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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be a pure number field generated by a root of a monic irreducible polynomial $F(x)=$ $x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, where $m \neq \pm 1$ is a square free integer, $r$ and $s$ are two positive integers. In this paper, we study the monogenity of $K$. We prove that if $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $\bar{m} \notin\{ \pm \overline{1}, \pm \overline{18}, \pm \overline{19}\}$ (mod 49), then $K$ is monogenic. But if $r \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$ or $s \geq 3, \bar{m} \in\{\overline{1}, \overline{18},-\overline{19}\}(\bmod 49)$, and $\nu_{7}\left(m^{6}-1\right) \geq 4$, then $K$ is not monogenic. Some illustrating examples are given.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be a number field generated by a root $\alpha$ of a monic irreducible polynomial $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ its ring of integers. It is well known that the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module of rank $n=[K: \mathbb{Q}]$, and so the Abelian group $\mathbb{Z}_{K} / \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is finite. Its cardinal order is called the index of $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ and denoted $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$. If for some primitive element $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of $K$, we have $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\theta]\right)=1$, then the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ has a power integral basis $\left(1, \theta, \ldots, \theta^{n-1}\right)$. In such a case, the field $K$ is said to be monogenic and not monogenic otherwise. For any primitive element $\alpha$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ (that is $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{K}$ with $\left.K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)\right)$ we denote by

$$
\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)=\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)
$$

the index of $\alpha$, that is the index of the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ in the free- $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of rank $n$. As it is known [21], we have the following formula, which links the discriminant of $F(x)$, ind $(\alpha)$, and the absolute discriminant $d_{K}$ of $K$ :

$$
\triangle(F)=(\operatorname{ind}(\alpha))^{2} \cdot d_{K}
$$

where $\triangle(F)$ is the discriminant of $F(x)$. Obviously, $\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)=1$ if and only if $\left(1, \alpha, \ldots, \alpha^{n-1}\right)$ is an integral basis of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$.

Monogenity of number fields is a classical problem of algebraic number theory, going back to Dedekind, Hasse and Hensel, cf e.g. [28,30] and [21] for the present state of this area. It is called a problem of Hasse to give an arithmetic characterization of those number fields which have a power integral basis [28,30,32]. The problem of testing the monogenity of number fields and constructing power integral bases have been intensively studied during the last decades, see for instance [2,22,35]. An especially delicate and intensively studied problem is the monogenity of pure fields $K$ generated by a root $\alpha$ of

[^0]an irreducible polynomial $x^{n}-m$, mainly by Gaál, Nakahara, Pohst, and their research teams (see for instance $[2,20,21,23,35]$ ). In [10], El Fadil gave conditions for the existence of power integral bases of pure cubic fields in terms of the index form equation. In [19], Funakura, calculated integral bases and studied monogenity of pure quartic number fields. In [24], Gaál and Remete, calculated the elements of index 1 in pure quartic number fields generated by $m^{\frac{1}{4}}$ for $1<m<10^{7}$ and $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$. In [1], Ahmad, Nakahara, and Husnine proved that if $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$ and $m \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$, then the sextic number field generated by $m^{\frac{1}{6}}$ is monogenic. They also showed in [2], that if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $m \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$, then the sextic number field generated by $m^{\frac{1}{6}}$ is not monogenic. In [17], based on prime ideal factorization, El Fadil showed that if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ or $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 9)$, then the sextic number field generated by $m^{\frac{1}{6}}$ is not monogenic. Hameed and Nakahara [27], proved that if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then the octic number field generated by $m^{1 / 8}$ is not monogenic, but if $m \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)$, then it is monogenic. In [25], by applying the explicit form of the index equation, Gaál and Remete obtained deep new results on monogenity of the number fields generated by $m^{\frac{1}{n}}$, with $3 \leq n \leq 9$. While Gaál and Remete's techniques are based on the index calculation, Nakahara's methods are based on the existence of power relative integral bases of some special sub-fields. In [36], based on Dedekind's criterion, Smith gave some criterion to test monogenity of pure number fields. In [4,5,7,12,13,14,15], El Fadil et al. used Newton polygon techniques to study the monogenity of pure number fields of degrees $12,24,36,60, p^{r}$, $2^{r} \cdot 5^{s}$, and $2 \cdot 3^{v}$. In [6], Ben Yakkou and Kchit proved that if $m \not \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 9)$, then the number fields defined by $x^{3^{r}}-m$ are monogenic, but these fields are not monogenic for $r \geq 3$ and $m \equiv \pm 1(\bmod 81)$. In this paper, based on Newton polygon techniques, we study the monogenity of any pure number field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ generated by a root $\alpha$ of a monic irreducible polynomial $F(x)=x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-m$, with $m \neq \pm 1$ a square free integer, $r$ and $s$ two positive integers. The cases $r=0$ or $s=0$ were investigated in [5]. Recall that for $s=0$ and $r \geq 2$, the monogenity of pure number fields of degree $2^{r}$ are previously studied in [3].

