

1

1

(3s.) **v. 38** 7 (2020): 59–67. ISSN-00378712 in press doi:10.5269/bspm.v38i7.44282

## **On Binary Operation Graphs**

Manal N. Al-Harere and Ahmed A. Omran

ABSTRACT: Labeled graphs are the the graphs that their vertices or edges or to both assigned labels of integers according to a certain conditions. Given a graph G = (V, E), a vertex labeling is a function of V to a set of labels. A graph with such a function defined is called a vertex-labeled graph. The concept of labeled graph generally refers to a vertex-labeled graph with all labels distinct. In this work, new results of graph labeling " binary operation labeling " are determined. Binary operation labeling is a type of labeling graphs by vertices.

Key Words: Binary operation graphs, Maximal binary operation graphs.

#### Contents

	1. Introduction	
3	Main results	60
2	Binary operation labeling	60
L	Introduction	59

Let G = (V, E) be a finite and simple undirected graph of order p and and size q. In any graph deg(v) is, the number of edges that incident on v in G. Any notion or definition which is not found here could be found in [14].

A graph G is said to be labeled (numbered) if each vertex u in G is assigned to a non negative integer f(u). Such a graph may equivalently be labeled by the consecutive integers  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ . For many applications, the edges or vertices are given labels that are meaningful in the associated domain. For example, the edges may be assigned weights representing the "cost" of traversing between the incident vertices. The work here is focus on studing vertex labeling.

Rosa [18] in 1967 started graph labeling principles. Graham and Sloane [13] have also contributed into these principles. For a survey on graph labeling see Gallian [10]. See also, [6], [7], [11], [12], [15], [19], [20], [21] and [1].

Binary operation labeling was defined in [2] which is a vertices labeling scheme. Let G = (V, E) be a (p, q)-graph and let  $f: V(G) \to 1, 2, \dots, p$  be a bijection function. Binary operation graphs are graphs admits a binary operation labeling. When a binary operation graph has a maximum number of edge then it is a maximal binary operation graph. In this paper, new results of "Binary operation graphs" are introduced.

Labeled graphs can be use as a modeling tool for numerous applications such as:

Typeset by  $\mathcal{B}^{s}\mathcal{A}_{M}$ style. © Soc. Paran. de Mat.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C78.

Submitted August 27, 2018. Published June 10, 2019

circuit design, coding theory, x-ray crystallography, radar, communication network addressing, secret sharing schemes, and models for constraint programming over finite domains, for more details—see [3], [4], [5], [8], [9], [16], [17], [22], [23] and [24].

# 2. Binary operation labeling

**Definition 2.1.**, [2] Let G = (V, E) be a graph of order p be a binary operation graph if there exists a bijection function  $f : V(G) \to 1, 2, ..., p$ , where the induced edge function  $f^* : E(G) \to N$  is defined as

$$f^{*}(vu) = \begin{cases} (f(v) + f(u))/2; & \text{if } f(v) \text{ and } f(u) \text{ are both odd or both are even } (a) \\ \\ (f(v)f(u))/2; & \text{if } f(v) \text{ is odd and } f(u) \text{ is even or vice versa } (b) \end{cases}$$

$$(2.1)$$

**Remark 2.2.** [2] Let u be any vertex in a graph having a maximal binary operation labeling where the adjacent vertices to u are divided into two sets, the first contains all vertices which are adjacent to u expressed by 1(a) and denoted by  $S_u$ , and the second contains all vertices that are adjacent to u which expressed by 1(b) and denoted by  $M_u$ . That means

$$S_{u} = \begin{cases} (f(u)/2) + i; \ i = 0, \dots, \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1, i \neq f(u)/2; \ if \ f(u) \ and \ f(v) \ are \ even \\ (f(u) + (2i+1))/2; \ i = 1, \dots, \lceil p/2 \rceil, i \neq \lfloor f(u)/2 \rfloor; \ if \ f(u) \ and \ f(v) \ are \ odd \end{cases}$$

$$M_{u} = \begin{cases} f(u)/2 + if(u); \ i = 0, \dots, \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1; \ if \ f(v) \ is \ odd \ and \ f(u) \ is \ even \\ 2if(u); \ i = 1, \dots, \lfloor p/2 \rfloor; \ if \ f(v) \ is \ even \ and \ f(u) \ is \ odd \end{cases}$$

#### 3. Main results

**Theorem 3.1.** In any binary operation graph  $G, u \in G$  the maximum degree  $\triangle(G) \leq p - t - 1$ , where

$$t = \left\{ \frac{\lfloor p/2f(u) \rfloor; \text{ if } f(u) \text{ is even } (a)}{\lfloor (p+f(u))/2f(u) \rfloor - 1; \text{ if } f(u) \text{ is odd } (b)} \right\}$$
(3.1)

*Proof.* Let  $u_j$  be any vertex in G such that  $f(u_j) = j; j = 1, 2, ..., n$ . To calculate the maximum degree of this vertex there are two cases as follows.

