



## Separated and State-Constrained Separated Linear Programming Problems on Time Scales

Rasheed Al-Salih and Martin Bohner

**ABSTRACT:** Separated linear programming problems can be used to model a wide range of real-world applications such as in communications, manufacturing, transportation, and so on. In this paper, we investigate novel formulations for two classes of these problems using the methodology of time scales. As a special case, we obtain the classical separated continuous-time model and the state-constrained separated continuous-time model. We establish some of the fundamental theorems such as the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition on arbitrary time scales, while the strong duality theorem is presented for isolated time scales. Examples are given to demonstrate our new results.

**Key Words:** Time scales, Separated linear programming problem, State constrained, Weak duality theorem, Optimality condition, Strong duality theorem.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>2 Time Scales Calculus</b>	<b>183</b>
<b>3 Linear Programming Problems</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>4 Separated Problems</b>	<b>184</b>
<b>5 Examples (Separated)</b>	<b>185</b>
<b>6 State-Constrained Separated Problems</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>7 Examples (State-Constrained Separated)</b>	<b>189</b>
<b>8 Conclusions</b>	<b>192</b>

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that discrete-time linear programming problems have numerous applications in areas such as portfolio optimization, crew scheduling, manufacturing, transportation, telecommunication, agriculture, and so on. Continuous-time linear programming problems were first studied by Bellman [6] as a bottleneck process. He established the weak duality theorem and optimality conditions. A computational approach has been presented by Bellman and Dreyfus [7]. The

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 34N05, 90C05, 90C39.  
Submitted November 09, 2017. Published December 28, 2017

strong duality theorem was studied by Tyndall [35,36] and Levinson [26]. Grinold [23] has established strong duality without discretizing the continuous problem. A numerical solution to continuous-time linear programming was considered by Buie and Abrham [22]. Jasiulek [25] has characterized the extreme points of the feasibility set of continuous-time linear programming problems. Wen et al. [43] have presented an approximation approach to solve continuous-time problems. A new class called separated continuous-time linear programming problems has been investigated by Anderson and Nash [2,3] and Pullan [30,31,32,33]. This class has many applications such as, for example, job-shop scheduling problems. A simplex-based algorithm for solving the separated type of problems has been considered by Weiss [42]. An approximation algorithm has been used by Wang et al. [41] to solve separated continuous-time linear programming problems. Luo and Bertsimas [27] have presented an extension of the separated model called state-constrained separated model. Xiaoqing [37] has studied duality theorems for separated continuous linear programming and its extensions. Separated continuous linear programs with an application for service operation have been studied by Wang [39]. An application of separated problems to emergency department staffing has been presented by Wang [38]. Wang [40] has investigated duality theorems and solution methods for stochastic separated continuous programming.

The theory of time scales, on the other hand, was first introduced by Stefan Hilger in 1988 in his PhD dissertation, see [24]. The purpose of this theory is to unify discrete and continuous analysis and to offer an extension to cases “in between”. Many applications in mathematical modelling exist for this theory, e.g., to optimal control [5,18,19,20,21,29], population biology [9], calculus of variations [8,10,13], and economics [4,11,14,15,34].

In this paper, we demonstrate that separated problems can be efficiently formulated and solved using time scales techniques. The new formulation yields the separated continuous-time model and the state-constrained separated continuous-time model as special cases (i.e., by setting  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ ). The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, some examples related to time scales calculus are given. In Section 3, we recall some recent results by the authors [1] about linear primal and dual programs on time scales. In Section 4, the basic structures of the primal and dual separated linear programming models are formulated. For the separated model, the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition theorem are established for arbitrary time scales, and the strong duality theorem is presented for isolated time scales. In Section 5, examples are given to demonstrate the duality theorems for separated models. In Section 6, we present the state-constrained separated primal and dual models and establish the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition theorem for state-constrained separated models on arbitrary time scales, while the strong duality is stated and proved for isolated time scales. Examples are presented in Section 7 to demonstrate our new results for state-constrained model. In Section 8, some conclusions are given.