## 2. Main results

Let $K$ be a pure number field generated by a root $\alpha$ of a monic irreducible polynomial $F(x)=$ $x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-m$, with $m \neq \pm 1$ a square free integer, $r$ and $s$ two positive integers.

Theorem 2.1. The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the ring of integers of $K$ if and only if $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $m \not \equiv$ $\pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19(\bmod 49)$.
In particular, if $m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $m \not \equiv \pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19(\bmod 49)$, then $K$ is monogenic.
Remark that: Based on Theorem 2.1, if $m \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ or $m \equiv \pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19(\bmod 49)$, then $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is not the ring of integers of $K$. But in this case, Theorem 2.1 cannot decide on the monogenity of $K$. The following theorem gives a partial answer.

Theorem 2.2. If one of the following conditions holds:

1. $r \geq 2$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$,
2. $s \geq 3, \bar{m} \in\{\overline{1}, \overline{18},-\overline{19}\}(\bmod 49)$ and $\nu_{7}\left(m^{6}-1\right) \geq 4$,
then $K$ is not monogenic.
Theorem 2.3. Let $K$ be a pure number field generated by a root $\alpha$ of a monic irreducible polynomial $F(x)=x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-a^{u}$, with $a \neq \pm 1$ a square free integer, $u<2^{r} \times 7^{s}$ a positive integer, which is coprime to 14, $r$ and $s$ two positive integers. Then
3. If $a \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $a \not \equiv \pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19(\bmod 49)$, then $K$ is monogenic.
4. If $r \geq 2$ and $a \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$ or $s \geq 3, \bar{a} \in\{\overline{1}, \overline{18},-\overline{19}\}(\bmod 49)$, and $\nu_{7}\left(a^{6}-1\right) \geq 4$, then $K$ is not monogenic.

## 3. Examples

Let $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic irreducible ploynomial and $K$ the number field generated by a root of $F(x)$.

1. If $F(x)=x^{14}-55$, then $F(x)$ is irreducible because it is 5 -Eisenstein. Since $m=55 \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $m \equiv 6(\bmod 49)$, by Theorem $2.1 K$ is monogenic.
2. If $F(x)=x^{56}-26$, then $F(x)$ is irreducible because it is 2-Eisenstein. Since $m=26 \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ and $m \equiv 26(\bmod 49)$, by Theorem $2.1 K$ is monogenic.
3. If $F(x)=x^{28}-65$, then $m=65 \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. By Theorem $2.2, K$ is not monogenic.
4. If $F(x)=x^{112}-113^{5}$, then $m=113 \equiv 1 \bmod 16$ and $\nu_{2}(m-1)=4$. By Theorem $2.3, K$ is not monogenic.
5. If $F(x)=(x-4)^{392}-14^{9}$, then $F(x) \equiv(x-4)^{392}(\bmod 2)$. As $m=14 \equiv 14(\bmod 16)$, $m \equiv$ $14(\bmod 49)$, and 9 is coprime with 14 , then by Theorem $2.3, K$ is monogenic.
6. If $F(x)=x^{686}-1047$, then $F(x)$ is irreducible because it is 3 -Eisenstein. Since $m=1047 \equiv$ $18(\bmod 49)$ and $m^{6} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 7^{4}\right)$. By Theorem $2.2, K$ is not monogenic.

