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## Existence and Multiplicity of Solutions for Anisotropic Elliptic Equations

## Abdelrachid El Amrouss and Ali El Mahraoui

ABSTRACT: In this article we study the nonlinear problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+b(x)|u|^{P_{+}^{+}-2} u=\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using the variational method, under appropriate assumptions on $f$, we obtain a result on existence and multiplicity of solutions.
Key Words: $\vec{p}($.$) -Laplace type operator, variable exponent Lebesgue space, anisotropic space, Ric-$ ceri's variational principle.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 3)$ be a bounded domain with smooth boundary. In this paper we will study the existence and the multiplicity of weak solutions of the anisotropic problem :

$$
(P)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+b(x)|u|^{P_{+}^{+}-2} u=\lambda f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega), f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad a_{i}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are Carathéodory functions fulfilling some natural hypotheses, and $0<\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The anisotropic differential operator $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)$ is a $\vec{p}($.$) -Laplace$ type operator, where $\vec{p}(x)=\left(p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), \ldots, p_{N}(x)\right)$ and $P_{+}^{+}=\max _{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}} \sup _{\Omega} p_{i}(x)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$, we assume that $p_{i}$ is a continuous function on $\bar{\Omega}$. We denote by $a_{i}(x, \eta)$ the continuous derivative with respect to $\eta$ of the mapping $A_{i}: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, A_{i}=A_{i}(x, \eta)$, that means $a_{i}(x, \eta)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} A_{i}(x, \eta)$. We make the following assumptions on the mapping $A_{i}$ :
$\left(A_{0}\right) A_{i}(x, 0)=0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$.
$\left(A_{1}\right)$ There exists a positive constant $\bar{c}_{i}$ such that $a_{i}$ satisfies the growth condition

$$
\left|a_{i}(x, \eta)\right| \leq \bar{c}_{i}\left(1+|\eta|^{p_{i}(x)-1}\right)
$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(A_{2}\right)$ The inequalities

$$
|\eta|^{p_{i}(x)} \leq a_{i}(x, \eta) \eta \leq p_{i}(x) A_{i}(x, \eta)
$$

are verified for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\left(A_{3}\right)$ Assume that $p_{i}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow[2, \infty)$, and there exists $k_{i}>0$ such that

$$
A_{i}\left(x, \frac{\eta+\xi}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2} A_{i}(x, \eta)+\frac{1}{2} A_{i}(x, \xi)-k_{i}|\eta-\xi|^{p_{i}(x)},
$$

[^0]for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\eta, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$, with equality if and only if $\eta=\xi$.

## Examples

1) If we take $a_{i}(x, \eta)=|\eta|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \eta$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have $A_{i}(x, \eta)=\frac{1}{p_{i}(x)}|\eta|^{p_{i}(x)}$ for all $i \in$ $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Obviously, $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ are verified, and we obtain the $\vec{p}(x)$-Laplace operator

$$
\triangle_{\vec{p}(x)}(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)-2} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) .
$$

2) If we take $a_{i}(x, \eta)=\left(1+\eta^{2}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(x)-2}{2}} \eta$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, we have $A_{i}(x, \eta)=\frac{1}{p_{i}(x)}\left[\left(1+|\eta|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(x)}{2}}-1\right]$ for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, N\}$, then $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ are verified, and we find the anisotropic variable mean curvature operator

$$
\left.\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}}\left(1+\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{p_{i}(x)-2}{2}} \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) .
$$

We use in our work the Ricceri's theorem which is the main tool to study the boundary problems. We infer to some references ([ 16],[ 13],[ 21]), for example, in [21] the authors studied the operator $p(x)$-Laplace, then they showed the existence of at least three solutions under appropriate conditions. In our case, we use the more general operator which called $\vec{p}(x)$-Laplace type operator with Dirichlet boundary condition on a bounded domain under conditions more weak and obtain three solutions. The problems related to the $\vec{p}(x)$-Laplace type operator are called anisotropic problems. Let us recall some articles wherein the authors studied this kind of problems :

