



n -absorbing and Strongly n -absorbing Second Submodules

H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. Maleki-Roudposhti

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we introduce the concepts of n -absorbing and strongly n -absorbing second submodules as a dual notion of n -absorbing submodules of modules over a commutative ring and obtain some related results. In particular, we investigate some results concerning strongly 2-absorbing second submodules.

Key Words: Strongly n -absorbing second submodule, n -absorbing second submodule, Weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.

Contents

1 Introduction	9
2 n-absorbing and strongly n-absorbing second submodules	10
3 Strongly and weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules	16

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity and \mathbb{Z} will denote the ring of integers. Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . For $r \in R$, $(N :_M r)$ will denote $(N :_M r) = \{m \in M : rm \in N\}$. Clearly, $(N :_M r)$ is a submodule of M containing N .

Let M be an R -module. A proper submodule P of M is said to be *prime* if for any $r \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $rm \in P$, we have $m \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ [17]. A non-zero submodule S of M is said to be *second* if for each $a \in R$, the homomorphism $S \xrightarrow{a} S$ is either surjective or zero [26]. In this case $\text{Ann}_R(S)$ is a prime ideal of R . A proper submodule N of M is said to be *completely irreducible* if $N = \bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$, where $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a family of submodules of M , implies that $N = N_i$ for some $i \in I$. It is easy to see that every submodule of M is an intersection of completely irreducible submodules of M [19].

The concept of 2-absorbing ideals was introduced in [11] and then extended to n -absorbing ideals in [1]. A proper ideal I of R is a *2-absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $a, b, c \in R$ and $abc \in I$, then $ab \in I$ or $ac \in I$ or $bc \in I$. Let I be a proper ideal of R and n a positive integer. I is called an *n -absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $x_1 \cdots x_{n+1} \in I$ for $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in R$, then there are n of the x_i 's whose their product is in I .

The authors in [15] and [24], extended 2-absorbing ideals to 2-absorbing submodules. A proper submodule N of M is called a *2-absorbing submodule* of M if

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 13C13, 13C99.
 Submitted October 13, 2017. Published February 13, 2018

whenever $abm \in N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$, then $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ or $ab \in (N :_R M)$. A proper submodule N of M is said to be a *weakly 2-absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$ and $m \in M$ with $0 \neq abm \in N$, then $ab \in (N :_R M)$ or $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ [15]. A proper submodule N of M is called *n -absorbing submodule* of M if whenever $a_1 \dots a_n m \in N$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and $m \in M$, then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in (N :_R M)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with m is in N [16]. Several authors investigated properties of 2-absorbing, and some generalization of 2-absorbing submodules, for example [15, 16, 22, 23, 24, 25].

In [2], the authors introduced the dual notion of 2-absorbing submodules (that is, *2-absorbing (resp. strongly 2-absorbing) second submodules*) of M and investigated some properties of these classes of modules. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, L is a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $abN \subseteq L$, then $aN \subseteq L$ or $bN \subseteq L$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. A non-zero submodule N of M is said to be a *strongly 2-absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M , and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Also, in [3, 4], the authors introduced and investigated some generalization of 2-absorbing second and strongly 2-absorbing second submodules.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concepts of n -absorbing and strongly n -absorbing second submodules as dual notion of n -absorbing submodules of modules and provide some information concerning these new classes of modules. Furthermore, we study some properties of strongly 2-absorbing second submodules of an R -module M . Also, we introduce the concept of weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules of M as a dual notion of weakly 2-absorbing submodules and obtain some related results.

2. n -absorbing and strongly n -absorbing second submodules

Definition 2.1. Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M and n be a positive integer. We say that N is an *n -absorbing second submodule* of M if whenever $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and a completely irreducible submodule L of M , then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of L .

Remark 2.2. Let N and K be two submodules of an R -module M . To prove $N \subseteq K$, it is enough to show that if L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $K \subseteq L$, then $N \subseteq L$ [9, 2.1].

We recall that an R -module M is said to be a *cocyclic module* if $\text{Soc}_R(M)$ is a large and simple submodule of M [27]. (Here $\text{Soc}_R(M)$ denotes the sum of all minimal submodules of M .) A submodule L of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M if and only if M/L is a cocyclic R -module [19, 12.1.1].

Proposition 2.3. Let N be an n -absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following.

- (a) If L is a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $N \not\subseteq L$, then $(L :_R N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .

(b) If M is a cocyclic module, then $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .

(c) If $a \in R$, then $a^n N = a^{n+1} N$.