## 2. Time Scales Calculus

In this section, instead of introducing the basic definitions, derivative, and integral on time scales, we refer the reader to the monographs [12,16,17], in which comprehensive details and complete proofs are given. For readers not familiar with the time scales calculus, we give the following few examples. Throughout,  $\mathbb{T}$  is the time scale,  $\sigma$  is the forward jump operator,  $\mu$  is the graininess,  $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function,  $f^\sigma = f \circ \sigma$  is the advance of  $f$ ,  $f^\Delta$  is the delta derivative of  $f$ , and  $\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t$  is the time scales integral of  $f$  between  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ .

**Example 2.1.** If  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\sigma(t) = t, \quad \mu(t) \equiv 0, \quad f^\Delta(t) = f'(t) \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = \int_a^b f(t)dt, \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{T} \text{ with } a < b,$$

is the usual Riemann integral from calculus.

**Example 2.2.** If  $\mathbb{T} = \{t_k \in \mathbb{R} : k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  with  $t_k < t_{k+1}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  consists only of isolated points (i.e., it is an isolated time scale), then

$$\sigma(t_k) = t_{k+1}, \quad \mu(t_k) = t_{k+1} - t_k, \quad f^\Delta(t_k) = \frac{f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)}{t_{k+1} - t_k} \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}_0,$$

and

$$\int_{t_m}^{t_n} f(t)\Delta t = \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} \mu(t_k)f(t_k), \quad \text{where } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } m < n. \quad (2.1)$$

The examples in Sections 5 and 7 are specific cases of Example 2.2 as follows.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $h > 0$ . If  $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{Z} = \{hk : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , then

$$\sigma(t) = t + h, \quad \mu(t) \equiv h, \quad f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = h \sum_{k=\frac{a}{h}}^{\frac{b}{h}-1} f(kh), \quad \text{where } a, b \in \mathbb{T} \text{ with } a < b.$$

**Example 2.4.** Let  $q > 1$ . If  $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0} = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ , then

$$\sigma(t) = qt, \quad \mu(t) = (q-1)t, \quad f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(qt) - f(t)}{(q-1)t} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{T},$$

and

$$\int_{q^m}^{q^n} f(t)\Delta t = (q-1) \sum_{k=m}^{n-1} q^k f(q^k), \quad \text{where } m, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ with } m < n.$$

### 3. Linear Programming Problems

Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{T}$  stands for a time scale, we assume  $0 \in \mathbb{T}$ , we let  $T \in \mathbb{T}$ , and we use  $\mathcal{J}$  to denote the time scales interval

$$\mathcal{J} = [0, T] \cap \mathbb{T}.$$

By  $E_k$ , we denote the space of all rd-continuous functions (i.e., functions that are continuous in points  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\sigma(t) = t$ , and their left-sided limits exist in points  $t \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $\rho(t) = t$ , where the backward jump  $\rho$  is defined analogously to the forward jump  $\rho$ ) from  $\mathcal{J}$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . In [1], the authors have introduced the primal time scales programming problem as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f^\top(t)x(t)\Delta t \\ \text{subject to } B(t)x(t) \leq g(t) + \int_0^t K(t,s)x(s)\Delta s, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } x \in E_n, \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{P})$$

where  $f \in E_n$ ,  $g \in E_m$ , and  $B$  and  $K$  are rd-continuous  $m \times n$  matrix-valued functions. Moreover, in [1], the dual time scales programming problem is introduced as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(z) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} g^\top(t)z(t)\Delta t \\ \text{subject to } B^\top(t)z(t) \geq f(t) + \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} K^\top(s,t)z(s)\Delta s, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } z \in E_m, \quad z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}. \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{D})$$

A feasible solution of (P) (or (D)) is any one that satisfies the given constraints. An optimal solution to (P) (or (D)) is a feasible solution with the largest (or smallest) objective function value. In [1], the following results are established.