In [1], the authors considered problem $(P)$. First, they consider the case when $f(x, u)=\lambda\left(|u|^{q(x)-2} u+\right.$ $\left.|u|^{\gamma(x)-2} u\right)$ in which the parameter $\lambda$ is positive and $q(x), \gamma(x)$ are continuous functions on $\bar{\Omega}$, , and they obtained the existence of two nontrivial weak solutions. Their arguments are based on the mountain pass theorem and Ekeland's variational principle [8]. Next, they considered $f(x, u)=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u+\mu|u|^{\gamma(x)-2} u$ and they established the existence of two unbounded sequence of weak solutions, their proof is based on fountain theorem [22].
In [15], the authors established the existence and uniqueness of a weak energy solution to the following boundary value problem :

$$
(S)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_{i}} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)=f(x, u) \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega \\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

In [18], the authors considered ( $S$ ) where $f=\lambda|u|^{q(x)-2} u$, and established the existence of a continuous spectrum in several distinct situations. But in [17], the authors took the same problem with $\lambda$ depends on the variable $x$, using the mountain-pass theorem of Ambrosetti and Rabinowitz [2] and the Ekeland's variational principle, they proved that under suitable conditions, problem $(S)$ has two nontrivial weak solutions. In [5], Boureanu proved that problem $(S)$ has a sequence of weak solutions by means of the symmetric mountain-pass theorem.

Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, we set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\left\{h \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \mid \min _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x)>1\right\} .
$$

For any $h \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we define

$$
h^{+}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) \quad \text { and } \quad h^{-}=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} h(x) .
$$

Let $p \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, then $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is called variable exponent Lebesgue space which is defined as follow

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u: \begin{array}{c}
u \text { is a measurable real-valued function such that } \\
\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty
\end{array}\right\},
$$

endowed with the Luxemburg norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\mu>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\mu}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

is a separable and reflexive Banach space (see [12]).
We say that $p$ is logarithmic Hölder continuous if

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p(x)-p(y)| \leq-\frac{M}{\log (|x-y|)} \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega \text { such that }|x-y| \leq 1 / 2 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega): \nabla u \in\left[L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right]^{N}\right\}
$$

For all $u \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we have $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$. If $p$ satisfies (1.1), the space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ under the norm $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}$. For $u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, we can define an equivalent norm $\|u\|_{p(x)}=|\nabla u|_{p(x)}$.
Now, we introduce a natural generalization of the function space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, which will allow us to study the problem $(P)$, which is called anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$. If $\vec{p}: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$; $\vec{p}(x)=\left(p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x), \ldots, p_{N}(x)\right)$, and for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, we have $p_{i} \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, and satisfy (1.1), the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$
\|u\|=\|u\|_{\vec{p}(.)}=\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(.)}
$$

and it's a reflexive Banach space (see[9, 18]). From now on, we put $X=W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega)$.
In order to study the problem $(P)$ we have to introduce the vectors $\vec{P}_{+}, \vec{P}_{-} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ which are defined in the following way

$$
\vec{P}_{+}=\left(p_{1}^{+}, p_{2}^{+}, \ldots, p_{N}^{+}\right), \vec{P}_{-}=\left(p_{1}^{-}, p_{2}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right)
$$

and the positive real numbers $P_{+}^{+}, P_{-}^{+}, P_{-}^{-}$as the following

$$
P_{+}^{+}=\max \left\{p_{1}^{+}, \ldots, p_{N}^{+}\right\}, P_{-}^{+}=\max \left\{p_{1}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right\}, P_{-}^{-}=\min \left\{p_{1}^{-}, \ldots, p_{N}^{-}\right\}
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{-}}>1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $P_{-}^{*}, P_{-, \infty} \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$by

$$
P_{-}^{*}=\frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_{i}^{-}}-1}, P_{-, \infty}=\max \left\{P_{-}^{+}, P_{-}^{*}\right\}
$$

Suppose that the Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the conditions:
$\left(F_{1}\right)|f(x, t)| \leq c(x)+d|t|^{\alpha(x)-1}$, for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$ where $c$ is in $L^{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ with $\frac{1}{\alpha(x)}+\frac{1}{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}=1$, $d \geq 0$ is a constant, $\alpha(x) \in C_{+}(\Omega)$ such that $\alpha^{+}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} \alpha(x)<P_{-}^{-}<P_{-, \infty}$, and $P_{-}^{-}>N$.
$\left(F_{2}\right)$ there exists a constant $0<\theta<1$, for $0<t<1$, we have $F(x, t u)>t^{\theta}|u|^{\theta}$.
$\left(F_{3}\right) f(x, t)<0$, when $|t| \in(0,1), f(x, t) \geq m>0$, when $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right), t_{0}>1$.