Proof. (a) Since $N \not\subseteq L$, we have $(L :_R N) \neq R$. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, a_{n+1} \in R$ and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1} \in (L :_R N)$. Then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq (L :_M a_{n+1})$. Thus there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of $(L :_M a_{n+1})$, where $1 \leq i \leq n$ or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N = 0$ because by [10, 2.1], $(L :_M a_{n+1})$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M . Therefore, there are n of a_i 's whose their product lies in $(L :_R N)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n + 1$ or $a_1 \dots a_n \in (L :_R N)$ as needed.

(b) Since M is cocyclic, the zero submodule of M is a completely irreducible submodule of M . Thus the result follows from part (a).

(c) It is clear that $a^{n+1} N \subseteq a^n N$. Let L be a completely irreducible submodule of M such that $a^{n+1} N \subseteq L$. Then $a^n N \subseteq (L :_M a)$. Since N is n -absorbing second submodule and $(L :_M a)$ is a completely irreducible submodule of M by [10, 2.1], $a^{n-1} N \subseteq (L :_M a)$ or $a^n N = 0$. Therefore, $a^n N \subseteq L$. This implies that $a^n N \subseteq a^{n+1} N$ by Remark 2.2. \square

Definition 2.4. Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M and n be a positive integer. We say that N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and a submodule K of M , then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of K .

Clearly every strongly n -absorbing second submodule is an n -absorbing second submodule. It is natural to ask the following question:

Question 2.5. Let M be an R -module. Is every n -absorbing second submodule of M a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M ?

Note 1. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$. We denote by \hat{a}_i the element $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n$. In this case, the definition of an n -absorbing (resp. a strongly n -absorbing) second submodule can be reformulated as: a non-zero submodule N of an R -module M is called n -absorbing (resp. strongly n -absorbing) second if whenever $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and L is a completely irreducible submodule (resp. K is a submodule) of M with $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq L$ (resp. $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$), then either $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ or $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq L$ (resp. $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$) for some $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Proposition 2.6. Let M be an R -module and let $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a chain of n -absorbing second submodules of M . Then $\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda$ is an n -absorbing second submodule of M .

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, L be a completely irreducible submodule of M , and $a_1 \dots a_n (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) \subseteq L$. Assume that $\hat{a}_i (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) \not\subseteq L$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is $\beta_i \in \Lambda$, where $\hat{a}_i K_{\beta_i} \not\subseteq L$. Hence, for every $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_{\alpha_i}$ we have $\hat{a}_i K_{\alpha_i} \not\subseteq L$. Therefore, for each submodule K_α such that $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_\alpha$ (for each $1 \leq i \leq n$), we have $\hat{a}_i K_\alpha \not\subseteq L$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $a_1 \dots a_n K_\alpha = 0$ as K_α is an n -absorbing

second submodules of M . Let K_α be a submodule of M such that $K_{\beta_i} \subseteq K_\alpha$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $\{K_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a chain, we have

$$\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda = (\cup_{K_\lambda \subseteq K_\alpha} K_\lambda) \cup (\cup_{K_\alpha \subset K_\lambda} K_\lambda) = K_\alpha \cup (\cup_{K_\alpha \subset K_\lambda} K_\lambda).$$

Therefore $a_1 \dots a_n (\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} K_\lambda) = 0$, as needed. \square

Definition 2.7. We say that an n -absorbing second submodule N of an R -module M is a maximal n -absorbing second submodule of a submodule K of M , if $N \subseteq K$ and there does not exist an n -absorbing second submodule H of M such that $N \subset H \subset K$.

Lemma 2.8. Let M be an R -module. Then every n -absorbing second submodule of M is contained in a maximal n -absorbing second submodule of M .

Proof. This is proved easily by using Zorn's Lemma and Proposition 2.6. \square

Theorem 2.9. Every Artinian R -module M has only a finite number of maximal n -absorbing second submodules.

Proof. Suppose that the result is false. Let Σ denote the collection of non-zero submodules N of M such that N has an infinite number of maximal n -absorbing second submodules. The collection Σ is non-empty because $M \in \Sigma$ and hence has a minimal member, S say. Then S is not n -absorbing second submodule. Thus there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$, and a completely irreducible submodule L of M such that $a_1 \dots a_n S \subseteq L$ but $\hat{a}_i S \not\subseteq L$ (for each $1 \leq i \leq n$) and $a_1 \dots a_n S \neq 0$. Let V be a maximal n -absorbing second submodule of M contained in S . Then $\hat{a}_i V \subseteq L$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ or $a_1 \dots a_n V = 0$. Thus $V \subseteq (L :_M \hat{a}_i)$ or $V \subseteq (0 :_M a_1 \dots a_n)$. Therefore, $V \subseteq (L :_S \hat{a}_i)$ or $V \subseteq (0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$. Hence every maximal n -absorbing second submodule of S is a maximal n -absorbing second submodule of $(L :_S \hat{a}_i)$ or $(0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$. By the choice of S , the modules $(L :_S \hat{a}_i)$ and $(0 :_S a_1 \dots a_n)$ have only finitely many maximal n -absorbing second submodules. Therefore, there is only a finite number of possibilities for the module S which is a contradiction. \square

