the Fekete-Szegő inequality for the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ and deduce the such type of results for some special classes also. Here are lemmas that are required in order to prove our main results. Lemma 1.2 of Ali et al. [4], is a reformulation of the corresponding result for functions with positive real part due to Ma and Minda [16].

Let Ω be the class of analytic functions ω , normalized by the condition $\omega(0) = 0$ and satisfying $|\omega(z)| < 1$.

Lemma 1.2. [4] If $\omega(z) = \omega_1 z + \omega_2 z^2 + \dots \in \Omega$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$), then

$$|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -t & (t \leq -1) \\ 1 & (-1 \leq t \leq 1) \\ t & (t \geq 1). \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

For $t < -1$ or $t > 1$, equality holds if and only if $\omega(z) = z$ or one of its rotations. For $-1 < t < 1$, equality holds if and only if $\omega(z) = z^2$ or one of its rotations. Equality holds for $t = -1$ if and only if $\omega(z) = z(\lambda + z)/(1 + \lambda z)$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) or one of its rotations, while for $t = 1$, equality holds if and only if $\omega(z) = -z(\lambda + z)/(1 + \lambda z)$ ($0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$) or one of its rotations. Also the sharp upper bound in the inequality (1.13) can be improved as follows when $-1 < t < 1$;

$$|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| + (1+t)|\omega_1|^2 \leq 1, \quad (-1 < t \leq 0) \quad (1.14)$$

and

$$|\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2| + (1-t)|\omega_1|^2 \leq 1, \quad (0 < t \leq 1). \quad (1.15)$$

Lemma 1.3. (see [15]) If $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + c_3 z^3 + \dots$ ($z \in \mathbb{U}$) is a function with positive real part, then for any complex number μ

$$|c_2 - \mu c_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1, |2\mu - 1|\},$$

and the result is sharp for the function given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z^2}, \quad p(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z} \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}).$$

2. The Fekete-Szego Inequality

We begin with the following results for the class in $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$.

Theorem 2.1. *let $g(z)$ be given by (1.2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. Also assume that $\epsilon > 0$, $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$ and $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + \dots$. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ and μ is any real number then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ B_2 - \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -B_2 + \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right\}$$

and

$$\sigma_2 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right\}.$$

The inequality (2.1) is sharp.

Further, when $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ the above result can be improved as follows, for this let

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2 B_2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}.$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu \leq \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left(1 + \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \quad (2.2)$$

and if $\sigma_3 \leq \mu < \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left(1 - \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Since $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, then there exist an analytic function $\omega(z) = \omega_1z + \omega_2z^2 + \dots \in \Omega$ with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\mathcal{L}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{L}''_g f(z) - 1) = \psi(\omega(z)). \quad (2.4)$$

A calculation shows that

$$1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} [\mathcal{L}'_g f(z) + \nu z \mathcal{L}''_g f(z) - 1] = 1 + \frac{2a_2b_2}{\epsilon}(1+\nu)z + \frac{3a_3b_3}{\epsilon}(1+2\nu)z^2 + \dots \quad (2.5)$$

substituting these values in (2.4) and comparing both of the sides we get

$$\frac{2a_2b_2}{\epsilon}(1+\nu) = B_1\omega_1 \quad (2.6)$$

$$\frac{3a_3b_3}{\epsilon}(1+2\nu) = B_1\omega_2 + B_2\omega_1^2. \quad (2.7)$$

Buy using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3b_3(1+2\nu)} [\omega_2 - t\omega_1^2] \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$t = \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1}. \quad (2.9)$$

If $t \leq -1$, then

$$\frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \leq -1,$$

which implies

$$\mu \leq \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right\} =: \sigma_1.$$

Now an application of Lemma 1.2 gives

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ B_2 - \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} \quad (\mu \leq \sigma_1),$$

which is the first part of assertion (2.1).

Next, if $t \geq 1$, then

$$\frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \geq 1.$$

Which implies

$$\mu \geq \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left\{ 1 + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right\} =: \sigma_2,$$

applying Lemma 1.2, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -B_2 + \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} \quad (\mu > \sigma_2),$$

which is essentially the third part of assertion (2.1).

Finally if $-1 \leq t \leq 1$, then

$$-1 \leq \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \leq 1.$$

Which shows that $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$. Thus by an application of Lemma 1.2, we obtain

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \quad (\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2),$$

which is the second part of assertion (2.1).