(i) If  $f(u_j)$  is even, then all vertices of even labels which are adjacent to vertex  $u_j$  are expressed by Eq.(1(a)). So all edge labels are increasing, then there are no repeated edge labels. Also all vertices of odd labels adjacent to vertex  $u_j$  are expressed by Eq.(1(b)), so labels of these edges are also increasing; again there are no repeated edge labels. The repeated edge labels can occur when two adjacent vertices to vertex  $u_j$  one is even labeled, and the other is odd labeled.

Now, if vertex  $u_j$  joins with vertex  $u_1$  then we get  $f^*(u_jv_1) = j/2$  and  $f^*(u_ju_h) \neq j/2$ 

 $j/2 \forall h$ , where h is even. So, in this case there are no repeated edge labels. Next join occurs when we join vertex  $u_j$  with vertex  $u_3$  then we get  $f^*(u_ju_3) = 3j/2 = f^*(u_ju_{2j})$ , therefore, we get the first repeated edge label. By the same procedure we can get the second repeated edge label  $f^*(u_jv_5) = 5j/2 = f^*(u_jv_{4j})$ . Thus, in general

 $f^*(u_j u_{2t+1}) = (2t+1)j/2 = f^*(u_j u_{2kj})$ , and these edge labels exist if  $p \ge 2tj$  that means  $t \le p/2j$ , so the maximum value of t that satisfies this equation is  $t = \lfloor p/2j \rfloor$ .

(ii) If j is odd, then all vertices of odd labels join with vertex  $u_j$  by Eq.(1(a)). So all edge labels are increasing, then there are no repeated edge labels. Also all vertices of even labels can join with vertex  $u_i$  according to Eq.(1(b)), so labels of these edges are also increasing, again there are no repeated edge labels. The repeated edges can occur when we join vertex  $u_j$  with two vertices, one of them of is odd labeled and the other is even labeled. Now, if vertex  $u_i$  joins with vertex  $v_2$ then we get  $f^*(u_j u_2) = j$  which can be got only if  $f^*(u_j u_j) = j$ . So, in this case there are no repeated edge labels, since G is a simple graph. The next join occurs when vertex  $u_j$  joins with vertex  $u_4$  then we get  $f^*(u_jv_4) = 2j = f^*(u_ju_3j)$ , therefore, we get the first repeated edge label. By the same procedure we can get the second repeated edge label  $f^*(u_j u_6) = 3j = f^*(u_j u_{5j})$ . Thus, in general  $f^*(u_j u_{2t}) = 2j = f^*(u_j u_{(2t-1)j})$ , and these edge labels exist if  $n \ge (2t-1)j$ that means  $(2k-1) \leq p/j$ , so the maximum t which satisfies this equation is  $t = \lfloor (p+j)/2j \rfloor$ . Thus, the number of repeated edges is  $\lfloor (p+f(u_j))/(2f(u_j)) \rfloor - 1$ , since  $t \geq 2$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G be a graph having maximal binary operation labeling then G has at most one vertex of degree p - 1.

Proof. If u is a vertex of even label such that  $2f(u) \leq p$ , then  $deg(u) , according to Theorem 3.1. If v is a vertex of odd label such that <math>3f(v) \leq p$ , then deg(v) , according to Theorem 3.1. $Now, consider <math>D_e = \{u; 2f(u) > p \text{ and } D_o = v; 3f(v) > p\}$ Case 1: If p is even, then there are two cases as follows. (i) If p/2 is even , then Claim 1.

