



Coefficient Inequalities for Classes of Univalent Functions Defined by q -Derivatives*

M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and N. E. Cho

ABSTRACT: Using the principal of subordination and the q -derivative, we obtain sharp bounds for some classes of univalent functions.

Key Words: Univalent functions, q -derivative, Subordination.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Main results	2

1. Introduction

Denote by \mathcal{A} the class of analytic functions:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (z \in \mathbb{U} = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}). \quad (1.1)$$

For $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative of $f \in \mathcal{A}$, is given by (see [4], [5])

$$\begin{aligned} D_q f(z) &= \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, z \neq 0 \\ &= 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where, $[n]_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}$, as $q \rightarrow 1^-$, $[n]_q \rightarrow n$, $D_q f(0) = f'(0)$ and $D_q(D_q f(z)) = D_q^2 f(z)$. If $\eta(z) = z^n$, then

$$D_q \eta(z) = D_q(z^n) = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1} z^{n-1} = [n]_q z^{n-1},$$

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} D_q \eta(z) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} [n]_q z^{n-1} = n z^{n-1} = \eta'(z).$$

Denote by \mathcal{P} the class of analytic functions ϕ of positive real part on \mathbb{U} with $\phi(0) = 1$, $\Re\{\phi(z)\} > 0$. Using the q -derivative $D_q f(z)$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varkappa \in P$, $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C}/\{0\}$, let

$$\mathcal{H}_{q,b}^\lambda(\varkappa) = \left\{ f : 1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1-\lambda) \left(\frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] \prec \varkappa(z) \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where \prec denotes the usual subordination (see [7], [3], [2]).

For different choices of q, b, λ , in (1.3), the class $\mathcal{H}_{q,b}^\lambda(\varkappa)$, generalizes many classes studied earlier, for example (see Seoudy and Aouf [10], [11], Ravichandran et al. [9], Ali et al. [1] with $p = 1$

* The third-named author was supported by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of the Republic of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (No. 2019R1I1A3A01050861).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30C45.

Submitted June 09, 2018. Published November 07, 2018

and Ramachandran et al. [8], with $\alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 1$. Also, we obtain the new class $\mathcal{H}_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,\alpha}(\kappa)$ for $b = e^{-i\theta}(1 - \alpha) \cos \theta, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$, where

$$\mathcal{H}_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,\alpha}(\kappa) = \left\{ f : \frac{e^{i\theta} \left[(1 - \lambda) \left(\frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} \right) + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} \right] - \alpha \cos \theta - i \sin \theta}{(1 - \alpha) \cos \theta} \prec \kappa(z) \right\}.$$

The following known lemma is needed to establish our results.

Lemma 1.1 [6]. *If $p(z) = 1 + r_1 z + r_2 z^2 + \dots \in \mathcal{P}$ and δ is a complex number, then*

$$|r_2 - \delta r_1^2| \leq 2 \max\{1; |2\delta - 1|\}. \quad (1.4)$$

The result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + z^2}{1 - z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + z}{1 - z}.$$

Also, we note that

$$|r_2 - \xi r_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\xi + 2 & \text{if } \xi \leq 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 0 \leq \xi \leq 1, \\ 4\xi - 2 & \text{if } \xi \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

when $\xi < 0$ or $\xi > 1$, the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $(1 + z)/(1 - z)$ or one of its rotations. If $0 < \xi < 1$, then the equality holds if and only if $p(z)$ is $(1 + z^2)/(1 - z^2)$ or one of its rotations. If $\xi = 0$, the equality holds if and only if

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1 + \gamma}{2} \right) \frac{1 + z}{1 - z} + \left(\frac{1 - \gamma}{2} \right) \frac{1 - z}{1 + z} \quad (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$$

or one of its rotations. If $\gamma = 1$, the equality holds if and only if p is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of $\xi = 0$.

Also the above upper bound is sharp, and it can be improved as follows when $0 < \xi < 1$:

$$|r_2 - \xi r_1^2| + \xi |r_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(0 \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

and

$$|r_2 - \xi r_1^2| + (1 - \xi) |r_1|^2 \leq 2 \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \xi \leq 1 \right).$$

2. Main results

We assume in the reminder of this paper that $f \in \mathcal{A}, \kappa \in \mathcal{P}, 0 < q < 1, 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

