



Entropy Solutions For Nonlinear Parabolic Inequalities Involving Measure Data In Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev Spaces

A.Talha, A. Benkirane, M.S.B. Elemine Vall

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we study an existence result of entropy solutions for some nonlinear parabolic problems in the Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Key Words: Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, parabolic equations, entropy solutions, truncations.

Contents

1 Introduction	199
2 Preliminary	200
2.1 Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces :	201
2.2 Inhomogeneous Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces :	204
3 Essential assumptions	205
4 Some technical Lemmas	206
5 Approximation and trace results	209
6 Compactness Results	212
7 Main results	214

1. Introduction

Let Ω a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let Q be the cylinder $\Omega \times (0, T)$ with some given $T > 0$.

We consider the strongly nonlinear parabolic problem

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(u) + g(x, t, u, \nabla u) = f - \operatorname{div}(F) & \text{in } Q, \\ u \equiv 0 & \text{on } \partial Q = \partial\Omega \times [0, T] \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $A : D(A) \subset W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \rightarrow W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q)$ (see section 2) defined by $A(u) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is an operator of Leray-Lions type, where a is a Carathéodory function such that

$$|a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \beta \left(h_1(x, t) + \psi_x^{-1} \gamma(x, \nu|s|) + \psi_x^{-1} \varphi(x, \nu|\xi|) \right)$$

Submitted May 03, 2016. Published July 06, 2016

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \xi') \right) (\xi - \xi') > 0 \\ & a(x, t, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha \varphi(x, |\xi|) \end{aligned}$$

with $h_1 \in L^1(Q)$, $\beta, \nu, \alpha > 0$ and γ a Musielak function such that $\gamma \ll \varphi$. Let g be a Carathéodory function such that

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x, t, s, \xi)| & \leq b(|s|) \left(h_2(x, t) + \varphi(x, |\xi|) \right), \\ g(x, t, s, \xi) s & \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

is satisfied, where b a positive function in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ and $h_2 \in L^1(Q)$, and $f \in L^1(Q)$ and $F \in (E_\psi(Q))^N$.

Under these assumptions, the above problem does not admit, in general, a weak solution since the field $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ does not belong to $(L^1_{\text{loc}}(Q))^N$ in general. To overcome this difficulty we use in this paper the framework of entropy solutions. This notion was introduced by Bénylan and al. [4] for the study of nonlinear elliptic problems.

In the classical Sobolev spaces, the authors in [9, 17] proved the existence of solutions for the problem (\mathcal{P}) in the case where $F \equiv 0$, in [7] the authors had proved the existence of solutions for the problem (\mathcal{P}) in the elliptic case.

In the setting of Orlicz spaces, the solvability of (\mathcal{P}) was proved by Donaldson [10] and Robert [18], and by Elmahi [12] and Elmahi-Meskine [13]. In Musielak framework, recently M. L. Ahmed Oubeid, A. Benkirane and M. Sidi El Vally in [2] had studied the problem (\mathcal{P}) in the Inhomogeneous case and the data belongs to $L^1(Q)$, in the elliptic case the authors in [1] proved the existence of weak solutions for the problem (\mathcal{P}) where the data assume to be measure and $g \equiv 0$.

It is our purpose in this paper to prove the existence of entropy solutions for problem (\mathcal{P}) in the setting of Musielak Orlicz spaces for general Musielak function φ with a nonlinearity $g(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ having natural growth with respect to the gradient.

Our result generalizes that of [13, 1, 2] to the case of inhomogeneous Musielak Orlicz Sobolev spaces.

The plan of the paper is as follows. Section 2 presents the mathematical preliminaries. Section 3 we make precise all the assumptions on a, g, f and u_0 . Section 4 is devoted to some technical lemmas with be used in this paper. Section 5 we establish some compactness and approximation results. Final section is consecrate to define the entropy solution of (\mathcal{P}) and to prove existence of such a solution.

2. Preliminary

In this section we list briefly some definitions and facts about Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. Standard reference is [16]. We also include the definition of inhomogeneous Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and some preliminaries Lemmas to be used later.

2.1. Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces :

Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^N and let φ be a real-valued function defined in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and satisfying the following conditions :

- a) $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an N-function (convex, increasing, continuous, $\varphi(x, 0) = 0, \varphi(x, t) > 0,$
 $\forall t > 0, \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0, \inf_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\varphi(x, t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$).
- b) $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a measurable function.

A function φ , which satisfies the conditions a) and b) is called Musielak-Orlicz function.

For a Musielak-orlicz function φ we put $\varphi_x(t) = \varphi(x, t)$ and we associate its non-negative reciprocal function φ_x^{-1} , with respect to t that is

$$\varphi_x^{-1}(\varphi(x, t)) = \varphi(x, \varphi_x^{-1}(t)) = t.$$

The Musielak-orlicz function φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition if for some $k > 0$ and a non negative function h integrable in Ω , we have

$$\varphi(x, 2t) \leq k\varphi(x, t) + h(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } t \geq 0. \tag{2.1}$$

When (2.1) holds only for $t \geq t_0 > 0$; then φ said to satisfy Δ_2 near infinity.