Definition 2.10. We say that a strongly n -absorbing second submodule N of an R -module M is a maximal strongly n -absorbing second submodule of a submodule K of M , if $N \subseteq K$ and there does not exist a strongly n -absorbing second submodule H of M such that $N \subset H \subset K$.

Remark 2.11. One can check that, by using the same technique, that the results in Proposition 2.6, Lemma 2.8, and Theorem 2.9 about n -absorbing second submodules is also true for strongly n -absorbing second submodules.

An R -module M is said to be a *comultiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = (0 :_M I)$, equivalently, for each submodule N of M , we have $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ [5].

A proper ideal I is a *strongly n -absorbing ideal* of R if whenever $I_1 \dots I_{n+1} \subseteq I$ for ideals I_1, \dots, I_{n+1} of R then there are n of the I_i 's whose their product is in I [1]. Clearly a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R is also an n -absorbing ideal of R . Anderson and Badawi conjectured that these two concepts are equivalent, e.g., they proved that an ideal I of a Prüfer domain R is strongly n -absorbing if and only if I is an n -absorbing ideal of R [1, Corollary 6.9].

Theorem 2.12. *Let N be a submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M , then $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is an n -absorbing ideal of R .*
- (b) *If M is a comultiplication R -module and $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R , then N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Let N be a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_{n+1} \in R$ with $a_1 \dots a_{n+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, let \hat{a}_i be the element of R which is obtained by eliminating a_i from $a_1 \dots a_n$. Then $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_n N$ implies that $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq a_1 \dots a_n N$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ because N is strongly n -absorbing second. Thus $\hat{a}_i a_{n+1} N = 0$ that is, $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is n -absorbing.

(b) Assume that $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R . Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$ and $a_1 \dots a_n N \neq 0$. Then $a_1 \dots a_n \text{Ann}_R(K) N = 0$. Now as $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing ideal of R , $\hat{a}_i \text{Ann}_R(K) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$ since $a_1 \dots a_n \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Thus $\text{Ann}_R(K) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(\hat{a}_i N)$. It follows that $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$ since M is a multiplication R -module that is, N is strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . \square

Theorem 2.13. *Let N be a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then rN is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M for all $r \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

Proof. Let $a_1 \dots a_n r N \subseteq K$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and a submodule K of M . Then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq (K :_M r)$. So either $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ or there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of $(K :_M r)$. If $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$, since $\text{Ann}_R(N) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(rN)$ we are done. In other case, there are $n - 1$ of a_i 's whose their product with N is a subset of $(K :_M r)$ implies that there is a product of $n - 1$ of the a_i 's with rN is a subset of K . Thus rN is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . \square

An R -module M is said to be a *multiplication module* if for every submodule N of M there exists an ideal I of R such that $N = IM$ [12].

Corollary 2.14. *Let R be a principal ideal domain and M be a multiplication strongly n -absorbing second R -module. Then every submodule of M is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.13. \square

Proposition 2.15. *Let $f : M \rightarrow \hat{M}$ be a monomorphism of R -modules. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M , then $f(N)$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of \hat{M} .*
- (b) *If \hat{N} is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of $f(M)$, then $f^{-1}(\hat{N})$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. (a) Since $N \neq 0$ and f is a monomorphism, we have $f(N) \neq 0$. Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$, \hat{K} be a submodule of \hat{M} , and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(N) \subseteq \hat{K}$. Then $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{K})$. As N is strongly n -absorbing second submodule, $\hat{a}_i N \subseteq f^{-1}(\hat{K})$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n N = 0$. Therefore,

$$\hat{a}_i f(N) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\hat{K})) = f(M) \cap \hat{K} \subseteq \hat{K}$$

or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(N) = 0$, as needed.

