



On Sextic Integral Bases Using Relative Quadratic Extention

M. Sahmoudi and A. Soullami

ABSTRACT: Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be a cubic number field and $P(X) = X^3 - aX - b$ (a, b in \mathbb{Z}), the monic irreducible polynomial of θ . In this paper we give a sufficient conditions on a, b which ensure that θ is a power basis generator, also we give conditions on relative quadratic extension to be monogenic. As a consequence of this theoretical result we can reach an integral basis of some sextic fields which Neither algebraically split nor arithmetically split.

Key Words: Dedekind ring, Monogenicity, Relative power integral basis, Integral basis.

Contents

1 Introduction	175
2 Preliminaries	176
3 Relative and absolute monogenicity	177
4 Illustration	178
4.1 Integral basis of sextic extension	178
4.2 Monogenicity of sextic extension	179

1. Introduction

The search of integral bases and Monogenicity are classical topic of algebraic number theory c.f. [3], [9] and [8]. Let $K \subseteq L$ be algebraic number fields with $[L : K] = n$, denote by O_K and O_L the rings of integers of K and L , respectively. The field L possess a power basis generator (PBG) if there exists an algebraic integer α such that: $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$ forms a basis of O_L , so, L is called monogenic relative over K (for $K \neq \mathbb{Q}$).

The main result of this paper is a generalization of sufficient condition given by Dedekind for quadratic number field to relative quadratic number field (Theorem 3.1). As well we give a simplest sufficient condition for cubic number field to have monogenic basis (Theorem 3.3). As a consequence, if K is a cubic field and $L = K(\alpha)$ with $\alpha^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ it has proved that the rings of integers of L admits an integral basis over \mathbb{Z} See [3]. we want to solve the same problem for a family of sextic fields with $\alpha^2 \in O_K \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, for this we prove that the field L is relatively

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35B40, 35L70.
 Submitted October 16, 2017. Published December 28, 2017

monogenic over K under the conditions stated above. As a consequence, we obtain a straightforward computation of discriminant $d_{L/\mathbb{Q}}$ given by the formula

$$d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(d_{L/K}) \cdot (d_{K/\mathbb{Q}})^{[L:K]},$$

where $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the norm from K over \mathbb{Q} .

2. Preliminaries

In the following we shall say that an ideal \mathfrak{a} of a dedekind ring R is a square free ideal in R if $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \leq 1$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in R . An element d of a dedekind ring R is said a square free element in R if the ideal dR is a square free ideal of R . This implies that $d \in R - R^2$.

For each prime \mathfrak{p} and each non zero algebraic integer m , $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(m)$ denotes the greatest nonnegative integer l such that \mathfrak{p}^l divides m .

For any polynomial P , we denote by S_P the set of prime square divisors of $discP$:

$$S_P = \{ \mathfrak{p} \in specR \mid \mathfrak{p}^2 \text{ divides } discP \}.$$

The set S_P is very useful to use Dedekind Criterium in order to know whether the ring of integers of L has a power basis generators over K or not.

Hereinafter, we recall the result that gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an extension L/K to be monogenic.

Theorem 2.1. [5, Theorem 2.1.]. *Let R be a Dedekind ring, K its quotient field, L a finite separable extension of K , O_L the integral closure of R in L , $\alpha \in O_L$ a primitive element of L , and $P(X) \in R[X]$ the monic irreducible polynomial of α over R . For a fixed prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R , let the decomposition of P into monic irreducible polynomials in $R/\mathfrak{p}[X]$ take the form*

$$\overline{P(X)} = \prod_{i=1}^r \overline{P}_i^{e_i}(X) \in R/\mathfrak{p}[X]. \tag{2.1}$$

For $i = 1, \dots, r$, let $P_i \in R[X]$ be a monic lift of \overline{P}_i , set

$$G(X) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r, e_i \geq 2} P_i(X), \quad H(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_i^{e_i}(X)/G(X), \tag{2.2}$$

where the empty product is to mean that $G(X) = 1$, and let $P(X) = G(X)H(X) + aT(X)$ for some $T(X) \in R[X]$ and $a \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$. Then, If $disc_R(P)$ is not square free, then the following are equivalent:

1. α is a PBG for O_L over R .
2. For any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in S_P$, either (P is square free in $R/\mathfrak{p}[X]$) or (P is not square free in $(R/\mathfrak{p})[X]$ and in this case $T \neq 0$ modulo \mathfrak{p} and $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}(Res(P, G)) = deg(G)$).