And assume that
(B) $b \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and there exist $b_{0}>0$ such that $b(x) \geq b_{0}$ for all $x \in \Omega$.

We give now the main results of this paper .
Theorem 1.1. Under the assumptions $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right),(B),\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{2}\right)$, the problem $(P)$ has at least one nontrivial weak solution in $X$.

Theorem 1.2. If $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right),(B),\left(F_{1}\right)$ and $\left(F_{3}\right)$ hold, then there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a positive real number $\rho>0$ such that each $\lambda \in \Lambda,(P)$ has at least three solutions whose norms are less than $\rho>0$.

This paper is divided into two sections. In the first section we will give some known results, in the second we will give the proof of our main results.

## 2. Preliminaries

First, we recall some important definitions and proprieties of the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.

Proposition 2.1. (see [6, 12, 11])

1. The space $\left(L^{p(x)}(\Omega),|u|_{p(x)}\right)$ is a separable, uniformly convex Banach space and its dual space is $L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)}+\frac{1}{q(x)}=1$. For any $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{q^{-}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)} \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{q(x)}
$$

2. If $p_{1}(x), p_{2}(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega}), p_{1}(x) \leq p_{2}(x), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $L^{p_{2}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{1}(x)}(\Omega)$ and the embedding is continuous.

Proposition 2.2. (see[10]) Denote $\rho_{p(x)}(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x$. Then for $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega),\left(u_{n}\right) \subset L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ we have

1. $|u|_{p(x)}<1(=1 ;>1) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u)<1(=1 ;>1)$,
2. $|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$,
3. $|u|_{p(x)}<1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \rho_{p(x)}(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$,
4. $|u|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty) \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(x)}(u) \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty)$,
5. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)}=0 \Leftrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{p(x)}\left(u_{n}-u\right)=0$.

We recall now some results which concerning the embedding theorem.
Proposition 2.3. (see[18]) Suppose that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N>3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and relation (1.2) is fulfilled.

1. For any $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ verifying

$$
1<q(x)<P_{-, \infty} \forall x \in \bar{\Omega},
$$

the embedding

$$
W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)
$$

is continuous and compact.
2. Assume that $P_{-}^{-}>N$, then the embedding

$$
W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C(\bar{\Omega})
$$

is continuous and compact.
If $A_{i}$ satisfies the conditions $\left(A_{0}\right),\left(A_{1}\right),\left(A_{2}\right)$, and $\left(A_{3}\right)$ we have the proposition below .
Proposition 2.4. ( $c f .[15,17,5])$ Let

$$
\mathcal{A}_{i}(u)=\int_{\Omega} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) d x
$$

For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, we have :

- $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is well defined on $X$,
- the functional $\mathcal{A}_{i} \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\left\langle\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi d x
$$

for all $u, \varphi \in X:$ In addition $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}$ is continuous, bounded and strictly monotone.

- $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous.
- Let

$$
\mathcal{A}(u)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) d x
$$

then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is an operator of type $\left(S_{+}\right)$.
The main theorem that we use here is the one which proved by Ricceri in [19, 20, 14, 4]. Based on [3], it can be equivalently stated as follows
Lemma 2.5. Let $X$ be a reflexive real Banach space, $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*} ; \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact, assume that :

1. $\lim _{\|u\|_{X} \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=\infty \forall \lambda>0$,
2. there exist $r$ and $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)<r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$,
3. $\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r]} \Psi(u)>\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)}$,
then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a positive constant $\rho>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0$ has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

And we have also the known following result.
Lemma 2.6. (see[7]) Let $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function with primitive $F(x, u)=$ $\int_{0}^{u} f(x, t) d t$. If $f$ satisfies $\left(F_{1}\right)$ : then,

$$
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})
$$

and

$$
\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi d x
$$

furthermore the operator $\Psi^{\prime}: X \longrightarrow X^{*}$ is compact.