**Theorem 3.1** (Weak Duality Theorem). *If  $x$  and  $z$  are arbitrary feasible solutions of (P) and (D), respectively, then  $U(x) \leq V(z)$ .*

**Theorem 3.2** (Optimality Condition). *If there exist feasible solutions  $x^*$  and  $z^*$  of (P) and (D), respectively, such that  $U(x^*) = V(z^*)$ , then  $x^*$  and  $z^*$  are optimal solutions of their respective problems.*

**Theorem 3.3** (Strong Duality Theorem). *Assume  $\mathbb{T}$  is an isolated time scale. If (P) has an optimal solution  $x^*$ , then (D) has an optimal solution  $z^*$  such that  $U(x^*) = V(z^*)$ .*

### 4. Separated Problems

In this section, we formulate the primal and the dual models for separated linear programming problems on arbitrary time scales. This formulation is an extension

of separated continuous-time linear programming problems that are presented in [2,3,30,31,32,33,38,39] by using the methodology of time scales introduced by the authors in [1]. The primal time scales separated linear programming model is formulated as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} f^\top(t)x(t)\Delta t \\ \text{subject to } \int_0^t G(t,s)x(s)\Delta s \leq a(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad B(t)x(t) \leq b(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } x \in E_n, \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{SP})$$

where  $f \in E_n$ ,  $a \in E_{m_1}$ ,  $b \in E_{m_2}$ , and  $B$  and  $G$  are rd-continuous matrix-valued functions of size  $m_2 \times n$  and  $m_1 \times n$ , respectively. Rewriting the two inequalities in (SP) as one inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ B(t) \end{pmatrix} x(t) \leq \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \end{pmatrix} + \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} -G(t,s) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} x(s)\Delta s,$$

we can put (SP) in the form (P), then find the dual (D), and then rewrite (D) as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(y, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} [a^\top(t)y(t) + b^\top(t)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \text{subject to } \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} G^\top(s,t)y(s)\Delta s + B^\top(t)z(t) \geq f(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } y \in E_{m_1}, \quad z \in E_{m_2}, \quad y(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}. \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{SD})$$

A feasible solution of (SP) (or (SD)) is any one that satisfies the given constraints. An optimal solution to (SP) (or (SD)) is a feasible solution with the largest (or smallest) objective function value. Theorems 3.1-3.3 can now be rewritten as follows.

**Theorem 4.1** (Weak Duality Theorem). *If  $x$  and  $(y, z)$  are arbitrary feasible solutions of (SP) and (SD), respectively, then  $U(x) \leq V(y, z)$ .*

**Theorem 4.2** (Optimality Condition). *If there exist feasible solutions  $x^*$  and  $(y^*, z^*)$  of (SP) and (SD), respectively, such that  $U(x^*) = V(y^*, z^*)$ , then  $x^*$  and  $(y^*, z^*)$  are optimal solutions of their respective problems.*

**Theorem 4.3** (Strong Duality Theorem). *Assume  $\mathbb{T}$  is an isolated time scale. If (SP) has an optimal solution  $x^*$ , then (SD) has an optimal solution  $(y^*, z^*)$  such that  $U(x^*) = V(y^*, z^*)$ .*

### 5. Examples (Separated)

In this section, three examples are given in order to illustrate our duality theorems on isolated time scales.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales separated linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \quad U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(3)} tx(t)\Delta t = \sum_{t=0}^3 tx(t) \\ \text{subject to} \quad \int_0^t x(s)\Delta s = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x(s) \leq t^2, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad 6x(t) \leq t + 1, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and} \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.3 with  $h = 1$ . Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(0) &= 0.000000, & x^*(1) &= 0.333333, & x^*(2) &= 0.500000, \\ x^*(3) &= 0.666667, & U(x^*) &= 3.333333. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \quad V(y, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(3)} [t^2 y(t) + (t+1)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \quad \quad \quad = \sum_{t=0}^3 [t^2 y(t) + (t+1)z(t)] \\ \text{subject to} \quad \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(3)} y(s)\Delta s = \sum_{s=t+1}^3 y(s) \geq t - 6z(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and} \quad y(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used again Example 2.3 with  $h = 1$ . Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(0) &= 0.000000, & z^*(1) &= 0.166667, & z^*(2) &= 0.333333, & z^*(3) &= 0.500000, \\ y^*(0) &= 0.000000, & y^*(1) &= 0.000000, & y^*(2) &= 0.000000, & y^*(3) &= 0.000000, \end{aligned}$$

and the optimal value is  $V(y^*, z^*) = 3.333333$ , confirming  $U(x^*) = V(y^*, z^*)$ .