Let φ and γ be two Musielak-orlicz functions, we say that φ dominate γ , and we write $\gamma \prec \varphi$, near infinity (resp. globally) if there exist two positive constants c and t_0 such that for almost all $x \in \Omega$

$$\gamma(x, t) \leq \varphi(x, ct) \text{ for all } t \geq t_0, \quad (\text{resp. for all } t \geq 0 \text{ i.e. } t_0 = 0).$$

We say that γ grows essentially less rapidly than φ at 0 (resp. near infinity), and we write $\gamma \prec\prec \varphi$, If for every positive constant c we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\gamma(x, ct)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right) = 0, \quad (\text{resp. } \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{\gamma(x, ct)}{\varphi(x, t)} \right) = 0).$$

Remark 2.1. [6] If $\gamma \prec\prec \varphi$ near infinity, then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ there exist $k(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that for almost all $x \in \Omega$ we have

$$\gamma(x, t) \leq k(\varepsilon)\varphi(x, \varepsilon t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0. \tag{2.2}$$

We define the functional

$$\rho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx.$$

where $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a Lebesgue measurable function. In the following, the measurability of a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ means the Lebesgue measurability.

The set

$$K_{\varphi}(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \rho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) < +\infty \right\}.$$

is called the generalized Orlicz class.

The Musielak-Orlicz space (or the generalized Orlicz spaces) $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is the vector space generated by $K_\varphi(\Omega)$, that is, $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is the smallest linear space containing the set $K_\varphi(\Omega)$.

Equivalently

$$L_\varphi(\Omega) = \left\{ u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \rho_{\varphi, \Omega} \left(\frac{u}{\lambda} \right) < +\infty, \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Let

$$\psi(x, s) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{st - \varphi(x, t)\}.$$

that is, ψ is the Musielak-Orlicz function complementary to φ in the sens of Young with respect to the variable s .

We define in the space $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ the following two norms

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 / \int_{\Omega} \varphi \left(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda} \right) dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$

which is called the Luxemburg norm and the so called Orlicz norm by :

$$\| \|u\| \|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\psi} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx.$$

where ψ is the Musielak Orlicz function complementary to φ . These two norms are equivalent [16].

The closure in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ of the bounded measurable functions with compact support in $\overline{\Omega}$ is denoted by $E_\varphi(\Omega)$. A Musielak function φ is called locally integrable on Ω if $\rho_\varphi(t\chi_E) < \infty$ for all $t > 0$ and all measurable $E \subset \Omega$ with $\text{meas}(E) < \infty$. Note that local integrability in the previous definition differs from the one used in $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$, where we assume integrability over compact subsets.

Lemma 2.1. [15] *Let φ a Musielak function which is locally integrable. Then $E_\varphi(\Omega)$ is separable.*

We say that sequence of functions $u_n \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is modular convergent to $u \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ if there exists a constant $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varphi, \Omega} \left(\frac{u_n - u}{\lambda} \right) = 0.$$

For any fixed nonnegative integer m we define

$$W^m L_\varphi(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_\varphi(\Omega) : \forall |\alpha| \leq m, D^\alpha u \in L_\varphi(\Omega) \right\}.$$

and

$$W^m E_\varphi(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in E_\varphi(\Omega) : \forall |\alpha| \leq m, D^\alpha u \in E_\varphi(\Omega) \right\}.$$

where $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ with nonnegative integers α_i , $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_n|$ and $D^\alpha u$ denote the distributional derivatives. The space $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is called the Musielak Orlicz Sobolev space.

Let

$$\bar{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}(D^\alpha u) \text{ and } \|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega}^m = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \bar{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega}\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

for $u \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$, these functionals are a convex modular and a norm on $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$, respectively, and the pair $(W^m L_\varphi(\Omega), \|\cdot\|_{\varphi,\Omega}^m)$ is a Banach space if φ satisfies the following condition [16] :

$$\text{there exist a constant } c > 0 \text{ such that } \inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \geq c. \tag{2.3}$$

The space $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ will always be identified to a subspace of the product $\prod_{|\alpha| \leq m} L_\varphi(\Omega) = \Pi L_\varphi$, this subspace is $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi)$ closed.

We denote by $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ the space of infinitely smooth functions with compact support in Ω and by $\mathcal{D}(\bar{\Omega})$ the restriction of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ on Ω .

Let $W_0^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ be the $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi)$ closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$.

Let $W^m E_\varphi(\Omega)$ the space of functions u such that u and its distribution derivatives up to order m lie to $E_\varphi(\Omega)$, and $W_0^m E_\varphi(\Omega)$ is the (norm) closure of $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ in $W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$.