Theorem 2.1. *Let*

$$\kappa(z) = 1 + d_1 z + d_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

with $d_1 > 0$. If $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,b}^{\lambda}(\kappa)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |a_3 - \mu a_2^2| &\leq \frac{|b| |d_1|}{2([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \max \{1, \\ &\left| \frac{d_2}{d_1} + \frac{b d_1}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \left[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1) - \mu \frac{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}{([2]_q - 1)} \right] \right| \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: If $f \in \mathcal{H}_{q,b}^\lambda(\varkappa)$, then there is a function ω , analytic in \mathbb{U} with $\omega(0) = 0$ and $|\omega(z)| < 1$ such that

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa(\omega(z)). \quad (2.3)$$

Define the function $p(z)$ by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = 1 + r_1 z + r_2 z^2 + \dots \quad (2.4)$$

We see that $\Re \{p(z)\} > 0$ and $p(0) = 1$, since $\omega(z)$ is a Schwarz function.. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa(\omega(z)) &= \varkappa\left(\frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1}\right) \\ &= \varkappa\left(\frac{1}{2} \left[r_1 z + \left(r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2}\right) z^2 + \left(r_3 - r_1 r_2 + \frac{r_1^3}{4}\right) z^3 + \dots \right] \right) \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} d_1 r_1 z + \left[\frac{1}{2} d_1 \left(r_2 - \frac{r_1^2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{4} d_2 r_1^2 \right] z^2 + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Equating the coefficients of (2.5) and (2.3), we have

$$([2]_q - 1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)^2) a_2 = \frac{1}{2} b d_1 r_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} &([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)] a_3 - ([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)] a_2^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} d_1 r_2 - \frac{1}{4} d_1 r_1^2 + \frac{1}{4} d_2 r_1^2 \right) b, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{b d_1 r_1}{2([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]}, \\ a_3 &= \frac{b d_1}{2([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} \left\{ d_2 - \frac{d_1^2}{2} \left[1 - \frac{d_2}{d_1} - \frac{[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)] b d_1}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \frac{b d_1}{2([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} (d_2 - \delta d_1^2), \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{d_2}{d_1} - \frac{b d_1}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \left[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1) - \mu \frac{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}{([2]_q - 1)} \right] \right\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Our result now follows by an application of (1.4). The result is sharp for the functions

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa(z^2),$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q f(z)}{f(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q f(z))}{D_q f(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa(z).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 is completed. \square

Remark 2.1. (i) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Seoudy and Aouf [10, Theorem 1];

(ii) Putting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 1, we obtain the result of Seoudy and Aouf [10, Theorem 2];

(iii) Theorem 1 for $b = 1$, corrects the result of Ramachandram et al. [8, Theorem 2, $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$].

Theorem 2.2. Let $\varkappa(z)$ in the form (2.1), with $d_1 > 0$ and $d_2 \geq 0$. Let

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{(d_2 - d_1)([2]_q - 1)^2 [1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2 + ([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)]bd_1^2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]bd_1^2}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{(d_2 + d_1)([2]_q - 1)^2 [1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2 + ([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)]bd_1^2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]bd_1^2}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{d_2([2]_q - 1)^2 [1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2 + ([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)]bd_1^2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]bd_1^2}. \quad (2.10)$$

If $f(z) \in \mathcal{H}_{q,b}^\lambda(\varkappa)$ with $b > 0$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} + \frac{bd_2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} + \frac{b^2 d_1^2}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \left(\frac{[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)]}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} - \mu \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)} \right), & \mu \leq \alpha_1, \\ \frac{bd_1}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}, & \alpha_1 \leq \mu \leq \alpha_2, \\ - \frac{bd_2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} - \frac{b^2 d_1^2}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \left(\frac{[1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)]}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]} - \mu \frac{1}{([2]_q - 1)} \right), & \mu \geq \alpha_2. \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

Further, if $\alpha_1 \leq \mu \leq \alpha_3$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{([2]_q - 1)^2 [1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]d_1^2 b} \left[d_1 - d_2 - \frac{bd_1^2}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \left([1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)] - \mu \frac{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}{([2]_q - 1)} \right) \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bd_1}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}, \quad (2.12)$$

and if $\alpha_3 \leq \mu \leq \alpha_2$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| + \frac{([2]_q - 1)^2 [1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]d_1^2 b} \left[d_1 + d_2 + \frac{bd_1^2}{([2]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([2]_q - 1)]^2} \right. \\ \left. \times \left([1 + \lambda([2]_q^2 - 1)] - \mu \frac{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}{([2]_q - 1)} \right) \right] |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{bd_1}{([3]_q - 1)[1 + \lambda([3]_q - 1)]}. \quad (2.13)$$

The result is sharp.