**Example 5.2.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = 5\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales separated linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \quad U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} tx(t)\Delta t = 25 \sum_{k=0}^4 kx(5k) \\ \text{subject to} \quad \int_0^t x(s)\Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} x(5k) \leq 2t, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad 8x(t) \leq t + 1, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and} \quad x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(0) &= 0.000, & x^*(5) &= 0.750, & x^*(10) &= 1.375, \\ x^*(15) &= 2.000, & x^*(20) &= 2.625, & U(x^*) &= 500.000. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{cases} V(y, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} [2ty(t) + (t+1)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad = 5 \sum_{k=0}^4 [10ky(5k) + (5k+1)z(5k)] \\ \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(20)} y(s) \Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=\frac{t}{5}+1}^4 y(5k) \geq t - 8z(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ y(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{cases}$$

where we have used once more Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*(0) &= 0.000, & z^*(5) &= 0.625, & z^*(10) &= 1.250, \\ z^*(15) &= 1.875, & z^*(20) &= 2.500, & & \\ y^*(0) &= 0.000, & y^*(5) &= 0.000, & y^*(10) &= 0.000, \\ y^*(15) &= 0.000, & y^*(20) &= 0.000, & & \end{aligned}$$

and the optimal value is  $V(y^*, z^*) = 500$ , confirming  $U(x^*) = V(y^*, z^*)$ .

**Example 5.3.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales separated linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{cases} U(x) = \int_1^{\sigma(2^2)} tx(t) \Delta t = \sum_{k=0}^2 4^k x(2^k) \\ \int_1^t x(s) \Delta s = \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 t - 1} 2^k x(2^k) \leq t^2, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 6x(t) \leq t + 1, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ x(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{cases}$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(1) &= 0.333333, & x^*(2) &= 0.500000, \\ x^*(4) &= 0.833333, & U(x^*) &= 15.66667. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{cases} V(y, z) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\sigma(2^2)} [t^2 y(t) + (t + 1)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{k=0}^2 2^k [(2^k)^2 y(2^k) + (2^k + 1)z(2^k)] \\ \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(4)} y(s) \Delta s = \sum_{k=1+\log_2 t}^2 2^k y(2^k) \geq t - 6z(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ y(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{cases}$$

where we have used again Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^*(1) &= 0.000000, & y^*(2) &= 0.000000, & y^*(4) &= 0.000000, \\ z^*(1) &= 0.166667, & z^*(2) &= 0.333333, & z^*(4) &= 0.666667, \end{aligned}$$

and the optimal value is  $V(y^*, z^*) = 15.66667$ , confirming  $U(x^*) = V(y, z^*)$ .

### 6. State-Constrained Separated Problems

In this section, we formulate primal and the dual models for state-constrained separated linear programming problems on arbitrary time scales  $\mathbb{T}$ . This formulation extends state-constrained separated continuous-time linear programming problems as presented in [28,39,43], using the methodology of time scales as introduced by Al-Salih and Bohner [1]. The primal time scales state-constrained separated linear programming model is formulated as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{cases} U(u, x) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} [c^\top(t)u(t) + f^\top(t)x(t)] \Delta t \\ \int_0^t G(t, s)u(s) \Delta s + B(t)x(t) \leq a(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ H(t)u(t) \leq b(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ F(t)x(t) \leq h(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ u, x \in E_n, \quad x(t), u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{cases} \tag{SCP}$$

where  $c, f \in E_n$ ,  $a \in E_{m_1}$ ,  $b \in E_{m_2}$ ,  $h \in E_{m_3}$ ,  $B$  and  $G$  are rd-continuous matrix-valued functions of size  $m_1 \times n$ , and  $H$  and  $F$  are rd-continuous matrix-valued functions of size  $m_2 \times n$  and  $m_3 \times n$ , respectively. Rewriting the three inequalities in (SCP) as one inequality