## 3. Proof of main results

We are interested to prove the existence of weak solutions. Let us define the functional $I$ associated with the problem $(P)$ then $I: X \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
I(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+\frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}-\lambda F(x, u)\right] d x
$$

where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$. Using the notations of the Lemma (2.5), $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are defined as following :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+\frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}\right] d x \\
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
I(u)=\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u)
$$

It should be noticed that, in this present paper, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{-, \infty}=\max \left\{P_{-}^{+}, P_{-}^{*}\right\}=P_{-}^{*} \text { and } P_{+}^{+}<P_{-}^{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the compact embedding $W_{0}^{1, \vec{p}(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_{+}^{+}}(\Omega)$ holds. Under the conditions $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right), \Phi \in$ $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi+b(x)|u|^{P_{+}^{+}-2} u \varphi\right] d x
$$

and we have already

$$
\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), \varphi\right\rangle=-\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) \varphi d x
$$

Then, $I$ is well defined and $I \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, so let us now give the definition of a weak solution.
Definition 3.1. A function $u$ is a weak solution of the problem $(P)$ if and only if

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) \partial_{x_{i}} \varphi+b(x)|u|^{P_{+}^{+}-2} u \varphi-\lambda f(x, u) \varphi\right] d x=0
$$

for all $\varphi \in X$.
Obviously the weak solutions of $(P)$ are the critical points of $I$.

### 3.1. Existence of a nontrivial weak solution

In this section, we prove our result Theorem1.1.
Lemma 3.2. Under the conditions $\left(A_{i}\right), i=0,1,2,3$ and $\left(F_{1}\right)$ the functional $I$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, and coercive.

Proof. The functional $I$ is obviously weakly lower semi-continuous. Let us prove that $I$ is coercive. For $u \in X$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\Phi(u)=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+b(x) \frac{|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}}{P_{+}^{+}}\right] d x
$$

From $\left(A_{2}\right)$ we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(u) & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)} d x+\frac{b_{0}}{P_{+}^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{P_{+}^{+}} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Let for $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$

$$
r_{i}= \begin{cases}P_{+}^{+} & \text {if }\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)} \leq 1 \\ P_{-}^{-} & \text {if }\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}>1\end{cases}
$$

Using the Proposition (2.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{r_{i}} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{p_{-}^{-}}-\sum_{i: r_{i}=p_{+}^{+}}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{p_{-}^{-}}-\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{p_{+}^{+}}\right) \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{p_{-}^{-}}-N .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying the Jensen inequality to the convex function $g: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$which is defined as following $g(t)=t^{P_{-}^{-}}, P_{-}^{-} \geq 2$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x \geq \frac{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-N \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

so,

$$
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-N\right)
$$

On the other hand we have for $u \in X$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$, by the Hölder inequality and the embedding theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left[c(x)|u(x)|+\frac{d}{\alpha(x)}|u|^{\alpha(x)}\right] d x \\
& \leq 2|c|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}|u|_{\alpha(x)}+\frac{d}{\alpha^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha(x)} d x \\
& \leq 2 M|c|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}\|u\|+\frac{d}{\alpha^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

By the embedding theorem, we have $u \in L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$; therefore,

$$
\int_{\Omega}|u|^{\alpha(x)} \leq \max \left\{|u|_{\alpha(x)}^{\alpha^{+}},|u|_{\alpha(x)}^{\alpha^{-}}\right\} \leq M^{\prime}\|u\|^{\alpha+}
$$

Then

$$
|\Psi(u)| \leq 2 M|c|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}\|u\|+\frac{d}{\alpha^{-}} M^{\prime}\|u\|^{\alpha+} .
$$

From relation (3.2) above, we have

$$
\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-N\right)
$$

this implies that for any $\lambda>0$ that

$$
\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u) \geq \frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}\left(\frac{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-N\right)-2 \lambda M|c|_{\alpha^{\prime}(x)}\|u\|-\frac{\lambda d M^{\prime}}{\alpha^{-}}\|u\|^{\alpha+}
$$

Under the condition $1<\alpha^{+}<P_{-}^{-}$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=\infty
$$

finally the functional $I$ is coercive.