(b) If $f^{-1}(\hat{N}) = 0$, then $f(M) \cap \hat{N} = f(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) = f(0) = 0$. By assumption, $\hat{N} \subseteq f(M)$. Therefore $\hat{N} = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, $f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \neq 0$. Now let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in R$, K be a submodule of M , and $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \subseteq K$. Then

$$a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \hat{N} = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n (f(M) \cap \hat{N}) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f(f^{-1}(\hat{N})) \subseteq f(K).$$

Thus as \hat{N} is strongly n -absorbing second submodule, $\hat{a}_i \hat{N} \subseteq f(K)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n \hat{N} = 0$. Therefore, $\hat{a}_i f^{-1}(\hat{N}) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(K)) = K$ or $a_1 a_2 \dots a_n f^{-1}(\hat{N}) = 0$, as desired. \square

Theorem 2.16. *Let M be an R -module. If N_i is a strongly n_i -absorbing second submodule of M for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, then $N_1 + \dots + N_k$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M for $n = n_1 + \dots + n_k$. In particular, if N_1, \dots, N_n are second submodules of M , then $N_1 + \dots + N_n$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and K be a submodule of M with $a_1 \dots a_n (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \subseteq K$ such that $\hat{a}_i (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \not\subseteq K$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. As $a_1 \dots a_n (N_1 + \dots + N_k) \subseteq K$, we have $a_1 \dots a_n N_j \subseteq K$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$. Therefore, $a_1 \dots a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N_j)$ for every $1 \leq j \leq k$ since N_j is a strongly n_j -absorbing second submodule of M and $n_j \leq n$. Therefore $a_1 + \dots + a_n \in \text{Ann}_R(N_1) \cap \dots \cap \text{Ann}_R(N_k) = \text{Ann}_R(N_1 + \dots + N_k)$, that is, $N_1 + \dots + N_k$ is strongly n -absorbing second. The ‘‘In particular’’ statement follows from the fact that every second submodule is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule. \square

Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . It is clear that if N is an n -absorbing (resp. a strongly n -absorbing) second submodule, then it is an m -absorbing (resp. a strongly m -absorbing) second submodule of M for every integer

$m \geq n$. If N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M for some positive integer n , then $\omega_M^c(N) = \min\{n : N \text{ is an } n\text{-absorbing second submodule of } M\}$; otherwise, set $\omega_M^c(N) = \infty$. Moreover, we define $\omega_M^c(0) = 0$. Therefore, for any submodule N of M , we have $\omega_M^c(N) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0, \infty\}$, with $\omega_M^c(N) = 1$ if and only if N is a second submodule of M and $\omega_M^c(N) = 0$ if and only if $N = 0$. Then $\omega_M^c(N)$ measures, in some sense, how far N is from being a second submodule of M . One can ask how $\omega_M^c(N)$ and $\omega_R^c(\text{Ann}_R(N))$ compare.

Corollary 2.17. *Let M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If N_1, \dots, N_k are strongly n -absorbing second submodules of M , then $\omega_M^c(N_1 + \dots + N_k) \leq \omega_M^c(N_1) + \dots + \omega_M^c(N_k)$.*
- (b) *If N_1, \dots, N_n are second submodules of M , then $\omega_M^c(N_1 + \dots + N_n) \leq n$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.16. □

Theorem 2.18. *Let M be an R -module and N be a P -secondary submodule of M such that $P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Then N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . Moreover, $\omega_M^c(N) \leq n$. In particular, if $(0 :_M P^n)$ is a P -secondary submodule of M , then $(0 :_M P^n)$ is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . Moreover, $\omega_M^c((0 :_M P^n)) \leq n$.*

Proof. Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ and K be a submodule of M with $a_1 \dots a_n N \subseteq K$ such that $\hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. For every $1 \leq i \leq n$, as $\hat{a}_i a_i N \subseteq K$ with $\hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$ and N is a P -secondary submodule of M , we have $a_i \in P$. Consequently, $a_1 \dots a_n \in P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$, that is, N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M . The "In particular" statement follows from the fact that $P^n \subseteq \text{Ann}_R((0 :_M P^n))$. □

Theorem 2.19. *Let R be a Noetherian ring and let M be a finitely cogenerated R -module. Then every non-zero proper submodule of M is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M for some positive integer n .*

Proof. Let N be a P -secondary submodule of M . So $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a P -primary ideal of R . Since R is a Noetherian ring, there exists a positive integer m for which $P^m \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Thus N is a strongly m -absorbing second submodule of M by Theorem 2.18. Now assume that K is a non-zero submodule of M . Since M is an Artinian R -module, K has a secondary representation by [20, 6.11]. Let $K = N_1 + \dots + N_k$ be a secondary representation of K , where each N_i is a P_i -secondary submodule of M for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. By the first part, each N_i ($1 \leq i \leq k$) is a strongly m_i -absorbing second submodule of M for some positive integer m_i . Now K is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule in which $n = m_1 + \dots + m_k$ by Theorem 2.16. Therefore the result follows. □