## 4. Preliminaries

Throughout the present section, let us assume that $\overline{F(x)}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}{\overline{\phi_{i}(x)}}_{l}^{l i}(\bmod p)$ is the factorization of $\overline{F(x)}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, where $p$ is a rational prime integer, $\forall i=1, \ldots, r, \phi_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a monic polynomial whose reduction is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, and $G C D\left(\overline{\phi_{i}}, \overline{\phi_{j}}\right)=1$, for every $i \neq j=1, \ldots, r$. Recall that a theorem of Dedekind says that:

Theorem 4.1. ([33, Chapter I, Proposition 8.3])
If $p$ does not divide the index $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$, then

$$
p \mathbb{Z}_{K}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathfrak{p}_{i}^{l_{i}}, \text { where every } \mathfrak{p}_{i}=p \mathbb{Z}_{K}+\phi_{i}(\alpha) \mathbb{Z}_{K}
$$

and the residue degree of $\mathfrak{p}_{i}$ is $f\left(\mathfrak{p}_{i}\right)=\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$.
In order to apply this theorem in an effective way, one needs a criterion to test whether $p$ divides the index $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$. In this sense, a criterion was developed by Dedekind to test whether $p$ divides $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$. In 1878 , he considered $M(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, where $M(x)=\frac{F(x)-\prod_{i=1}^{r} \phi_{i}(x)^{l_{i}}}{p}$, and proved the following well known Dedekind's criterion:
Theorem 4.2. ([8, Theorem 6.1.4] and [9])
The following statements are equivalent:

1. $p$ does not divide the index $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$.
2. For every $i=1, \ldots, r$, either $l_{i}=1$ or $l_{i} \geq 2$ and $\overline{\phi_{i}(x)}$ does not divide $\overline{M(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$.

When Dedekind's criterion fails, that is, $p$ divides the index $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\theta]\right)$ for every primitive element $\theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{K}$ of $K$, then for such primes and number fields, it is not possible to obtain the prime ideal factorization of $p \mathbb{Z}_{K}$ by Dedekind's theorem of factorization. In 1928, Ore developed an alternative approach for obtaining the index $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$, the absolute discriminant $d_{K}$ of $K$, and the prime ideal factorization of the rational primes in a number field $K$ by using Newton polygons. For more details, we refer to [11,18,26,34].

For any prime integer $p$ and for any monic polynomial $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ whose reduction is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$, let $\mathbb{F}_{\phi}$ be the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x] /(\bar{\phi})$. For any monic polynomial $F(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$, upon to the successive

Euclidean division by $\phi$, we expand $F(x)$ as $F(x)=a_{0}(x)+a_{1}(x) \phi(x)+\cdots+a_{l}(x) \phi(x)^{l}$, called the $\phi$ expansion of $F(x)$, (for every $\left.i, \operatorname{deg}\left(a_{i}(x)\right)<\operatorname{deg}(\phi)\right)$. To any coefficient $a_{i}(x)$ we attach $u_{i}=\nu_{p}\left(a_{i}(x)\right) \in$ $\mathbb{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$. The $\phi$-Newton polygon of $F(x)$ with respect to $p$, is the lower boundary convex envelope of the set of points $\left\{\left(i, u_{i}\right), a_{i}(x) \neq 0\right\}$ in the Euclidean plane, which we denote by $N_{\phi}(F)$. The $\phi$-Newton polygon of $F$, is the process of joining the obtained edges $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{t}$ ordered by increasing slopes, which can be expressed as $N_{\phi}(F)=S_{1}+\cdots+S_{t}$. The principal $\phi$-Newton polygon of $F$, denoted $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$, is the part of the polygon $N_{\phi}(F)$, which is determined by joining all sides of negative slopes. For every side $S$ of $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$, the length of $S$, denoted $l(S)$, is the length of its projection to the $x$-axis and its height, denoted $h(S)$, is the length of its projection to the $y$-axis. Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(l(S), h(S))$ be the degree of $S$. For every side $S$ of $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$, with initial point $\left(s, u_{s}\right)$, length $l$, and for every $i=0, \ldots, l$, we attach the following residue coefficient $c_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi}$ as follows:

$$
c_{i}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }\left(s+i, u_{s+i}\right) \text { lies strictly above } S \\ \left(\frac{a_{s+i}(x)}{p^{u_{s+i}}}\right) \quad \bmod (p, \phi(x)), & \text { if }\left(s+i, u_{s+i}\right) \text { lies on } S\end{cases}
$$

where $(p, \phi(x))$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ generated by $p$ and $\phi$. Let $\lambda=-h / e$ be the slope of $S$, where $h$ and $e$ are two positive coprime integers. Then $d=l / e$ is the degree of $S$. Since the points with integer coordinates lying on $S$ are exactly

$$
\left(s, u_{s}\right),\left(s+e, u_{s}-h\right), \ldots,\left(s+d e, u_{s}-d h\right)
$$

if $i$ is not a multiple of $e$, then $\left(s+i, u_{s+i}\right)$ does not lie on $S$, and so $c_{i}=0$. Let

$$
R_{\lambda}(F)(y)=t_{d} y^{d}+t_{d-1} y^{d-1}+\cdots+t_{1} y+t_{0} \in \mathbb{F}_{\phi}[y]
$$

called the residual polynomial of $F(x)$ associated to the side $S$, where for every $i=0, \ldots, d, t_{i}=c_{i e}$. Let $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)=S_{1}+\cdots+S_{t}$ be the principal $\phi$-Newton polygon of $F$ with respect to $p$. We say that $F$ is a $\phi$-regular polynomial with respect to $p$, if $R_{\lambda_{j}}(F)(y)$ is square free in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi}[y]$ for every $j=1, \ldots, t$. The polynomial $F$ is said to be $p$-regular if $F$ is a $\phi_{i}$-regular polynomial with respect to $p$ for every $i=1, \ldots, r$.

The theorem of Ore plays a key role for proving our main Theorems.
Let $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial, with $\overline{\phi(x)}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$. As defined in [18, Def. 1.3], the $\phi$-index of $F(x)$, denoted $\operatorname{ind}_{\phi}(F)$, is $\operatorname{deg}(\phi)$ multiplied by the number of points with natural integer coordinates that lie below or on the polygon $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$, strictly above the horizontal axis, and strictly beyond the vertical axis (see Figure 1).

Example of constructing a Newton polygon: For the monic irreducible polynomial $F(x)=x^{8}+$ $24 x^{2}+39$, we have $F(x) \equiv(x-1)^{8}(\bmod 2)$. Let $\phi=x-1$, we get

$$
F(x)=\phi^{8}+8 \phi^{7}+28 \phi^{6}+56 \phi^{5}+70 \phi^{4}+56 \phi^{3}+52 \phi^{2}+56 \phi+64
$$

Thus, $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)=S_{1}+S_{2}+S_{3}+S_{4}$, with respect to 2 . The degree of each side is 1 , then their attached residual polynomials are irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{\phi}$. Thus $F(x)$ is $\phi$-regular, and so, it is 2-regular. In this example, we have $\operatorname{ind}_{\phi}(F)=7 \times \operatorname{deg}(\phi)=7$.