3. Relative and absolute monogenicity

Our first main result study monogenicity of relative quadratic extension. For the second, we give sufficient condition for a extension to have a PBG.

Theorem 3.1. *Let R be a Dedekind ring with quotient field K . Let $L = K(\alpha)$ be a pure quadratic extension of K , where α is a root of a monic irreducible polynomial $P(X) = X^2 - d \in R[X]$. Assume that: for all prime \mathfrak{p} such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(2d) \geq 1$ we have $d - 1 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$. Then α is a PBG of L/K .*

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{p} \in S_P$. As $\text{disc}_R(P) = 4dR$, then $\mathfrak{p}|4d$ yields $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(2) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(2d) \geq 1$. It is clear that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(2d) \geq 1$, This allows us to write $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d - 1) = 1$, hence by dominance principal theorem that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(d) = 0$. By reducing P modulo \mathfrak{p} yields $\overline{X^2 - d} = \overline{(X - 1)^2}$. Then, by keeping the notation of Theorem 2.1, we have, $P(X) = G(X)H(X) + a.T(X)$ with $G(X) = H(X) = X - 1$ and $T(X) = \frac{2X - 1 + d}{a}$ for some a in $\mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$. Moreover, $\text{Res}_R(X^2 - d, X - 1) = (-d + 1)R$. Then $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\text{Res}_R(X^2 - d, X - 1)) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}((-d + 1)R) = 1$. So α is a PBG of L/K . \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $L = K(\alpha)$, using the notations of theorem 3.1, the discriminant $d_{L/K}$ of L is given by: $d_{L/K} = 4dR$.*

Proof: The proof is based on the index formula: $\text{disc}_R(P) = \text{ind}_R(\alpha)^2 d_{L/K}$. Since α is a PBG, by Theorem 3.1, we have $\text{ind}_R(\alpha) = R$ and therefore $\text{disc}_R(P) = d_{L/K}$, which suffices to show that $d_{L/K} = 4dR$. \square

Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be a cubic field, where θ is a root of the monic irreducible polynomial

$$X^3 - aX - b = 0, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The discriminant of θ is $\delta = 4a^3 - 27b^2$ and $\delta = \text{ind}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\theta)^2 d(K/\mathbb{Q})$, where $d(K/\mathbb{Q})$ denotes the discriminant of K , and $\text{ind}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\theta)$ is the index of θ .

Theorem 3.3. *Under the assumptions above and in addition, we may assume that:*

1. $3 \nmid b, a = 3 + 3^2A; b = 2 + 3B$ with $3 \nmid AB$,
2. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $p \mid \delta$, then $v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 1$.
3. δ is square without prime divisors congruent to $2 \pmod{3}$,

Then, θ is a power basis generator of K/\mathbb{Q} .

Proof: The discriminant δ is given by $\delta = 3^2 \prod_{3 < p \mid \delta} p^2$ (See [6]). Let $p \in S_P$, yields

the only primes p of \mathbb{Z} such that p^2 divides δ are $p = 3$ or $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$. Let us first examine the case $p = 3$. Reducing P modulo 3 , yields $P(X) \equiv X^3 - b \pmod{3}$. Since $b \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. Hence, $P(X) \equiv (X + 1)^3 \pmod{3}$. Letting $P(X) = (X + 1)^3 - 3X^2 - (3 + a)X - (b + 1)$, we put $b + 1 = 3b'$ and $3 + a = 3(1 + a')$, then

$P(X) = (X + 1)^3 + 3T(X)$ with $T(X) = -X^2 - (1 + a')X - b'$. Hence, $\overline{T} \not\equiv \overline{0}$ modulo 3 as desired. Moreover, $Res_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^3 - aX - b, X + 1) = b - a + 1$. By dominance principal theorem, we check that $v_3(b - a + 1) = v_3(b - 2 - (a - 3)) = Inf(v_3(b - 2), v_3(a - 3) = 1)$. Then $v_3(Res_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^3 - aX - b, X + 1) = deg(X + 1))$. Secondly, assume now that the prime p in S_p verifies $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, reducing P modulo p yields, since $v_p(a) = v_p(b) = 1$, $P(X) \equiv X^3 \pmod{p}$.