Theorem 2.20. *Let N be a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of an R -module M with $n \geq 2$ and $\text{Ann}_R(N) \subset \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. Suppose that $r \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$ and let $t (\geq 2)$ be the least positive integer such that $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Then $r^{t-1}N$ is a strongly $(n-t+1)$ -absorbing second submodule of M .*

Proof. Choose $2 \leq t \leq n$. Then $n-t+1 \geq 1$. Let $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} r^{t-1} N \subseteq K$ for some $a_1, \dots, a_{n-t+1} \in R$ and a submodule K of M . Since $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ and N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M , therefore either $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$ or $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$. If $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$, then we are done. Hence assume that $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$ and $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \notin \text{Ann}_R(r^{n-1} N)$. Since N is a strongly n -absorbing second submodule of M , therefore $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$. Now $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ and $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$ imply $r r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t} (a_{n-t+1} + r) N \subseteq K$. Again, since N is a strongly n -absorbing second and $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \not\subseteq K$ for any $1 \leq i \leq (n-t)$ and $r r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t} (a_{n-t+1} + r) \notin \text{Ann}_R(N)$ (as $r^t \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$), we must have $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t} (a_{n-t+1} + r) N = r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N + r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t} N \subseteq K$. As $r^{t-2} a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} N \subseteq K$, we have $r^{t-1} a_1 \dots a_{n-t} N \subseteq K$, a contradiction, since we assumed that the product of r^{t-1} with any $n-t$ of the a_i 's with N is not a subset of K . Thus $r^{t-1} \hat{a}_i N \subseteq K$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-t+1} \in \text{Ann}_R(r^{t-1} N)$, and hence $r^{t-1} N$ is a strongly $(n-t+1)$ -absorbing second submodule of M . \square

Remark 2.21. *One can see, by using the same technique, that the results in Theorems 2.16, 2.13, and Corollary 2.14 about strongly n -absorbing second submodules in this section is also true for n -absorbing second submodules.*

3. Strongly and weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodules

Recall that an R -module M is said to be *sum-irreducible* precisely when it is nonzero and cannot be expressed as the sum of two proper submodules of itself [13, Definition and Exercise 7.2.8].

Theorem 3.1. *Let N be a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then $aN = a^2N$ for all $a \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. The converse holds, if N is a sum-irreducible submodule of M .*

Proof. Let $a \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. Then $a^2 \in R \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Thus $aN = a^2N$ by [2, 3.3]. Conversely, let N be a sum-irreducible submodule of M and $abN \subseteq K$ for some $a, b \in R$ and a submodule K of M . Assume that, $ab \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. We show that $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$. As $ab \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$, we have $a, b \in R \setminus \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. Thus $aN = a^2N$ by assumption. Let $x \in N$. Then $ax \in aN = a^2N$. Hence $ax = a^2y$ for some $y \in N$. This implies that $x - ay \in (0 :_N a) \subseteq (K :_N a)$. Thus $x = x - ay + ay \in (K :_N a) + (K :_N b)$. Therefore, $N \subseteq (K :_N a) + (K :_N b)$. Clearly, $(K :_N a) + (K :_N b) \subseteq N$. Thus as N is sum-irreducible, $(K :_N a) = N$ or $(K :_N b) = N$ as needed. \square

Proof. (a) Clearly, $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$. As $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M and $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$, we have $\text{Ann}_R(N)(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N))$ or $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) = 0$. So in any case, $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) = 0$. This implies that $(0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^3) \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2)$.

(b) As $K \not\subseteq N$, we have $(K + N)/N \neq 0$. Let $ab((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$ for some $a, b \in R$ and a submodule H/N of M/N . Then $ab(K + N) + N \subseteq H$. This implies that $abK \subseteq H$. Now as K is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M , we have either $aK \subseteq H$ or $bK \subseteq H$ or $abK = 0$. Therefore, either $a((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$ or $b((K + N)/N) \subseteq H/N$ or $ab((K + N)/N) = 0$ as needed. To see the second assertion, let $a \in \sqrt{(N :_R K + N)} \setminus (N :_R K)$. Then $a^n K \subseteq N$ for some positive integer n . Now as K is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M and $a \notin (N :_R K)$, we have $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(K)}$. Hence $\sqrt{(N :_R K + N)} \setminus (N :_R K) \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(K)} \setminus (N :_R K)$. The reverse inclusion is clear. \square

For a submodule N of an R -module M the *second radical* (or second socle) of N is defined as the sum of all second submodules of M contained in N and it is denoted by $\text{sec}(N)$ (or $\text{soc}(N)$). In case N does not contain any second submodule, the second radical of N is defined to be (0) (see [14], [8]).