For every $i=1, \ldots, r$, let $N_{\phi_{i}}^{+}(F)=S_{i 1}+\cdots+S_{i t_{i}}$ be the principal $\phi_{i}$-Newton polygon of $F$ with respect to $p$. For every $j=1, \ldots, t_{i}$, let $R_{\lambda_{i j}}(y)=\prod_{s=1}^{s_{i j}} \psi_{i j s}^{a_{i j s}}(y)$ be the factorization of $R_{\lambda_{i j}}(y)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{\phi_{i}}[y]$. Then we have the following theorem of index of Ore:


Figure 1: $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$.

Theorem 4.3. (Theorem of Ore)
Under the above hypothesis, we have the following:
1.

$$
\nu_{p}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{ind}_{\phi_{i}}(F)
$$

The equality holds if $F(x)$ is p-regular.
2. If $F(x)$ is $p$-regular, then

$$
p \mathbb{Z}_{K}=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{j=1}^{t_{i}} \prod_{s=1}^{s_{i j}} \mathfrak{p}_{i j s}^{e_{i j}}
$$

where $e_{i j}$ is the smallest positive integer satisfying $e_{i j} \lambda_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_{i j s}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{i}\right) \times \operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i j s}\right)$ is the residue degree of $\mathfrak{p}_{i j s}$ over $p$ for every $(i, j, s)$.
Corollary 4.4. Under the hypothesis above (Theorem 4.3), if for every $i=1, \ldots, r, l_{i}=1$ or $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)=S_{i}$ has a single side of height 1 , then $\nu_{p}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)\right)=0$.

An alternative proof of theorem of index of Ore is given in [18, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.9]. In [26], Guàrdia, Montes, and Nart introduced the notion of $\phi$-admissible expansion used in order to treat some special cases when the $\phi$-expansion is not obvious to calculate. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} A_{i}^{\prime}(x) \phi(x)^{i}, \quad A_{i}^{\prime}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a $\phi$-expansion of $F(x)$, not necessarily the $\phi$-expansion; $\operatorname{deg}\left(A_{i}\right)$ not necessarily less than $\operatorname{deg}(\phi)$. Take $u_{i}^{\prime}=\nu_{p}\left(A_{i}^{\prime}(x)\right)$, for all $i=0, \ldots, n$, and let $N^{\prime}$ be the lower boundary convex envelope of the set of points $\left\{\left(i, u_{i}^{\prime}\right) \mid 0 \leq i \leq n, u_{i}^{\prime} \neq \infty\right\}$. To any $i=0, \ldots, n$, we attach the residue coefficient as follows:

$$
c_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if }\left(i, u_{i}^{\prime}\right) \text { lies above } N^{\prime}, \\ \left(\frac{A_{i}^{\prime}(x)}{p^{u_{i}^{\prime}}}\right) \quad \bmod (p, \phi(x)), & \text { if }\left(i, u_{i}^{\prime}\right) \text { lies on } N^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

Likewise, for any side $S$ of $N^{\prime}$, we can define the residual polynomial attached to $S$ and denoted $R_{\lambda}^{\prime}(F)(y)$ (similar to the residual polynomial $R_{\lambda}(F)(y)$ from the $\phi$-expansion). We say that the $\phi$ expansion (4.1) is admissible if $c_{i}^{\prime} \neq 0$ for each abscissa $i$ of a vertex of $N^{\prime}$. For more details, we refer to [26].

Lemma 4.5. ([26, Lemma 1.12])
If a $\phi$-expansion of $F(x)$ is admissible, then $N^{\prime}=N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}=c_{i}$. In particular, for any side $S$ of $N^{\prime}$ we have $R_{\lambda}^{\prime}(F)(y)=R_{\lambda}(F)(y)$ up to multiply by a nonzero coefficient of $\mathbb{F}_{\phi}$.

The following lemma allows to determine the $\phi$-Newton polygon of $F(x)$. Its proof will appear in [16].