$$\begin{pmatrix} H(t) & 0 \\ 0 & B(t) \\ 0 & F(t) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ x(t) \end{pmatrix} \leq \begin{pmatrix} b(t) \\ a(t) \\ h(t) \end{pmatrix} \int_0^t \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -G(t, s) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u(s) \\ x(s) \end{pmatrix} \Delta s,$$



we can put (SCP) in the form (P), then find the dual (D), and then rewrite (D) as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } V(y, w, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(T)} [a^\top(t)y(t) + b^\top(t)w(t) + h^\top(t)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \text{subject to } \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(T)} G^\top(s, t)y(s)\Delta s + H^\top(t)w(t) \geq c(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad B^\top(t)y(t) + F^\top(t)z(t) \geq f(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } y \in E_{m_1}, \quad w \in E_{m_2}, \quad z \in E_{m_3}, \quad y(t), w(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}. \end{array} \right. \quad (\text{SCD})$$

A feasible solution of (SCP) (or (SCD)) is any one that satisfies the given constraints. An optimal solution to (SCP) (or (SCD)) is a feasible solution with the largest (or smallest) objective function value. Theorems 3.1–3.3 can now be rewritten as follows.

**Theorem 6.1** (Weak Duality Theorem). *If  $(u, x)$  and  $(y, w, z)$  are arbitrary feasible solutions of (SCP) and (SCD), respectively, then  $U(u, x) \leq V(y, w, z)$ .*

**Theorem 6.2** (Optimality Condition). *If there are feasible solutions  $(u^*, x^*)$  and  $(y^*, w^*, z^*)$  of (SCP) and (SCD), respectively, with  $U(u^*, x^*) = V(y^*, w^*, z^*)$ , then  $x^*$  and  $z^*$  are optimal solutions of their respective problems.*

**Theorem 6.3** (Strong Duality Theorem). *Assume  $\mathbb{T}$  is an isolated time scale. If (SCP) has an optimal solution  $(u^*, x^*)$ , then (SCD) has an optimal solution  $(y^*, w^*, z^*)$  such that  $U(u^*, x^*) = V(y^*, w^*, z^*)$ .*

### 7. Examples (State-Constrained Separated)

In this section, three examples are given in order to illustrate our duality theorems on isolated time scales.

**Example 7.1.** *Let  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming primal model*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize } U(x) = \int_0^{\sigma(3)} [tu(t) + 5tx(t)] \Delta t = \sum_{t=0}^3 [tu(t) + 5tx(t)] \\ \text{subject to } \int_0^t x(s)\Delta s = \sum_{s=0}^{t-1} x(s) \leq t^2 + 3 - 10x(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad 6u(t) \leq t + 1, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \quad \quad \quad 2x(t) \leq t + 2, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ \text{and } x(t), u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.3 with  $h = 1$ . Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} x^*(0) = 0.000000, & x^*(1) = 0.400000, & x^*(2) = 0.700000, \\ x^*(3) = 1.150000, & u^*(0) = 0.000000, & u^*(1) = 0.000000, \\ u^*(2) = 0.500000, & u^*(3) = 0.666667, & U(u^*, x^*) = 29.25000. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} V(y, w, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(3)} [(t^2 + 3)y(t) + (t + 1)w(t) + (t + 2)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{t=0}^3 [(t^2 + 3)y(t) + (t + 1)w(t) + (t + 2)z(t)] \\ \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(3)} y(s) \Delta s = \sum_{s=t+1}^3 y(s) \geq t - 6w(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 10y(t) + 2z(t) \geq 5t, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ y(t), w(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array}$$

where we have used again Example 2.3 with  $h = 1$ . Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{array}{llll} y^*(0) = 0.00000, & y^*(1) = 0.50000, & y^*(2) = 1.00000, & y^*(3) = 1.50000, \\ w^*(0) = 0.00000, & w^*(1) = 0.16667, & w^*(2) = 0.08333, & w^*(3) = 0.50000, \\ z^*(0) = 0.00000, & z^*(1) = 0.00000, & z^*(2) = 0.00000, & z^*(3) = 0.00000, \end{array}$$

and the optimal value is

$$V(y^*, w^*, z^*) = 29.25000,$$

confirming  $U(u^*, x^*) = V(y^*, w^*, z^*)$ .