Theorem 3.2. *Let N be a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an R -module M . Then we have the following.*

- (a) $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$.
- (b) If M is a finitely generated comultiplication R -module, then $N \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(\text{sec}(N)))$.
- (c) If $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \neq \text{Ann}_R(N)$, then for each $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$, aN is a second R -module with $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(aN)$. Furthermore, we have $\{\text{Ann}_R(aN)\}_{a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)}$ is a chain of prime ideals of R .

Proof. (a) By [2, 3.5], $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R . Thus the result follows from [11, 2.4].

(b) By [7, 2.12], $\text{Ann}_R(\text{sec}(N)) = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)}$. Thus

$$\text{Ann}_R(\text{sec}(N))^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N),$$

by part (a). Hence $N \subseteq (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R^2(\text{sec}(N)))$.

(c) Let $a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Then $aN \neq 0$ and there exists a positive integer t such that $a^t N = 0$ but $a^{t-1} N \neq 0$. Now let $b \in R$ such that $abN \neq 0$. We show that $abN = aN$. As N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M , $abN \subseteq abN$ implies that $aN \subseteq abN$ or $bN \subseteq abN$. If $aN \subseteq abN$, then we are done. If $bN \subseteq abN$, then $a^{t-1} bN \subseteq a^t bN = 0$. By [2, 3.5], $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R . Hence $a^{t-2} bN = 0$. Continuing in this way we obtain, $abN = 0$ which is a contradiction.

By part (a), $a\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)^2} \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$. Thus $\sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \subseteq (\text{Ann}_R(N) :_R a) = \text{Ann}_R(aN)$.

As $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ is a 2-absorbing ideal of R , $\{\text{Ann}_R(aN)\}_{a \in \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R(N)} \setminus \text{Ann}_R(N)}$ is a chain of prime ideals of R by [11, 2.5], which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let N be a P -secondary submodule of an R -module M . Then N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M if and only if $P^2 \subseteq \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

Proof. This follows from Theorem 3.2 (a) and Theorem 2.18. \square

Definition 3.4. *Let N be a non-zero submodule of an R -module M . We say that N is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M if whenever $a, b \in R$, K is a submodule of M , $abM \not\subseteq K$, and $abN \subseteq K$, then $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ or $ab \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$.*

Example 3.5. *Let M be an R -module. Clearly every strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M . Also, evidently M is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of itself. In particular, $M = \mathbb{Z}_6 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{10}$ is not strongly 2-absorbing second \mathbb{Z} -module but M is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second \mathbb{Z} -submodule of M .*

Theorem 3.6. *Let N be a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of an R -module M which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then $\text{Ann}_R^2(N) \subseteq (N :_R M)$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $\text{Ann}_R^2(N) \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$. We show that N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M . Let $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M such that $abN \subseteq K$. If $abM \not\subseteq K$, then we are done because N is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M . Thus suppose that $abM \subseteq K$. If $abM \not\subseteq N$, then $abM \not\subseteq N \cap K$. Hence $abN \subseteq N \cap K$ implies that $aN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq N \cap K \subseteq K$ or $abN = 0$ as needed. So let $abM \subseteq N$. If $a\text{Ann}_R(N)M \not\subseteq K$, then $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))M \not\subseteq K$. Thus $a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$ implies that $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N \subseteq K$ or $abN = a(b + \text{Ann}_R(N))N = 0$, as required. So let $a\text{Ann}_R(N)M \subseteq K$. Similarly, we can assume that $b\text{Ann}_R(N)M \subseteq K$. Since $\text{Ann}_R(N)^2 \not\subseteq (N :_R M)$, there exist $a_1, b_1 \in \text{Ann}_R(N)$ such that $a_1b_1M \not\subseteq N$. Thus there exists a completely irreducible submodule L of M such that $N \subseteq L$ and $a_1b_1M \not\subseteq L$ by Remark 2.2. If $ab_1M \not\subseteq L$, then $a(b + b_1)M \not\subseteq L \cap K$. Thus $a(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$ implies that $aN \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K \subseteq K$ or $abN = a(b + b_1)N = 0$ as needed. So let $ab_1M \subseteq L$. Similarly, we can assume that $a_1bM \subseteq L$. Therefore, $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)M \not\subseteq L \cap K$. Hence, $(a + a_1)(b + b_1)N \subseteq L \cap K$ implies that $aN = (a + a_1)N \subseteq K$ or $bN = (b + b_1)N \subseteq K$ or $abN = (a + a_1)(b + b_1)N = 0$, as desired. \square

Let M be an R -module. A submodule N of M is said to be *idempotent* (resp. *coidempotent*) if $N = (N :_R M)^2M$ (resp. $N = (0 :_M \text{Ann}_R(N)^2)$). Also, M is said to be *fully idempotent* (resp. *fully coidempotent*) if every submodule of M is idempotent (resp. coidempotent) [6].