Lemma 4.6. Let $F(x)=x^{n}-m \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be an irreducible polynomial and $p$ a rational prime integer which divides $n$ and does not divide $m$. Let $n=p^{r} t$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ with $p$ does not divide $t$. Then $\overline{F(x)}=$ ${\overline{\left(x^{t}-m\right)}}^{p^{r}}(\bmod p)$. Let $v=\nu_{p}\left(m^{p}-m\right)$ and $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial, whose reduction modulo $p$ divides $\overline{F(x)}$. Let us denote $\left(x^{t}-m\right)=\phi(x) Q(x)+R(x)$. Then $\nu_{p}(R) \geq 1$.

1. If $\nu_{p}\left(m^{p-1}-1\right) \leq r$, then $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$ is the lower boundary of the convex envelope of the set of the points $\{(0, v)\} \cup\left\{\left(p^{j}, r-j\right), j=0, \ldots, r\right\}$.
2. If $\nu_{p}\left(m^{p-1}-1\right) \geq r+1$, then $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$ is the lower boundary of the convex envelope of the set of the points $\{(0, V)\} \cup\left\{\left(p^{j}, r-j\right), j=0, \ldots, r\right\}$ for some integer $V \geq r+1$.

### 4.1. Common index divisor:

The index of a field $K$ is defined by

$$
i(K)=\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\theta]\right) \mid K=\mathbb{Q}(\theta) \text { and } \theta \in \mathbb{Z}_{K}\right\}
$$

A rational prime $p$ dividing $i(K)$ is called, a prime common index divisor of $K$. If $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ has a power integral basis, then $i(K)=1$. Therefore a field having a prime common index divisor is not monogenic.
The existence of prime common index divisors was first established in 1871 by Dedekind who exhibited examples in fields of third and fourth degrees, for example, he considered the cubic field $K$ defined by $x^{3}-x^{2}-2 x-8$ and he showed that the prime 2 splits completely. So, if we suppose that $K$ is monogenic, then we would be able to find a cubic polynomial generating $K$, that splits completely into distinct polynomials of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. Since there is only 2 distinct polynomials of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, this is impossible. Based on these ideas and using Kronecker's theory of algebraic numbers, Hensel gave a necessary and sufficient condition on the so-called "index divisors" for any prime integer $p$ to be a prime common index divisor [29].
The following lemma characterizes the prime common index divisors of $K$.
Lemma 4.7. ([36, Theorem 2.2])
Let $p$ be a rational prime integer and $K$ be a number field. For every positive integer $f$, let $\mathcal{P}_{f}$ be the number of distinct prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above $p$ with residue degree $f$ and $\mathcal{N}_{f}$ the number of monic irreducible polynomials of $\mathbb{F}_{p}[x]$ of degree $f$. Then $p$ is a prime common index divisor of $K$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}_{f}>\mathcal{N}_{f}$ for some positive integer $f$.