**Example 7.2.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = 5\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{0, 5, 10, 15, 20\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} U(u, x) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} [tu(t) + t^2x(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = 5 \sum_{k=0}^4 [5ku(5k) + (5k)^2x(5k)] \\ \int_0^t u(s) \Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{t}{5}-1} u(5k) \leq t + 1 - 10x(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 3u(t) \leq t + 1, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 2x(t) \leq t^2 + 3, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ x(t), u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array}$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} x^*(0) = 0.000000, & x^*(5) = 0.600000, & x^*(10) = 1.100000, \\ x^*(15) = 1.600000, & x^*(20) = 2.100000, & \\ u^*(0) = 0.000000, & u^*(5) = 0.000000, & u^*(10) = 0.000000, \\ u^*(15) = 0.000000, & u^*(20) = 13.666667, & U(x^*) = 7991.667000. \end{array}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} V(y, w, z) = \int_0^{\sigma(20)} [(t+1)(y(t) + w(t)) + (t^2 + 3)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = 5 \sum_{k=0}^4 [(5k+1)(y(5k) + w(5k)) + (25k^2 + 3)z(5k)] \\ \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(20)} y(s) \Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=\frac{t}{5}+1}^4 y(5k) \geq t - 3w(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 10y(t) + 2z(t) \geq t^2, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ y(t), w(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array}$$

where we have used again Example 2.3. Using MATLAB command linprog or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} y^*(0) = 0.000000, & y^*(5) = 2.500000, & y^*(10) = 10.000000, \\ y^*(15) = 22.500000, & y^*(20) = 40.000000, & \\ w^*(0) = 0.000000, & w^*(5) = 0.000000, & w^*(10) = 0.000000, \\ w^*(15) = 0.000000, & w^*(20) = 6.666667, & \\ z^*(0) = 0.000000, & z^*(5) = 0.000000, & z^*(10) = 0.000000, \\ z^*(15) = 0.000000, & z^*(20) = 0.000000, & \end{array}$$

and the optimal value is

$$V(y^*, w^*, z^*) = 7991.667000,$$

confirming  $U(u^*, x^*) = V(y^*, w^*, z^*)$ .

**Example 7.3.** Let  $\mathbb{T} = 2^{\mathbb{N}_0}$  and  $\mathcal{J} = \{1, 2, 4\}$ . Then, we consider the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming primal model

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Maximize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{array}{l} U(x) = \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\sigma(2^2)} [tu(t) + t^3x(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{k=0} 2^k [2^k u(2^k) + (2^k)^3 x(2^k)] \\ \int_1^t 5u(s) \Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 t-1} 2^k u(2^k) \leq 2t + 1 - 20x(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 10u(t) \leq t, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 4x(t) \leq t^2 + 3, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ x(t), u(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{array}$$

where we have used  $\sigma$  and the integral given in Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^*(1) &= 0.10, & x^*(2) &= 0.25, & x^*(4) &= 0.45, \\ u^*(1) &= 0.00, & u^*(2) &= 0.00, & u^*(4) &= 0.40, \\ & & U(u^*, x^*) &= 125.75. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the isolated time scales state-constrained separated linear programming dual model is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize} \\ \text{subject to} \\ \text{and} \end{array} \right. \begin{cases} V(y, w, z) = \int_1^{\sigma(2^2)} [(2t + 1)y(t) + tw(t) + (t^2 + 3)z(t)] \Delta t \\ \qquad \qquad \qquad = \sum_{k=0}^2 2^k [(2 \cdot 2^k + 1)y(2^k) + 2^k w(2^k) + (4^k + 3)z(2^k)] \\ \int_{\sigma(t)}^{\sigma(4)} 5y(s)\Delta s = 5 \sum_{k=1+\log_2 t}^2 2^k y(2^k) \geq t - 10w(t), \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ 20y(t) + 4z(t) \geq t^3, \quad t \in \mathcal{J} \\ y(t), w(t), z(t) \geq 0, \quad t \in \mathcal{J}, \end{cases}$$