1. 
$$(p/2) + 1 \in S_{u_r}] \cap S_{u_s} if \ u_r, u_s \in D_e.$$
  
2. 
$$\begin{cases} p/2; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) \neq p/2\\ (p/2) - 2; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) = p/2 \end{cases} \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{v_s} \text{ if } v_r, v_s \in D_o.$$
3.  $p/2 \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{u_s}, \text{ if } v_r \in D_o, u_p \neq u_s \in D_e.$   
4.  $(p/2) + 2 \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{u_p}$  if  $v_r \in D_o \text{ and } u_p \in D_e.$   
Proof of Claim 1.

- 1.  $|D_e| = p/4$ , since the elements in  $D_e = \{u_{n-2k}; k = 0, ..., (p/4) 1\}$ , it is clear that  $u_{2k+2} \in G$  exists for all k and  $f^*(u_{p-2k}u_{2k+2}) = (p/2) + 1$  and  $\forall u_r, u_s \in D_e$ ,  $f^*(u_r u_s) \neq (p/2) + 1$ , since  $u_{2k+2} \notin D_e \forall k$ .
- 2.  $|D_o| = \{ \lceil n/3 \rceil \}$ , since the element in  $D_o = v_{p-1-2k}; k = 0, \dots, \lceil n/3 \rceil 1$ , it is clear that  $v_{2k+1}$  exists for all k and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+1}) = p/2$ . If  $v_{2k+1} \in D_o$  for some k then we take  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k-3}) = (p/2) 2$ .
- 3. A vertex  $u_{2k}$  joins with all vertices  $u_{p-2k}$ ,  $k = 0, \ldots, (n/4) 1$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(v_{p-2k}u_{2k}) = p/2$ . A vertex  $u_{2k+1}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-1-2k}$ ,  $k = 0, \ldots, \lceil p/3 \rceil 1inD_o$  and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+1}) = p/2$ . A vertex  $u_4$  joins with vertex  $u_p$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(u_pu_4) = (p/2) + 2$ . A vertex  $v_{2k+5}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-1-2k}$  in  $D_o$  and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+5}) = (p/2) + 2$ .
- (ii) If p/2 is odd, then Claim 2.

1. 
$$\begin{cases} (p/2) + 2; \text{ if } f^*(u_r u_s) \neq (p/2) + 2\\ p/2; if f^*(u_r u_s) = (p/2) + 2 \end{cases} \in S_{u_r} \cap S_{u_s} \text{ if } u_r, u_s \in D_e \\ 2. \begin{cases} (p/2) + 1; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) \neq p/2 + 1\\ (p/2) - 1; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) = (p/2) + 1 \end{cases} \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{v_s} \text{ if } v_r, v_s \in D_o \end{cases}$$

3. 
$$(p/2) + 1 \in S_{(v_r)} \cap S_{(u_s)}$$
, if  $v_r \in D_o, u_{(p/2)+1} \neq u_s \in D_e$ 

4.  $(p/2) + 2 \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{u_{(p/2)+1}}$ , if  $v_r \in D_o, u_{(p/2)+1} \in D_e$ 

Proof of Claim 2.

- 1.  $|D_e| = \lceil p/4 \rceil$ , since  $D_e = \{u_{(p-2k)}; k = 0, \dots, \lceil p/4 \rceil 1\}$ , it is clear that  $u_{2k+4}$  exists for all k and  $f^*(u_{p-2k}u_{2k+4}) = (p/2) + 2$ . If  $u_{2k+4} \in D_e$  for some k then we take  $u_{2k}$  where  $f^*(u_{p-2k}u_{2k}) = p/2$ .
- 2.  $|D_o| = \lceil p/4 \rceil$ , since  $D_o = \{v_{p-1-2k}; k = 0, \dots, \lceil p/4 \rceil 1\}$ , it is clear that  $v_{2k+3}$  exists for all k and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+3}) = (p/2) + 1$ . If  $v_{2k+3} \in D_o$  for some k then we take  $v_{2k-1}$ , where  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k-1}) = (p/2) 1$
- 3. Vertex  $v_{2k+2}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-2k}$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(v_{p-2k}v_{2k+2}) = (p/2) + 1$ . A vertex  $v_{2k+3}$  joins with all vertices  $u_{p-1-2k}$  in  $D_o, k = 0, ..., \lceil p/4 \rceil 1$ , and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+3}) = (p/2) + 1$
- 4. Vertex  $u_{(p/2)+3}$  joins with vertex  $u_{(p/2)+1}$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(u_{p/2+3}u_{p/2+1}) = (p/2) + 2$ . A vertex  $v_{2k+5}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-1-2k}, k = 0, \ldots, \lceil p/4 \rceil 1$ , in  $D_o$  and  $f^*(v_{p-1-2k}v_{2k+5}) = (p/2) + 2$ .