## 5. Proofs of main results

Proof of Theorem 2.1.
The proof of this theorem can be concluded by Dedekind's criterion. But as the other results are based on Newton polygon, let us use theorem of index with "if and only if" as it is given in [26, Theorem 4.18], which says that in order to prove that $\nu_{p}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)\right)=0$ if and only if $i n d_{1}(F)=0$, where $i n d_{1}(F)$ is the index obtained by Ore's theorem of index. Since $\Delta(F)= \pm\left(2^{r} \times 7^{s}\right)^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}} \times m^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}-1}$ and thanks to the formula $\nu_{p}(\Delta(F))=\nu_{p}\left(d_{K}\right)+2 \nu_{p}(\operatorname{ind}(\alpha)), \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$ is the ring of integers of $K$ if and only if $p$ does not divide $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$ for every rational prime $p$ dividing $2 \times 7 \times m$. Let $p$ be a rational prime dividing $m$, then $F(x) \equiv x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}(\bmod p)$. Let $\phi=x$. As $m$ is a square free integer, then $\nu_{p}(m)=1$, and so $N_{\phi}(F)=S$ has a single side of height 1. Thus $R_{\lambda}(F)(y)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{F}_{\phi}$ as it is of degree 1. By Corollary 4.4, we conclude that $\nu_{p}\left(\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)\right)=0 ; p$ does not divide $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{K}: \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]\right)$. For $p=2$ and 2 does not divide $m$, we have $F(x) \equiv x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-1 \equiv\left(x^{7^{s}}-1\right)^{2^{r}}(\bmod 2)$. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial, whose reduction modulo 2 is an irreducible factor of $\overline{F(x)}$, then $\bar{\phi}$ divides $\overline{x^{7^{s}}-1}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. By Lemma 4.6, ind $_{1}(F)=0$ if and only if $\nu_{2}(1-m)=1 ; m \not \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Similarly, for $p=7$ and 7 does not divide $m$. We have $F(x) \equiv x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-m \equiv\left(x^{2^{r}}-\underline{m}\right)^{7^{s}}(\bmod 7)$. Let $\phi \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ be a monic polynomial, whose reduction modulo 7 is an irreducible factor of $\overline{F(x)}$, then $\bar{\phi}$ divides $\overline{x^{2^{r}}-m}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{7}[x]$. Again By Lemma 4.6, ind $(F)=0$ if and only if $\nu_{7}\left(m^{7^{s}}-m\right)=1 ; m \not \equiv \pm 1, \pm 18, \pm 19(\bmod 49)$.

Remark 5.1. In order to prove Theorem 2.2, we do not need to determine the factorization of $p \mathbb{Z}_{K}$ explicitly. But according to Lemma 4.7, we need only to show that $\mathcal{P}_{f}>\mathcal{N}_{f}$ for an adequate positive integer $f$. So in practice, the second point of Theorem 4.3 could replaced by the following: If $l_{i}=1$ or $d_{i j}=1$ or $a_{i j k}=1$ for some $(i, j, k)$ according to notation of Theorem 4.3, then $\psi_{i j k}$ provides a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{i j k}$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above $p$ with residue degree $f_{i j k}=m_{i} \times t_{i j k}$, where $t_{i j k}=\operatorname{deg}\left(\psi_{i j k}\right)$ and $p \mathbb{Z}_{K}=\mathfrak{p}_{i j k}^{e_{i j}} I$, where the factorization of the ideal I can be derived from the other factors of each residual polynomials of $F(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.2.
In every case, let us show that $i(K)>1$, and so $K$ is not monogenic.

1. $r=2$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$.

Since $x^{7^{s}}-1$ is separable over $\mathbb{F}_{2}, x^{7^{s}}-1=(x-1) U(x)$ with $\overline{x-1}$ does not divide $\overline{U(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$. For $\phi=x-1$, if $v=\nu_{2}(1-m) \geq 4$, then by Lemma $4.6, N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$ has 3 sides joining the points $(0, v),(1,2),(2,1)$, and $(4,0)$ (see Figure 1$)$. Thus the degree of each side is 1 , then by Theorem $4.3, \phi$ provides 3 prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above 2 with residue degree 1 each. As there are only 2 monic irreducible polynomials of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, by Lemma 4.7, 2 is a common index divisor of $K$, and so $K$ is not monogenic.


Figure 2: $N_{\phi}^{+}(F)$.
2. $r \geq 3$ and $m \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$.

Since $x^{7^{s}}-1$ is separable over $\mathbb{F}_{2}, x^{7^{s}}-1=\overline{\phi_{1}(x) \phi_{2}(x) \phi_{3}(x)} \overline{U(x)}$ with $\phi_{1}=x-1, \phi_{2}(x)=x^{3}+x+1$, and $\phi_{3}(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+1$. Since $v=\nu_{2}(m-1) \geq 4$, by Lemma 4.6, we conclude that $N_{\phi_{i}}^{+}(F)$ has at least 2 sides joining the points $\left(2^{r-2}, 2\right),\left(2^{r-1}, 1\right)$, and $\left(2^{r}, 0\right)$ (see Figure 3). Then the degree of each of these 2 sides is 1 . Thus, for every $i=2,3, \phi_{i}$ provides at least 2 prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above 2 with residue degree $3=\operatorname{deg}\left(\phi_{i}\right)$ each. Applying this for $i=2$ and $i=3$, we conclude that there are at least 4 prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above 2 with residue degree 3 each. As there are only 2 monic irreducible polynomials of degree 3 in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, namely $x^{3}+x+1$ and $x^{3}+x^{2}+1$, by Lemma 4.7, 2 is a common index divisor of $K$, and so $K$ is not monogenic.