Corollary 3.7. *Let M be a faithful R -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If M is a fully coidempotent R -module and N is a proper submodule of M , then N is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M if and only if N is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If M is a fully idempotent R -module and N is a non-zero submodule of M , then N is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule if and only if N is a 2-absorbing submodule.*

Proof. (a) The sufficiency is clear. Conversely, assume on the contrary that $N \neq M$ is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M which is not a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule. Then by Theorem 3.6, $Ann_R^3(N) \subseteq Ann_R(M)$. Hence as M is faithful, $Ann_R^3(N) = 0$. Since N is a coidempotent submodule of M , this implies that $N = (0 :_M Ann_R(N)^2) = (0 :_M Ann_R(N)^3) = M$, a contradiction.

(b) The proof is similar to the part (a) by using [15, 2.5]. □

Theorem 3.8. *Let $t \in R$ and M be an R -module. Then we have the following.*

- (a) *If $(0 :_M t) \subseteq tM$, then $(0 :_M t)$ is a strongly 2-absorbing second submodule if and only if it is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule.*
- (b) *If $(tM :_R M) \subseteq Ann_R(tM)$, then the submodule tM is strongly 2-absorbing second if and only if it is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second.*

Proof. (a) Suppose that $(0 :_M t)$ is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M , $a, b \in R$, and K is a submodule of M such that $ab(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$. If $abM \not\subseteq K$, then since $(0 :_M t)$ is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second, we have $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $ba \in Ann_R((0 :_M t))$ which implies $(0 :_M t)$ is strongly 2-absorbing second. Therefore we may assume that $abM \subseteq K$. Clearly, $a(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$. If $a(b+t)M \not\subseteq K$, then we have $(b+t)(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(b+t) \in Ann_R((0 :_M t))$. Since $at \in Ann_R((0 :_M t))$ therefore $b(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ or $ab \in Ann_R((0 :_M t))$. Now suppose that $a(b+t)M \subseteq K$. Then since $abM \subseteq K$, we have $taM \subseteq K$ and so $tM \subseteq (K :_M a)$. Now $(0 :_M t) \subseteq tM$ implies that $(0 :_M t) \subseteq (K :_M a)$. Thus $a(0 :_M t) \subseteq K$ as needed. The converse is clear.

(b) Let tM be a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M and assume that $a, b \in R$ and K be a submodule of M with $abtM \subseteq K$. Since tM is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, we can suppose that $abM \subseteq K$, otherwise tM is strongly 2-absorbing second. Now $abtM \subseteq tM \cap K$. If $abM \not\subseteq tM \cap K$, then as tM is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule, we are done. Now let $abM \subseteq tM \cap K$. Then $abM \subseteq tM$. Thus $(tM :_R M) \subseteq Ann_R(tM)$ implies that $ab \in Ann_R(tM)$ as requested. The converse is clear. □

Theorem 3.9. *Consider the following statements for an R -module M .*

(a) Every non-zero submodule of M is a weakly strongly 2-absorbing second submodule of M .

(b) Every proper submodule of M is a weakly 2-absorbing submodule of M .

Then (a) \Rightarrow (b). Moreover, (b) \Rightarrow (a) if M is faithful.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b). Let N be a proper submodule of M , $a, b \in R$, and $m \in M$ with $0 \neq abm \in N$. If $abM \subseteq N$, then we are done. So suppose that $abM \not\subseteq N$. Since $0 \neq abm \in Nm$, we have $Rm \neq 0$. By assumption, Rm is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second. Thus $aRm \subseteq N$ or $bRm \subseteq N$ or $abRm = 0$. Since, $abm \neq 0$, $am \in N$ or $bm \in N$ as desired.