Then, by keeping the notation of Theorem 2.1, we have, $P(X) = G(X)H(X) + p.T(X)$ with $G(X) = X$, $H(X) = X^2$ and $T(X) = -p(\frac{a}{p}X + \frac{b}{p})$. Since $v_p(a) = 1$, $\overline{T} \not\equiv \overline{0} \pmod{p}$. The task is now to compute $Res_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^3 - aX - b, X)$, so, by using a computing package, such as (Maple) it can be checked that $Res_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^p - aX - b, X) = b$, hence, $v_p(Res_{\mathbb{Z}}(X^3 - aX - b, X)) = v_p(b) = deg(G)$, which completes the proof the second case. □

4. Illustration

4.1. Integral basis of sextic extension

In [3] it was considered sextic fields that are composites of subfields. In the following case we consider the sextic field L Neither algebraically split nor arithmetically split see ([4, III.2.13]).

Let $\alpha \in O_L$ be a primitive element of L/K ($L = K(\alpha)$) with $\alpha^2 \in O_K \setminus \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\theta)$ be a cubic field as in Theorem 3.3. Let $L = K(\alpha)$ a pure quadratic extension of K , where α is a root of a monic irreducible polynomial $P(X) = X^2 - d \in O_K[X]$. Suppose that for all prime \mathfrak{p} such that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(2d) \geq 1$ we have $d - 1 \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{p}^2$. Then the sextic fields $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha; \theta)$ has integral basis given by : $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \alpha, \alpha\theta, \alpha\theta^2\}$.*

Proof: of Theorem 4.1 we know that $\mathcal{B}_c = \{1, \theta, \theta^2\}$ is an integral basis of K over \mathbb{Q} . According to the Theorem 3.1 and Lemma [3, Lemma 3.1.], it is easily seen that $\{1, \theta, \theta^2, \alpha, \alpha\theta, \alpha\theta^2\}$ is an integral basis of L . □

Corollary 4.2. *Under the assumptions and suppositions of Theorem 4.1. Let $d = u + v\theta + w\theta^2$, $(u, v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$. The discriminant of the sextic field L over \mathbb{Q} is given by:*

$$d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = 4^3(-abvw^2 + b^2w^3 + bv^3 - bv^2w + (aw + v)u^2 + (a^2w^2 - av^2 + (a - 2b)vw)u).\delta^2.$$

Proof: To compute discriminant we use [7, Proposition 13, p. 66], then we have $d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = 4^3 N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(dR).\delta^2$. In the rest of this proof, we will give explicitly the norm of d , $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(dR)$. Let $m_d : K \mapsto K$ the left multiplication by d i.e, a K -linear transformation, we know that $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(dR) = det(m_d)$. To compute this norm, we will need in particular to compute explicitly $m_d(1)$, $m_d(\theta)$ and $m_d(\theta^2)$. Then by

using a computer algebra package (such as Maple) it can be checked that:

$$\begin{cases} m_d(1) = u + v\theta + w\theta^2 \\ m_d(\theta) = bw + (aw + u)\theta + v\theta^2 \\ m_d(\theta^2) = vb + (va + wb)\theta + (u + aw)\theta^2 \end{cases}$$

we check that; $\det(m_d) = -abvw^2 + b^2w^3 + bv^3 - bv^2w + (aw + v)u^2 + (a^2w^2 - av^2 + (a - 2b)vw)u$. \square

Remark 4.3. *By considering $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ we see that the discriminant simplifies to $d_{L/\mathbb{Q}} = 4^3d^2\delta^2$, which has been proved in [3].*

4.2. Monogenicity of sextic extension

We keep the same notation of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.1. Like previous sections let $L = \mathbb{Q}(\theta, \alpha)$. Set $\gamma = \alpha + \theta$, using a computing package previously cited, we can checked that the minimal polynomial of γ is given by:

$$F(X) = \text{Irrd}(\gamma, \mathbb{Z}) = X^6 + cX^5 + eX^4 + fX^3 + gX^2 + hX + i, \text{ where:}$$

$$\begin{cases} c = 0, e = -2aw - 2a - 3u, f = -2b - 6bw - 4av \\ g = a^2w^2 - 2a^2w - 3bvw + 4uwa + a^2 + 3u^2 - v^2a - 9bv \\ h = 2bw^2a - 4bwa + 6uwb + 2ba - 6v^2b - 6bu + 4uva \\ i = -ua^2w^2 + 2ua^2w - ua^2 + avw^2b - 2u^2wa - 2avwb + avb + 2au^2 \\ \quad + uv^2a - b^2w^3 + 3b^2w^2 + 3bvwu - 3b^2w - u^3 - v^3b - 3bv u + b^2 \end{cases}$$