In order to demonstrate Theorem 1.1, it remains to verify that the solution is not trivial, because we have already proved that $I$ is weakly lower semi-continuous, and coercive. Since $I$ is weakly lower semi-continuous functional and coercive in $X$ which is a reflexive Banach space, then $I$ admits a global minimum. As it's differentiable, this minimum is a critical point, then a weak solution of $(P)$. Let's prove that this solution is nontrivial. In the fact, it's sufficient to prove that there exists a function $u_{1}$ such that $I\left(u_{1}\right)<0$ because $I(0)=0$. To get this result, we use the $\operatorname{assumption}\left(F_{1}\right)$. By $\left(A_{0}\right)$ and $\left(A_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
A_{i}(x, \eta)=\int_{0}^{1} a_{i}(x, t \eta) d t \leq C\left(|\eta|+\frac{|\eta|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)}\right), \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, x \in \mathbb{R}, C=\max _{i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}} \bar{c}_{i}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) d x \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|+\frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)}\right) d x
$$

Let $0 \neq \varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and $0<\theta<1$. For $t>0$ is small enough, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I(t \varphi)= & \int_{\Omega}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}}(t \varphi)\right)+\frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|t \varphi|^{P_{+}^{+}}-\lambda F(x, t \varphi)\right\} d x \\
\leq & C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(t \varphi)\right|+\frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(t \varphi)\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)}\right) d x+\frac{t^{P_{+}^{+}}}{P_{+}^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|\varphi|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, t \varphi) d x \\
\leq & C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left(t\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi\right|+\frac{t^{P_{-}^{-}}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)}\right) d x+\frac{t^{P_{+}^{+}}}{P_{+}^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|\varphi|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega} \lambda F(x, t \varphi) d x, \\
\leq & t\left\{C \sum_{i=1}^{N} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi\right|+\frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} \varphi\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{P_{-}^{-}}\right) d x+\frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}} \int_{\Omega} b(x)|\varphi|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x\right\} \\
& -\lambda t^{\theta}|\varphi|^{\theta},
\end{aligned}
$$

$<0$.

### 3.2. Existence of three solutions

In this section, we prove our result Theorem 1.2 by using Lemma 2.5. First we need to verify that the precondition of $\Phi$ in Lemma 2.5 are fulfilled.

Lemma 3.3. Under the conditions $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ and the assumption (3.1), $\Phi$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, moreover $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse.

Proof. Under the conditions $\left(A_{0}\right)-\left(A_{3}\right)$ and the assumption above (3.1), the functional $\Phi$ is well defined and it's of class $C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, moreover it's weakly lower semi-continuous. The condition $\left(A_{3}\right)$ means that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is uniformly monotone. Moreover $\Phi^{\prime}$ is coercive. Let's prove the coercivity of $\Phi^{\prime}$. For $u \in X$ such that $\|u\| \geq 1$, we have

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right) \partial_{x_{i}} u+b(x)|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}\right] d x
$$

by $\left(A_{2}\right)$ and (3.2), we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x+b_{0} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

so,

$$
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle \geq \frac{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-N
$$

thus,

$$
\frac{\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle}{\|u\|} \geq\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}-1}\left(\frac{1}{N^{P_{-}^{-}-1}}-\frac{N}{\|u\|^{P_{-}^{-}}}\right)
$$

and for $\|u\|$ big enough, we have $\Phi^{\prime}$ is coercive.
By a standard argument, we know that $\Phi^{\prime}$ is hemicontinuous, then $\Phi^{\prime}$ admits a continuous inverse.

In following we need to verify that the conditions 2. and 3. in Lemma 2.5 are fulfilled because the condition 1. of Lemma 2.5 is already verified above.

## verification of the assumptions 2. and 3. of Ricceri's theorem :

In order to prove the assumptions 2. and 3. of Ricceri's theorem which is the main tool in this paper, we use the condition $\left(F_{2}\right)$, which implies that $F(x, t)$ is increasing for $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and decreasing for $t \in(0,1)$ uniformly for $x \in \Omega$, and $F(x, 0)=0$ is obvious, $F(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$ because $F(x, t) \geq m t$ uniformly for $x$. Then, there exists a real number $\delta>t_{0}$ such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq 0=F(x, 0) \geq F(x, \tau) \forall x \in \Omega, \quad t>\delta, \tau \in(0,1)
$$

The compact embedding from $X$ to $C(\bar{\Omega})$ means that there exists a constant $m_{1}$ which satisfies

$$
\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq m_{1}\|u\|
$$

where $\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x)|$. Let $a, e$ be two real numbers such that $0<a<\min \left\{1, m_{1}\right\}$, we choose $e>\delta$ satisfying $e^{P_{-}^{-}} b_{0}|\Omega|>1$. When $t \in[0, a]$ we have

$$
F(x, t) \leq F(x, 0)=0
$$

then

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sup _{0<t<a} F(x, t) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} F(x, 0) d x=0 .
$$