Further when $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_2$ the above result can be improved as follows:

If $-1 < t \leq 0$, then

$$-1 < \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \leq 0,$$

which implies that $\sigma_1 < \mu \leq \sigma_3$, where

$$\sigma_3 := \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2B_2}{3b_3\epsilon B_1^2(1+2\nu)}.$$

Now using (1.14), (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\frac{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)}{\epsilon B_1} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \left(1 + \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) |\omega_1|^2 \leq 1. \quad (2.10)$$

Substituting the value of ω_1^2 from (2.6) to (2.10) and simplifying, we have

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left(1 + \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} - \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)}, \quad (\sigma_1 < \mu \leq \sigma_3).$$

Further if $0 \leq t < 1$, then $\sigma_3 \leq \mu < \sigma_2$. Now a similar computation using (1.15), (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9) gives us

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)} \left(1 - \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)B_1b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2b_2^2} + \frac{B_2}{B_1} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon B_1}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

From Theorem 2.1, we deduce the following results:

Corollary 2.2. *let $g(z)$ be given by (1.2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. Also assume that $\epsilon > 0$ and $-1 \leq D < C \leq 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\frac{1+Cz}{1+Dz})$ and μ is any real number then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ D(D-C) - \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon(C-D)^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{\epsilon(C-D)}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -D(D-C) + \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon(C-D)^2(1+2\nu)}{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon(1+2\nu)} \left\{ \frac{1+D}{D-C} \right\}$$

and $\sigma_2 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon(1+2\nu)} \left\{ \frac{1-D}{C-D} \right\}.$

The inequality (2.11) is sharp.

The above result can be improved when $\sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2$ as follows.

Let

$$\sigma_3 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2 D}{3b_3\epsilon(1+2\nu)(D-C)}.$$

If $\sigma_1 < \mu < \sigma_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon(1+2\nu)(C-D)} \left(1 + D + \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)(C-D)b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2 b_2^2} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon(C-D)}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \quad (2.12)$$

and if $\sigma_3 < \mu < \sigma_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3|b_3|\epsilon(1+2\nu)(C-D)} \left(1 - D - \frac{3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)(C-D)b_3}{4(1+\nu)^2 b_2^2} \right) |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{\epsilon(C-D)}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)}. \quad (2.13)$$

By taking $D = -1$ and $C = 1$ in the above Corollary 2.2, we obtain the following:

Example let $g(z)$ be given by (1.2) with b_2, b_3 non zero real numbers. If $f \in \mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\frac{1+z}{1-z})$ and μ is any real number then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ 1 - \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)}{2b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \leq \sigma_1 \\ \frac{2\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} & \text{if } \sigma_1 \leq \mu \leq \sigma_2 \\ \frac{2\epsilon}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \left\{ -1 + \frac{3b_3\mu\epsilon(1+2\nu)}{2b_2^2(1+\nu)^2} \right\} & \text{if } \mu \geq \sigma_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{4b_2^2(1+\nu)^2}{3b_3\epsilon(1+2\nu)}.$$

The inequality (2.14) is sharp.

Using Lemma 1.3 and equation (2.8), we deduce the following:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$, where $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\psi'(0) > 0$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$), then for any complex number μ*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1|\epsilon|}{3|b_3|(1+2\nu)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{b_3}{b_2^2} \frac{\mu\epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)}{(1+\nu)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.15)$$

The result is sharp.

Remark 2.4. *If we put $g(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$ in (1.4), we have the result ([5], Theorem 2.1.) of D. Bansal.*

Putting $B_1 = (A - B)$ and $B_2 = -B(A - B)$ in Theorem 2.3, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.5. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(A, B)$, ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$), then for any complex number μ*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{(A - B)|\epsilon|}{3|b_3|(1 + 2\nu)} \max \left\{ 1, \left| B + \frac{3}{4} \frac{b_3}{b_2^2} \frac{\mu \epsilon (A - B)(1 + 2\nu)}{(1 + \nu)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.16)$$

The result is sharp.

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(l+1)+\theta(k-1)}{l+1} \right)^m z^k$, where $\theta > 0$, $l \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (1.4), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2.6. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, I_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$), then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1|\epsilon||l+1|^m}{3(1+2\nu)|l+1+2\theta|^m} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{(l+1)^m(l+1+2\theta)^m}{(l+1+\theta)^m} \frac{\mu \epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)}{(1+\nu)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l+k}{l+1} \right)^m z^k$, where $l \geq 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ in (1.4), then the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, S_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$), then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1|\epsilon||l+1|^m}{3(1+2\nu)|l+3|^m} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{(l+3)^m(l+1)^m}{(l+2)^{2m}} \frac{\mu \epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)}{(1+\nu)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.18)$$

Putting $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (1 + \theta(k-1))^m z^k$, where $\theta > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\psi(z) = 1 + B_1z + B_2z^2 + B_3z^3 + \dots$. If $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) belongs to $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{D}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$, ($0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $z \in \mathbb{U}$), then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{B_1|\epsilon|}{3(1+2\nu)|(1+2\theta)|^m} \max \left\{ 1, \left| \frac{B_2}{B_1} - \frac{3}{4} \frac{(1+2\theta)^m}{(1+\theta)^m} \frac{\mu \epsilon B_1(1+2\nu)}{(1+\nu)^2} \right| \right\}. \quad (2.19)$$

3. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In this article we define a new subclass $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ of analytic function by means of convolution. We discuss the relevance of this class with some known subclasses of analytic functions.

The results depicted (see Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.3) which lead to various interesting results. In Theorem 2.1, we found more improved bound using the relations (1.14) and (1.15).

We deem it proper to point out some of the known special cases which arise from the results proved above. Similarly for the Theorem 2.3, we deduce the set of corollaries from 2.5 to 2.8.