Figure 3: $N_{\phi_{i}}^{+}(F)$.
3. $s \geq 3$ and $\nu_{7}\left(m^{6}-1\right) \geq 4$.

If $m \equiv 1(\bmod 49)$, then $x^{2^{r}}-1 \equiv(x+1)(x-1) U_{1}(x)(\bmod 7)$.

If $m \equiv-19(\bmod 49)$, then $x^{2^{r}}-2 \equiv(x+3)(x-3) U_{2}(x)(\bmod 7)$ if $r$ is odd and $x^{2^{r}}-2 \equiv$ $(x+2)(x-2) U_{3}(x)(\bmod 7)$ if $r$ is even.
If $m \equiv 18(\bmod 49)$, then $x^{2^{r}}-4 \equiv(x+2)(x-2) U_{4}(x)(\bmod 7)$ if $r$ is odd and $x^{2^{r}}-4 \equiv$ $(x+3)(x-3) U_{5}(x)(\bmod 7)$ if $r$ is even.
In all these cases, $x^{2^{r}}-m$ has 2 distinct roots $a_{1}$ and $a_{2}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{7}$. Let $\phi_{i}(x)=x-a_{i}$. Then $\underline{x^{2^{r}}-m}=\phi_{i}(x) Q_{i}(x)+7 A_{i}(x)$ for some polynomials $Q_{i}, A_{i}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with $\overline{\phi_{i}(x)}$ does not divide $\overline{Q_{i}(x)}$ in $\mathbb{F}_{7}[x]$. Since $\nu_{7}\left(m^{6}-1\right) \geq 4$, by Lemma 4.6, we conclude that $N_{\phi_{i}}^{+}(F)$ has at least 4 sides joining the points $(0, V),\left(7^{s-3}, 3\right),\left(7^{s-2}, 2\right),\left(7^{s-1}, 1\right)$, and $\left(7^{s}, 0\right)$, with $V \geq 4$. Thus the degree of each of these four sides is 1 . Then every $\phi_{i}$ provides at least 4 prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above 7 with residue degree 1 each. Applying this for $i=1,2$, we conclude that there are at least 8 prime ideals of $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ lying above 7 with residue degree 1 each. As there are only 7 monic irreducible polynomial of degree 1 in $\mathbb{F}_{7}[x]$, by Lemma 4.7, 7 is a common index divisor of $K$, and so $K$ is not monogenic.

## Proof of Theorem 2.3.

As $\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, 2^{r} \times 7^{s}\right)=1$, let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be the unique solution of $u x-2^{r} \times 7^{s} y=1$ with $0 \leq y<u$. Let $\theta=\frac{\alpha^{x}}{a^{y}}$. Then $\theta^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}=\frac{\alpha^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s} x}}{a^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s} \cdot y}}=a^{u x-2^{r} \cdot 7^{s} y}=a$. Since $g(x)=x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-a \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is an Eisenstein polynomial, $g(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}$. As $\theta \in K$ and $[K: \mathbb{Q}]=\operatorname{deg}(g)$, we conclude that $K=\mathbb{Q}(\theta)$. Therefore, $K$ is generated by a root of the polynomial $x^{2^{r} \cdot 7^{s}}-a$ with $a \neq \pm 1$ a square free integer. The proof is therefore an application of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.
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