Proof: The proof follows by applying (1.5) to (2.6) and (2.7). To show that the bounds are sharp, we define the functions $\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}$ ($k = 2, 3, 4, \dots$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q \mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(z)}{\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q \mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(z))}{D_q \mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa(z^{n-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(0) = 0 = \mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}(0) - 1$$

and the functions \mathcal{F}_τ and \mathcal{G}_τ ($0 \leq \tau \leq 1$) by

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q \mathcal{F}_\tau(z)}{\mathcal{F}_\tau(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q \mathcal{F}_\tau(z))}{D_q \mathcal{F}_\tau(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa \left(\frac{z(z + \tau)}{1 + \tau z} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau(0) = 0 = \mathcal{F}_\tau(0) - 1$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{b} \left[(1 - \lambda) \frac{z D_q \mathcal{G}_\tau(z)}{\mathcal{G}_\tau(z)} + \lambda \frac{D_q(z D_q \mathcal{G}_\tau(z))}{D_q \mathcal{G}_\tau(z)} - 1 \right] = \varkappa \left(\frac{1 + \tau z}{z(z + \tau)} \right),$$

$$\mathcal{G}_\tau(0) = 0 = \mathcal{G}_\tau'(0) - 1.$$

The functions $\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa k}$, \mathcal{F}_λ and $\mathcal{G}_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}_{q,b}^\lambda(\varkappa)$. If $\mu < \alpha_1$ or $\mu > \alpha_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is $\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa 2}$, or one of its rotations. When $\alpha_1 < \mu < \alpha_2$, the equality holds if and only if f is $\mathcal{K}_{\varkappa 3}$, or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \alpha_1$, then the equality holds if and only if f is \mathcal{F}_τ , or one of its rotations. If $\mu = \alpha_2$, then the equality holds if and only if f is \mathcal{G}_τ , or one of its rotations. \square

Remark 2.2 (i) Taking $q \rightarrow 1^-$ and $\lambda = \alpha$, in the above results, we obtain the results of [12, with $\lambda = 0$];
(ii) Theorem 2 for $b = 1$, corrects the result of Ramachandram et al. [8, Theorem 1, $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$];
(iii) Putting $\lambda = 0$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result of Seoudy and Aouf [10, Theorem 3];
(iv) Putting $\lambda = 1$ in Theorem 2, we obtain the result of Seoudy and Aouf [10, Theorem 3];
(v) Taking $b = e^{-i\theta}(1 - \alpha) \cos \theta$ in the above results, we obtain results for the class $\mathcal{H}_{q,\theta}^{\lambda,\alpha}(\kappa)$.

References

1. R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, *Coefficient bounds for p -valent functions*, Appl. Math. Comput. 187, 35-46, (2007).
2. M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa, and T. M. Seoudy, *Subordination and Superordination Results for Analytic Functions Involving Certain Operators*, Lambert Acad. Publishing, 2014.
3. T. Bulboacă, *Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results*, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
4. F. H. Jackson, *On q -definite integrals*, Q. J. Pure Appl. Math. 41, 193-203, (1910).
5. F. H. Jackson, *On q -functions and a certain difference operator*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 46, 253-281, (1908).
6. W. Ma, and D. Minda, *A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions*, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Z. Li, F. Ren, L. Yang, and S. Zhang (Eds.), Int. Press, 157-169, (1994).
7. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Differential Subordination: Theory and Applications*, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, 2000.
8. C. Ramachandran, T. Soupramanien and B. Frasin, *New subclasses of analytic functions associated with q -difference operator*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. 10, no.2, 348-362, (2017).
9. V. Ravichandran, Y., Polatoglu, M. Bolcal, and A. Sen, *Certain subclasses of starlike and convex functions of complex order*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 34, 9-15, (2005).
10. T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, *Coefficient estimates of new classes of q -starlike and q -convex functions of complex order*, J. Math. Inequal. 10, no. 1, 135-145, (2016).
11. T. M. Seoudy and M. K. Aouf, *On an unified class of functions of complex order*, Theory Appl. Math. Comput. Sci. 3, no. 1, 32-37, (2013).
12. T. N. Shanmugam, S. Owa, C. Ramachandran, S. Sivasubramanian and Y. Nakamura, *On certain coefficient inequalities for multivalent functions*, J. Math. Inequal. 3, no. 1, 31-41, (2009).

M. K. Aouf,
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Mansoura University, Mansoura, 35516, EGYPT.
E-mail address: mkaouf127@yahoo.com

and

A. O. Mostafa,
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Mansoura University, Mansoura, 35516, EGYPT.
E-mail address: adelaeg254@yahoo.com

and

N. E. Cho (Corresponding Author),
Department of Applied Mathematics
Pukyong National University
Busan 608-737, South Korea.
E-mail address: necho@pknu.ac.kr