(b) \Rightarrow (a). Let $0 \neq N$ be a submodule of M , $a, b \in R$, and K be a submodule of M with $abN \subseteq K$, where $abM \not\subseteq K$. If $abN = 0$, then we are done. So suppose that $abN \neq 0$. Clearly, K is a proper submodule of M . By assumption, K is weakly 2-absorbing. Thus by [18, 3.4], $aN \subseteq K$ or $bN \subseteq K$ as needed. \square

Corollary 3.10. *Let M be a non-zero R -module such that every non-zero submodule of M is weakly strongly 2-absorbing second. Then R has at most three maximal ideals containing $\text{Ann}(M)$.*

Proof. This follows from [21, 6.1] and Theorem 3.9 (a) \Rightarrow (b). \square

Acknowledgments. We would like to thank the referees for careful reading of our manuscript and useful comments.

References

1. D. F. Anderson and A. Badawi, *On n -absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Comm. Algebra, **39**, 1646-1672, (2011).
2. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Some generalizations of second submodules*, Palestine Journal of Mathematics, 8 (2) (2019), 159-168.
3. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Classical and strongly classical 2-absorbing second submodules*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat., 69 (1) (2020), 123-136.
4. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *2-absorbing and strongly 2-absorbing secondary submodules of modules*, Le Matematiche **72** (11), 123-135, (2017).
5. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notion of multiplication modules*, Taiwanese J. Math. **11** (4), 1189-1201, (2007).
6. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *Fully idempotent and coidempotent modules*, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **38** (4), 987-1005, (2012).
7. H. Ansari-Toroghy, and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime radicals of submodules*, Asian Eur. J. Math. **6** (2), 1350024 (11 pages), (2013).
8. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *On the dual notion of prime submodules*, Algebra Colloq. **19** (Spec 1), 1109-1116, (2012).
9. H. Ansari-Toroghy and F. Farshadifar, *The dual notions of some generalizations of prime submodules*, Comm. Algebra **39** (7)(2011), 2396-2416.
10. H. Ansari-Toroghy, F. Farshadifar, and S. S. Pourmortazavi, *On the P -interiors of submodules of Artinian modules*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. **45** (3), 675-682, (2016).

11. A. Badawi, *On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **75**, 417-429, (2007).
12. A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra **71**, 174-178, (1981).
13. M.P. Brodmann and R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
14. S. Ceken, M. Alkan, and P.F. Smith, *The dual notion of the prime radical of a module*, J. Algebra **392**, 265-275, (2013).
15. A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, *2-absorbing and weakly 2-absorbing submodules*, Thai J. Math. **9** (3), 577-584, (2011).
16. A. Y. Darani and F. Soheilnia, *On n -absorbing submodules*, Math. Commun. **17**, 547-557, (2012).
17. J. Dauns, *Prime submodules*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **298**, 156-181, (1978).
18. M. K. Dubey and P. Aggarwal, *On n -absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **57** (3), 679-690, (2016).
19. L. Fuchs, W. Heinzer, and B. Olberding, *Commutative ideal theory without finiteness conditions: Irreducibility in the quotient field*, in : Abelian Groups, Rings, Modules, and Homological Algebra, Lect. Notes Pure Appl. Math. **249**, 121-145, (2006).
20. H. Matsumara, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1986.
21. S. Moradi and A. Azizi, *Weakly 2-absorbing submodules of modules*, Turkish J. Math. **40** (2), 350-364, (2016).
22. H. Mostafanasab, U. Tekir, and K.H. Oral, *Classical 2-absorbing submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Eur. J. Pure Appl. Math. **8** (3), 417-430, 2015.
23. H. Mostafanasab, E. Yetkin, U. Tekir and A. Yousefian Darani, *On 2-absorbing primary submodules of modules over commutative rings*, An. S_{t.} Univ. Ovidius Constanta **24** (1), 335-351, 2016.
24. Sh. Payrovi and S. Babaei, *On 2-absorbing submodules*, Algebra Collq. **19**, 913-920, (2012).
25. Sh. Payrovi and S. Babaei, *On the 2-absorbing submodules*, Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. **10** (1), 131-137, (2015).
26. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of prime submodules*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **37**, 273-278, (2001).
27. S. Yassemi, *The dual notion of the cyclic modules*, Kobe. J. Math. **15**, 41-46, (1998).

*H. Ansari-Toroghy (Corresponding Author),
Department of pure Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Guilan,
P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.
E-mail address: ansari@guilan.ac.ir*

and

*F. Farshadifar,
Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics,
Farhangian University, Tehran, Iran.
E-mail address: f.farshadifar@cfu.ac.ir*

and

*S. Maleki-Roudposhti,
Department of pure Mathematics,
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,
University of Guilan,
P. O. Box 41335-19141, Rasht, Iran.
E-mail address: Sepidehmaleki.r@gmail.com*