where we have used again Example 2.4. Using MATLAB command `linprog` or LINDO solver, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y^*(1) &= 0.05, & y^*(2) &= 0.40, & y^*(4) &= 3.20, \\ w^*(1) &= 0.00, & w^*(2) &= 0.00, & w^*(4) &= 0.40, \\ z^*(1) &= 0.00, & z^*(2) &= 0.00, & z^*(4) &= 0.00, \\ & & V(y^*, w^*, z^*) &= 125.75, \end{aligned}$$

confirming  $U(u^*, x^*) = V(y^*, w^*, z^*)$ .

### 8. Conclusions

An efficient formulation and a computational approach have been successfully constructed in this paper to solve two classes of separated linear programming problems on arbitrary time scales. Discretization-based methods have been used recently to solve this class of problems, but unfortunately these methods can only obtain the approximate solutions in most cases. Our formulation has addressed this issue by finding the exact optimal solution of the problem using an isolated time scales approach. Another key issue for the discretization-based methods is to solve both primal and dual models at the same time to abstain the error bound of the solution, so another by-product of this paper is to obtain the optimal solution by either solving the primal or the dual problem only, which will reduce the large computational effort. Moreover, to ensure that our new formulation is a useful formulation, we have established some fundamental theorems such as the weak duality theorem and the optimality condition on arbitrary time scales, while the strong duality theorem is presented for isolated time scales.

### Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for useful remarks. Moreover, the authors would like to thank the *Higher Committee for Educational Development in Iraq* (HCED) for supporting the first author during his PhD research. This paper represents a part of the author's thesis completed at Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, MO, USA. The future address of the first author is the Department of Statistics at University of Sumer in Thi-Qar, Iraq.

### References

1. Rasheed Al-Salih and Martin Bohner. Linear programming problems on time scales. *Appl. Anal. Discrete Math.*, 2017. To appear, <https://doi.org/10.2298/AADM170426003A>.
2. Edward J. Anderson. *A continuous model for job-shop scheduling*. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 1978.
3. Edward J. Anderson and Peter Nash. *Linear programming in infinite-dimensional spaces*. Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 1987. Theory and applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
4. Ferhan M. Atici, Daniel C. Biles, and Alex Lebedinsky. An application of time scales to economics. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 43(7-8):718–726, 2006.
5. Zbigniew Bartosiewicz, Ülle Kotta, Ewa Pawłuszewicz, and Małgorzata Wyrwas. Control systems on regular time scales and their differential rings. *Math. Control Signals Systems*, 22(3):185–201, 2011.
6. Richard E. Bellman. *Dynamic programming*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010. Reprint of the 1957 edition, With a new introduction by Stuart Dreyfus.
7. Richard E. Bellman and Stuart E. Dreyfus. *Applied dynamic programming*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1962.
8. Martin Bohner. Calculus of variations on time scales. *Dynam. Systems Appl.*, 13(3-4):339–349, 2004.
9. Martin Bohner, Meng Fan, and Jimin Zhang. Periodicity of scalar dynamic equations and applications to population models. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 330(1):1–9, 2007.
10. Martin Bohner, Rui A. C. Ferreira, and Delfim F. M. Torres. Integral inequalities and their applications to the calculus of variations on time scales. *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, 13(3):511–522, 2010.
11. Martin Bohner, Gregory Gelles, and Julius Heim. Multiplier-accelerator models on time scales. *Int. J. Stat. Econ.*, 4(S10):1–12, 2010.
12. Martin Bohner and Svetlin G. Georgiev. *Multivariable dynamic calculus on time scales*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
13. Martin Bohner and Gusein Sh. Guseinov. Double integral calculus of variations on time scales. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 54(1):45–57, 2007.
14. Martin Bohner, Julius Heim, and Ailian Liu. Solow models on time scales. *Cubo*, 15(1):13–31, 2013.
15. Martin Bohner, Julius Heim, and Ailian Liu. Qualitative analysis of a Solow model on time scales. *J. Concr. Appl. Math.*, 13(3-4):183–197, 2015.
16. Martin Bohner and Allan Peterson. *Dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. An introduction with applications.
17. Martin Bohner and Allan Peterson. *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2003.

18. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The linear quadratic regulator on time scales. *Int. J. Difference Equ.*, 5(2):149–174, 2010.
19. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The linear quadratic tracker on time scales. *Int. J. Dyn. Syst. Differ. Equ.*, 3(4):423–447, 2011.
20. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. Controllability and observability of time-invariant linear dynamic systems. *Math. Bohem.*, 137(2):149–163, 2012.
21. Martin Bohner and Nick Wintz. The Kalman filter for linear systems on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 406(2):419–436, 2013.
22. R. N. Buie and Jaromír Abrham. Numerical solutions to continuous linear programming problems. *Z. Operations Res. Ser. A-B*, 17(3):A107–A117, 1973.
23. Richard C. Grinold. Continuous programming. I. Linear objectives. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 28:32–51, 1969.
24. Stefan Hilger. Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus. *Results Math.*, 18(1-2):18–56, 1990.
25. Joachim Jasiulek. *Continuous linear programming; theory and applications*. PhD thesis, University of California, Davis, 1980.
26. Norman Levinson. A class of continuous linear programming problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 16:73–83, 1966.
27. X. Luo and D. Bertsimas. A new algorithm for state-constrained separated continuous linear programs. *SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 177–210, 1998., 37(1):177–210, 1998.
28. Xiao-Dong Luo. *Continuous linear programming: theory, algorithms and applications*. PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1995.
29. Ewa Pawłuszewicz. Observability of nonlinear control systems on time scales. *Internat. J. Systems Sci.*, 43(12):2268–2274, 2012.
30. Malcolm C. Pullan. An algorithm for a class of continuous linear programs. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 31(6):1558–1577, 1993.
31. Malcolm C. Pullan. Forms of optimal solutions for separated continuous linear programs. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 33(6):1952–1977, 1995.
32. Malcolm C. Pullan. A duality theory for separated continuous linear programs. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 34(3):931–965, 1996.
33. Malcolm C. Pullan. Convergence of a general class of algorithms for separated continuous linear programs. *SIAM J. Optim.*, 10(3):722–731 (electronic), 2000.
34. Christopher C. Tisdell and Atiya Zaidi. Basic qualitative and quantitative results for solutions to nonlinear, dynamic equations on time scales with an application to economic modelling. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 68(11):3504–3524, 2008.
35. William F. Tyndall. A duality theorem for a class of continuous linear programming problems. *J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math.*, 13:644–666, 1965.
36. William F. Tyndall. An extended duality theorem for continuous linear programming problems. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 15:1294–1298, 1967.
37. Xiaoqing Wang. *Theory and algorithms for separated continuous linear programming and its extensions*. PhD thesis, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, 2005.
38. Xiaoqing Wang. Emergency department staffing: a separated continuous linear programming approach. *Math. Probl. Eng.*, pages Art. ID 680152, 8, 2013.
39. Xiaoqing Wang. Review on the research for separated continuous linear programming: with applications on service operations. *Math. Probl. Eng.*, pages Art. ID 408048, 9, 2013.

40. Xiaoqing Wang. Stochastic separated continuous conic programming: strong duality and a solution method. *Math. Probl. Eng.*, pages Art. ID 896591, 20, 2014.
41. Xiaoqing Wang, Shuzhong Zhang, and David D. Yao. Separated continuous conic programming: strong duality and an approximation algorithm. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 48(4):2118–2138, 2009.
42. Gideon Weiss. A simplex based algorithm to solve separated continuous linear programs. *Math. Program.*, 115(1, Ser. A):151–198, 2008.
43. Ching-Feng Wen, Yung-Yih Lur, and Hang-Chin Lai. Approximate solutions and error bounds for a class of continuous-time linear programming problems. *Optimization*, 61(2):163–185, 2012.

*Rasheed Al-Salih and Martin Bohner,*  
*Department of Mathematics and Statistics,*  
*Missouri University of Science Technology,*  
*USA.*

*E-mail address:* rbahhd@mst.edu

*E-mail address:* bohner@mst.edu