Then $L = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$ and hence the index is:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma) &= \mp \lambda(-3bvwu + u^3 + v^3b + 2wu^2a - uav^2 + ua^2w^2 - aw^2vb + b^2w^3)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &(-w^6b^2 - 6w^5b^2 + 24w^2bvu - 32wuav^2 - v^2a^2w^4 - 4v^2a^2w^3 + 12v^4u + 40a^3w^3 + \\ &20a^3w - 40a^3w^2 + 7v^2a^2 - 54b^2w + 9b^2w^2 + 28b^2w^3 - 26v^3b + 27b^2 + 64u^3 - 4a^3 - \\ &v^6 - 20v^2a^2w + 2w^3v^3b + 4a^3w^5 - 20a^3w^4 - 2av^4 + 72bvu - 54avb - 2w^5avb + \\ &18w^4avb + 12w^3vab + 54wv^3b + 36ua^2 - 96u^2a - 48u^2v^2 + 128wu^2a - 112ua^2w + \\ &32uav^2 + 120ua^2w^2 - 32w^2u^2a + 2w^2v^4a + 24w^3bvu - 48w^3ua^2 + 4w^4ua^2 + 18v^2a^2w^2 - \\ &30w^2v^3b - 120bvwu - 124aw^2vb + 150awvb - 3w^4b^2). \\ \text{Where: } \lambda &= (-aw^2vb + b^2w^3 + v^3b - bvw^2 + wu^2a + u^2v + ua^2w^2 - uav^2 + wvua - \\ &2bvwu)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.4. *The presented method permits to check whether a particular element generates a power basis of L over \mathbb{Q} . For example, by the particular case where $d = \theta + \theta^2$, we get $\text{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\gamma)^2 = \frac{a-b-1}{a-b}$ hence γ it not a power integral basis.*

References

1. S. Alaca and K. S. Williams, *Introductory Algebraic Number Theory*, ISBN: 9780521540117, Cambridge University Press, 2004.

2. H. Cohen, H. C., *A Course in Computational Algebraic Number theory*, GTM vol. 138, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
3. M. E. Charkani, M. C. and M. Sahmoudi, M. S., *Sextic Extension with cubic subfield*, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory et Applications, vol.34,no.2, 139-150, 2014.
4. A. Frohlich, A. F. and M. J. Taylor M. T., *Algebraic number theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
5. M. Sahmoudi, M. S., *Explicit integral basis for a family of sextic field*, Gulf Journal of Mathematics, vol.4, No.4, 2016, 217-222.
6. P. Llorente, P. L. and E. Nart, N. N, *Effective determination of the decomposition of the rational prime in a cubic field*, Proc. American Math. Soc. , 87, (1983), 579-585
7. S. Lang, S. L., *Algebraic Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 110, Springer-Verlag New York , 1986.
8. A. Shahzad, A. S., N. Toru, N. T. and M. H. Sayed, M. S., *Power integral bases for certain pure sextic fields*, International Journal of Number Theory, World Scientific Publishing Company, Vol. 10, No. 8, 2014, 2257-2265.
9. B. K. Spearman, B. S. and K. S. Williams, K. W., *Relative integral bases for quartic fields over quadratic subfields*, Acta Math. Hungar., 70, 1996, 185-192.

Mohammed Sahmoudi,
LAGA Laboratory,
Faculty of Sciences, Dhar El Mahraz,
P. O. Box 1796, Atlas-Fez
Morocco.
E-mail address: mohamed-sahmoudi@usmba.ac.ma

and

Soullami Abderazak,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences, Dhar El Mahraz,
P. O. Box 1796, Atlas-Fez
Morocco.
E-mail address: abderazak.soullami@usmba.ac.ma