Regarding the scope of future work, the sharp Fekete-Szegö functional for Q -version of the class $\mathcal{R}_{\nu, \mathcal{L}_g}^\epsilon(\psi)$ using Q -calculus approach may be obtained.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their sincerest thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions.

References

1. Agarwal, R., Paliwal, G.S. and Purohit, S.D *Geometric properties for an unified class of functions characterized using Fractional Ruscheweyh-Goyal derivative operator*, Sci. Tech. Asia, 25(1), 72-84, (2020).
2. Agarwal, R. and Paliwal, G.S. *On the Fekete-Szegö problem for certain subclass of analytic functions*, Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Soft Computing for Problem Solving (SocProS 2012), December 28-30, Springer India, 353-361, (2012).

3. Al-Shaqsi, K. and Darus, M., *On certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a multiplier transformation with two parameters*, Appl. Math. Sci. 3(36), 1799-1810, (2009).
4. Ali, R. M., Ravichandran, V. and Seenivasagan, N., *Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. 187(1), 35-46, (2007).
5. Bansal, D., *Fekete-Szego problem for a new class of analytic functions*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. Article ID 143096, 5 pages,(2011). doi:10.1155/2011/143096.
6. Cătaș, A., Oros, G. I. and Oros, G., *Differential subordinations associated with multiplier transformations*, Abstract Appl. Anal. 2008, ID 845724, 1-11, (2008).
7. Cho, N. E. and Kim, T. H., *Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(3), 399-410, (2003).
8. Cho, N. E. and Srivastava, H. M., *Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations*, Math. Comput. Model. 37 (1-2), 39-49, (2003).
9. Darus, M. and Al-Shaqsi, K., *On subordinations for certain analytic functions associated with generalized integral operator*, Lobachevskii J. Math. 29, 90-97, (2008).
10. Fekete, M. and Szego, G., *Eine Bemerkung über ungerade schlichte funktionen*, J. London Math. Soc. 8, 85-89, (1933).
11. Jahangiri, J. M., Ramchandran, C. and Annamalai, S., *Fekete-Szego problem for certain analytic functions defined by Hypergeometric functions and Jacobi Polynomial*, J. Fract. Calc. Appl. 9(1), 1-7, (2018).
12. Jian Lin, L., *On some classes of analytic functions*, Math. Jpn., 40(3), 523-529, (1994).
13. Jung, I. B., Kim, Y. C. and Srivastava, H. M., *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176, 138-147, (1993).
14. Kant, S. and Vyas, P. P., *Sharp bounds of Fekete-Szego functional for quasi-subordination class*, Acta Univ. Sapientiae Math. 11(1), 87-98, (2019).
15. Keogh, F. R. and Merkes, E. P., *A coefficient inequality for certain classes of analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 20, 8-12, (1969).
16. Ma, W. C. and Minda, D., *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, in Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin)*, 157-169, Conf. Proc (1992). Lecture Notes Anal., I Int. Press, Cambridge, MA.
17. Maharana, S., Bansal, D. and Prajapat, J., *Coefficient bounds for inverse of certain univalent functions*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 8(3), 59-65, (2016).
18. Mishra, A. K., Prajapat, J. and Maharana, S., *Bounds on Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions with respect to symmetric points*, Cogent Math. 3, 1160557, (2016).
19. Parihar, H. S., Agarwal, R. and Paliwal, G. S., *Families of multivalent analytic functions associated with the convolution structure*, Journal of Progressive Research in Mathematics, 6(1), 741-745, (2015).
20. Ponnusamy, S. and Ronning, F., *Integral transforms of a class of analytic functions* Complex Var. Elliptic Equ., 53(5), 423-434, (2008).
21. Sălăgean, G. S., *Subclasses of univalent functions*, Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag) 1013, 362-372, (1983).
22. Selvaraj, C. and Karthikeyan, K. R., *Subclasses of analytic functions involving a certain family of linear operators*, Internat. J. Contemp. Math. Sci. 3(13), 615-627, (2008).
23. Srivastava, H. M. and Attiya, A. A., *An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function and differential subordination*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. 18, 207-216, (2007).
24. Swaminathan, A., *Certain sufficiency conditions on Gaussian hypergeometric functions* J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 5(4), 1-10, (2004).
25. Swaminathan, A., *Sufficient conditions for hypergeometric functions to be in a certain class of analytic functions*, Comp. Math. Appl. 59(4), 1578-1583, (2010).
26. Wang, X. Y. and Wang, Z. R., *Coefficient Inequality for a new subclass of analytic and univalent functions related to sigmoid function*, Int. J. Mod. Math. Sci. 16(1), 51-57, (2018).
27. Wieclaw, K. T., Zaprawa, P., Gregorczyk, M. and Rysak, A., *On the fekete-szego type functionals for close-to-convex functions* Symmetry, doi:10.3390/sym11121497, 11, 1497, 1-17, (2019).

Amit Soni,
Department of Mathematics,
Govt. Engineering College Bikaner, Bikaner 334004, Rajasthan,
India.
E-mail address: aamitt1981@gmail.com

and

Ambuj Kumar Mishra,
Department of Mathematics,
I.A.H., GLA University, Mathura 281406
India.
E-mail address: ambuj_math@rediffmail.com