Case 2: If p is odd, then Claim 3.

1. 
$$\begin{cases} \lceil p/2 \rceil + 1; \text{ if } f^*(u_r u_s) \neq \lceil p/2 \rceil + 1 \\ \lceil p/2 \rceil - 1; \text{ if } f^*(u_r u_s) = \lceil p/2 \rceil + 1 \end{cases} \in S_{u_r} \cap S_{u_s} \text{ if } u_r, u_s \in D_e \\ 2. \begin{cases} \lceil p/2 \rceil; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) \neq \lceil p/2 \rceil \\ \lceil p/2 \rceil - 2; \text{ if } f^*(v_r v_s) = \lceil p/2 \rceil \end{cases} \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{v_s} \text{ if } v_r, v_s \in D_o \end{cases}$$

- 3.  $\lceil p/2 \rceil \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{u_s}, if \ v_r \in D_o, u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil} \neq u_s \in D_e$
- 4.  $\lceil p/2 \rceil + 2 \in S_{v_r} \cap S_{u_s}$ , if  $v_r \in D_o, u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil} \in D_e$

Proof of Claim 3.

- 1.  $|D_e| = \lceil p/4 \rceil$ , since  $D_e = \{u_{p-1-2k}; k = 0, \dots, \lceil p/4 \rceil 1\}$ , it is clear that  $u_{2k+4}$  exists for all k and  $f^*(u_{p-1-2k}u_{2k+4}) = (n+1)/2 + 1 = \lceil p/2 \rceil + 1$ . If  $u_{2k+4} \in D_e$  for some k then we take  $f^*(u_{p-1-2k}u_{2k}) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor 1$ .
- 2.  $|D_o| = \lceil n/4 \rceil$ , since  $D_o = \{v_{(p-2k)}; k = 0, \dots, \lceil p/4 \rceil\}$ , it is clear that  $v_{2k+1}$  exists for all k and  $f^*(v_{p-2k}v_{2k+1}) = (p+1)/2 = \lceil p/2 \rceil$  If  $v_{2k+1} \in D_o$  for some k then we take  $f^*(v_{2k-3}v_{2k-3}) = \lceil p/2 \rceil 2$ .
- 3. A vertex  $u_{2k+2}$  joins with all vertices  $u_{p-1-2k}$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(u_{p-1-2k}u_{2k+2}) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ . A vertex  $v_{2k+1}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-2k}$  in  $D_o$  and  $f^*(v_{p-2k}v_{2k+1}) = \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ .
- 4. A vertex  $u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil+2}$  joins with vertex  $u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil}$  in  $D_e$  and  $f^*(u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil}u_{\lceil p/2 \rceil+4}) = \lceil p/2 \rceil + 2$ . A vertex  $v_{2k+5}$  joins with all vertices  $v_{p-2k}$  in  $D_o$  and  $f^*(v_{p-2k}v_{2k+5}) = \lceil p/2 \rceil + 2$ . Then if we take any vertex from either  $D_e$  or  $D_o$  of degree (p-1), we cannot find another vertex with degree equal to (p-1).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let G the maximal binary operation graph, if deg(u) = 1,  $u \in G$  then the vertex labeling f(u) satisfies the following conditions:

(i) f(u) < 2p/(p-2); f(u) < p-2, if p is odd and f(u) is even or vice versa (ii) f(u) < 2p/(p-3); f(u) < p-3, if p and f(u) are both odd or both are even.

Proof. Let  $f(u_j) = j; j = 1, 2, ..., p$ . In the previous theorem we proved that the repeated edges labels belong to  $M_{u_j} \cap S_{u_j}$ , so if any value in  $M_{u_j}$  is greater than the maximum value in  $S_{u_j}$ , then this value does not belong to the intersection sets. Let  $u_j \in V$ , and there are two vertices in G such that the values of edges that join these vertices with the vertex  $u_j$  are greater than the maximum value of  $S_{u_j}$ . So these values are not repeated, thus  $deg(u_j) \geq 2$ . Now we have two cases. Case 1: If j is even then there are two cases as follows.