As $e>\delta$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} F(x, e) d x>0
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{m_{1}^{P_{+}^{+}}} \frac{a^{P_{+}^{+}}}{e^{P_{-}^{-}}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, e) d x>0 .
$$

Which implies

$$
\int_{\Omega} \sup _{0<t<a} F(x, t) d x \leq 0<\frac{1}{m_{1}^{P_{+}^{+}}} \frac{a^{P_{+}^{+}}}{e^{P_{-}^{-}}} \int_{\Omega} F(x, e) d x .
$$

Let $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X, u_{0}(x)=0$ and $u_{1}(x)=e$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. We define $r=\frac{1}{N^{P_{+}^{+-1} P_{+}^{+}}}\left(\frac{a}{m_{1}}\right)^{P_{+}^{+}}$. Obviously $r \in(0,1), \Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\Psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0$,

$$
\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|e|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x \geq \frac{b_{0}}{P_{+}^{+}} e^{P_{-}^{-}}|\Omega|>\frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}>\frac{1}{N^{P_{+}^{+}-1} P_{+}^{+}}\left(\frac{a}{m_{1}}\right)^{P_{+}^{+}}=r,
$$

and

$$
\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)=-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, u_{1}\right) d x=-\int_{\Omega} F(x, e) d x<0 .
$$

So we have $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)<r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$. Then 2. of Ricceri's theorem is fulfilled.
On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)} & =-r \frac{\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)} \\
& =r \frac{\int_{\Omega} F(x, e) d x}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|e|^{P_{+}^{+}} d x}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u \in X$ be such that $\Phi(u) \leq r<1$. Set

$$
J(u)=\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x
$$

then

$$
\frac{J(u)}{P_{+}^{+}} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)}}{p_{i}(x)}+\frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}\right\} d x
$$

by $\left(A_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\frac{J(u)}{P_{+}^{+}} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N} A_{i}\left(x, \partial_{x_{i}} u\right)+\frac{b(x)}{P_{+}^{+}}|u|^{P_{+}^{+}}\right\} d x=\Phi(u) \leq r,
$$

which means that

$$
J(u) \leq P_{+}^{+} r=\frac{1}{N^{P_{+}^{+}-1}}\left(\frac{a}{m_{1}}\right)^{P_{+}^{+}}<1,
$$

it follows that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i} u} u\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x<1 .
$$

By Proposition 2.2, we have

$$
\left|\partial_{x_{i}} u\right|_{p_{i}(x)}<1,
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(u) & =\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(u)\right|^{p_{i}(x)} d x \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(u)\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{P_{i}^{+}} \\
& \geq \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(u)\right|_{p_{i}(x)}^{P_{+}^{+}} \\
& \geq N\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}\left|\partial_{x_{i}}(u)\right|_{p_{i}(x)}}{N}\right)^{P_{+}^{+}} \\
& =\frac{\|u\|^{P_{+}^{+}}}{N^{P_{+}^{+}-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\frac{\|u\|^{P_{+}^{+}}}{N^{P_{+}^{+}-1}} \leq J(u) \leq P_{+}^{+} r,
$$

it follows that

$$
\frac{\|u\|^{P_{+}^{+}}}{N^{P_{+}^{+}-1} P_{+}^{+}} \leq \frac{J(u)}{P_{+}^{+}} \leq \Phi(u) \leq r
$$

then

$$
|u(x)| \leq m_{1}\|u\| \leq m_{1}\left(N^{P_{+}^{+}-1} P_{+}^{+} r\right)^{\frac{1}{P_{+}^{+}}}=a \quad \forall u \in X, x \in \bar{\Omega}, \Phi(u) \leq r
$$

This inequality shows that

$$
-\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r]} \Psi(u)=\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r]}-\Psi(u) \leq \int_{\Omega} \sup _{0<u<a} F(x, u) d x \leq 0
$$

Then

$$
\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}(-\infty, r]} \Psi(u)>\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)}
$$

which means that condition 3. is obtained. Since the assumptions of lemma 2.5 are fulfilled, there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a positive constant $\rho>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the equation $\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0$ has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.
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