(i) If p is odd, then if  $f^*(u_j u_{p-2})$  does not belong to intersection sets, then we get

two values which do not belong to intersection sets, that means  $deg(u_j) \ge 2$ , and  $f^*(u_j u_{p-2}) \ge p$ , since p-1 is the maximum value in  $S_{v_j}$ , so  $(j(p-2))/2 \ge p$  which implies that  $j \ge 2p/(n-2)$ .

Therefore, when j < 2p/(p-2), the vertex  $u_j$  can join with at most one vertex. (ii) If p is even then by same the manner in (i) if  $f^*(u_j u_{p-3}) \ge n$  then  $deg(u_j) \ge 2$  and  $(j(p-3))/2 \ge p$ , which implies that  $j \ge 2n/(n-3)$ . Thus, when j < 2p/(p-3), the vertex  $u_j$  can join with at most one vertex.

Case 2: If j is odd then there are two cases as follows.

(i) If p is even, similar to case 1 (i).

(ii) If p is odd, similar to case 1 (ii).

Note 3.1.  $deg(u_1) \ge 2$ ;  $\forall p > 2$ , since  $f^*(u_2u_1) = 1$  and the edge with label 2 is gotten by either  $f^*(u_1u_3)$  or  $f^*(u_1u_4)$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** There is at most one end vertex in any maximal binary operation graph.

*Proof.* There are two cases that depend on p as follows. Case 1: If p is even, then there are two cases as follows. (i) If j is odd, then (a) If p = 4, then  $deq(u_3) = 1$  as shown in Figure 1.



(b)  $\forall p \geq 6$ , according to Lemma 3.1  $j < 2n/(p-2) \leq 3$ , thus there is no vertex of odd label that can join with only one vertex according to Note 3.3. (ii) If j is even, then:

(a) If p = 4, then  $deg(u_2) = 2$ , since  $f^*(u_2v_1) = 1$  and we get label 3 by joining either  $u_2$  with  $u_4$  or  $u_2$  with  $u_3$ . Hence,  $deg(u_4) = 1$  as shown in Figure 2.



(b)  $\forall n \geq 6$ , then by Lemma 3.1  $j < 2n/(p-2) \leq 4$ , so the only vertex that can join with one vertex is  $u_2$ . And it is clear that in case i(a) and case ii(a),  $u_3$  and  $u_4$  cannot get degree one on the same maximal binary operation graph. Case 2: If p is odd, then there are two cases as follows:

(i) If j is odd, then (a) If p = 3, 5, then there is no vertex of degree one, since in p = 3,  $f^*(u_3u_1) = 2$  and  $f^*(u_3u_2) = 3$  are not repeated, so  $deg(u_3) = 2$  and in p = 5,  $f^*(u_3u_4) = 6$  and  $f^*(u_3u_5) = 4$  are not repeated, so  $deg(u_3) \ge 2$  and  $f^*(u_5u_3) = 4$  and  $f^*(u_5u_4) = 10$  are not repeated so  $deg(u_5) \ge 2$ .

(b) If p = 7, then by Lemma 3.1 the only vertex that can join with only one vertex is  $u_3$ , since j < 2p/(p-3) < 4, and in this case  $deg(u_3) = 1$  as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 3

(c)  $\forall p \geq 9$ , by Lemma 3.1 there is no vertex of degree one, since  $j < 2p/(n-3) \leq 3$ .

(ii) If j is even, then

(a) If p = 3, 5, If p = 3 then there is no vertex of degree one, since  $f^*(u_2u_1) = 1$  and  $f^*(u_2u_3) = 3$  are not repeated, so  $deg(u_2) = 2$ . If p = 5, then the vertex  $u_2$  joins with at least two vertices, since  $f^*(u_2u_1) = 1$  and  $f^*(u_2u_5) = 5$  are not repeated, so  $deg(u_2) \ge 2$ . The vertex  $u_4$  joins with at least two vertices, since  $f^*(u_4u_5) = 10$  and  $f^*(u_4u_3) = 6$  are not repeated, so  $deg(u_4) \ge 2$ .

(b)  $\forall p \geq 7$ , by Lemma 3.1 the only vertex that can join with only one vertex is  $u_2$ , since j < 2p/(p-3) < 4. The vertex  $u_2$  joins with at least two vertices, since  $f^*(u_2u_1) = 1$  and  $f^*(u_2u_p) = p$  (by proof of Lemma 3.1) are not repeated, so  $deg(u_2) \geq 2$ .

### References

- 1. B. D. Acharya, S. Arumugarn, and A. Rosa, *Labeling of Discrete Structures and Applications*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, (2008).
- M.N. Al-Harere, A. A. Omran, *Binary operation graphs*, AIP conference proceeding vol.2086, Maltepe University, Istanbul, Turkey,030008, 31 July - 6 August (2018). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5095093
- I. Arkut, R. Arkut, N. Ghani, Graceful label numbering in optical MPLS networks, In: Proc. SPIE, 4233 (2000) 1-8 OptiComm 2000: Optical Networking and Communications. Imrich Chlamtac: Ed (2000).
- I. C. Arkut, R. C. Arkut, and A. Basak, Topology constrained label switching for multicast routing, http://www.academia.edu/4370947/
- A. Basak, MPLS multicasting using caterpillars and a graceful labelling scheme, IEEE Conference Publications, Information Visualisation, 2004. IV (2004), Proceedings. Eighth International Conference on, 382-387. Digital Object Identifier: 10.1109/IV.2004.1320172.
- 6. J. C. Bermond, *Graceful graphs, radio antennae and French windmills*, Graph Theory and Combinatorics, Pitman, London 18-37, (1979).
- G. S. Bloom, A chronology of the Ringel-Kotzig conjecture and the continuing quest to call all trees graceful, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 326, 32-51, (1979).
- G. S. Bloom and S. W. Golomb, Applications of numbered undirected graphs, Proc. IEEE, 65, 562-570, (1977).
- G. S. Bloom and S. W. Golomb, Numbered complete graphs, unusual rulers, and assorted applications, in Theory and Applications of Graphs, Lecture Notes in Math., 642, Springer-Verlag, New York 53-65, (1978).
- 10. J. A. Gallian, A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling, The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics, Twentieth edition, December 22,(2017).
- J. A. Gallian, A survey: recent results, conjectures and open problems on labeling graphs, J. Graph Theory, 13, 491-504, (1989).
- 12. J. A. Gallian, A guide to the graph labeling zoo, Discrete Appl. Math., 49, 213-229, (1994).
- R. L. Graham and N. J. A. Sloane, On additive bases and harmonious graphs, SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Methods, 1, 382-404, (1980).
- 14. F. Harary, Graph Theory, Addison-Wesely, Reading, Massachusetts, (1969).
- K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, and T. Tan, A graceful arboretum: a survey of graceful trees, in Proceedings of Franco-Southeast Asian Conference, Singapore, May 2, 278-287,(1979).
- 16. A. Meissner and K. Zwierzynski, Vertex-magic total labeling of a graph by distributed constraint solving in the Mozart System, in Parallel Processing and Applied Mathematics, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 3911 Springer Berlin/Heidelberg, (2006).
- 17. J.F. Puget, *Breaking symmetries in all different problems, in Proceedings of SymCon04*, the 4th International Workshop on Symmetry in Constraints, (2004).
- A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Theory of Graphs (Internat.Symposium, Rome, July 1966), Gordon and Breach, N. Y. and Dunod Paris 349-355, (1967).

### ON BINARY OPERATION GRAPHS

- M. A. Seoud and M. N. Al-Harere, Some non combination graphs, Applied Math. Sciences, 6, no. 131, 6515-6520, (2012).
- M. A. Seoud and M. N. Al-Harere, Some notes on combination graphs, Ars Combin. ,129, 95-106, (2016).
- M. A. Seoud and M. N. Al-Harere, Further results on square sum graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 37 (2014), no. 5, 473-475.
- B. M. Smith and J.-F. Puget, Constraint models for graceful graphs, Constraints 15, 64-92, (2010).
- 23. M. Sutton, Sumable Graphs Labellings and Their Applications, Ph. D. Thesis, Dept. Computer Science, The University of Newcastle, (2001).
- H. Wang, B. Yao, and M. Yao, Generalized edge-magic total labellings of models from researching networks, Inform. Sci., 279, 460-467, (2014).

M. N. Al-Harere, Department of Mathematics, University of Technology, Iraq. E-mail address: 100035@uotechnology.edu.iq

and

A. A. Omran, Department of Mathematics, University of Babylon, Iraq. E-mail address: a.a.mamoory@gmail.com