The following spaces of distributions will also be used :

$$W^{-m} L_\psi(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in D'(\Omega); f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha \text{ with } f_\alpha \in L_\psi(\Omega) \right\}.$$

and

$$W^{-m} E_\psi(\Omega) = \left\{ f \in D'(\Omega); f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (-1)^{|\alpha|} D^\alpha f_\alpha \text{ with } f_\alpha \in E_\psi(\Omega) \right\}.$$

We say that a sequence of functions $u_n \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is modular convergent to $u \in W^m L_\varphi(\Omega)$ if there exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\rho}_{\varphi,\Omega}\left(\frac{u_n - u}{k}\right) = 0.$$

For φ and her complementary function ψ , the following inequality is called the Young inequality [16]:

$$ts \leq \varphi(x, t) + \psi(x, s), \quad \forall t, s \geq 0, x \in \Omega. \tag{2.4}$$

This inequality implies that

$$\|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} \leq \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) + 1. \tag{2.5}$$

In $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ we have the relation between the norm and the modular

$$\|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} \leq \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) \text{ if } \|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} > 1. \tag{2.6}$$

$$\|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} \geq \rho_{\varphi,\Omega}(u) \text{ if } \|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} \leq 1. \tag{2.7}$$

For two complementary Musielak Orlicz functions φ and ψ , let $u \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ and $v \in L_\psi(\Omega)$, then we have the Hölder inequality [16]

$$\left| \int_\Omega u(x)v(x)dx \right| \leq \|u\|_{\varphi,\Omega} \|v\|_{\psi,\Omega}. \tag{2.8}$$

2.2. Inhomogeneous Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces :

Let Ω a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and let $Q = \Omega \times]0, T[$ with some given $T > 0$. Let φ be a Musielak function. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^N$, denote by D_x^α the distributional derivative on Q of order α with respect to the variable $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. The inhomogeneous Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces of order 1 are defined as follows.

$$W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) = \{u \in L_\varphi(Q) : \forall |\alpha| \leq 1 \ D_x^\alpha u \in L_\varphi(Q)\}$$

et

$$W^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q) = \{u \in E_\varphi(Q) : \forall |\alpha| \leq 1 \ D_x^\alpha u \in E_\varphi(Q)\}$$

The last space is a subspace of the first one, and both are Banach spaces under the norm

$$\|u\| = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \|D_x^\alpha u\|_{\varphi,Q}.$$

We can easily show that they form a complementary system when Ω is a Lipschitz domain [5]. These spaces are considered as subspaces of the product space $\Pi L_\varphi(Q)$ which has $(N + 1)$ copies. We shall also consider the weak topologies $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi)$ and $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi L_\psi)$. If $u \in W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ then the function $t \mapsto u(t) = u(t, \cdot)$ is defined on $[0, T]$ with values in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$. If, further, $u \in W^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)$ then this function is $W^1E_\varphi(\Omega)$ valued and is strongly measurable. Furthermore the following imbedding holds: $W^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q) \subset L^1(0, T; W^1E_\varphi(\Omega))$. The space $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ is not in general separable, if $u \in W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$, we can not conclude that the function $u(t)$ is measurable on $[0, T]$.

However, the scalar function $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_{\varphi,\Omega}$ is in $L^1(0, T)$. The space $W_0^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)$ is defined as the (norm) closure in $W^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)$ of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$.

We can easily show as in [5] that when Ω a Lipschitz domain then each element u of the closure of $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ with respect of the weak * topology $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi)$ is limit, in $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$, of some subsequence $(u_i) \subset \mathcal{D}(Q)$ for the modular convergence; i.e., there exists $\exists \lambda > 0$ such that for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$,

$$\int_Q \varphi(x, \left(\frac{D_x^\alpha u_i - D_x^\alpha u}{\lambda}\right)) dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } i \rightarrow \infty,$$

this implies that (u_i) converges to u in $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ for the weak topology $\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi L_\psi)$.

Consequently

$$\overline{\mathcal{D}(Q)}^{\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi)} = \overline{\mathcal{D}(Q)}^{\sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi L_\psi)},$$

this space will be denoted by $W_0^{1,x}L_\psi(Q)$. Furthermore, $W_0^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q) = W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \cap \Pi E_\varphi$.

We have the following complementary system

$$\begin{pmatrix} W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) & F \\ W_0^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q) & F_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

F being the dual space of $W_0^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)$. It is also, except for an isomorphism, the quotient of ΠL_ψ by the polar set $W_0^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)^\perp$, and will be denoted by $F = W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q)$ and it is shown that

$$W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q) = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha : f_\alpha \in L_\psi(Q) \right\}.$$

This space will be equipped with the usual quotient norm

$$\|f\| = \inf \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \|f_\alpha\|_{\psi, Q}$$

where the inf is taken on all possible decompositions

$$f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha, \quad f_\alpha \in L_\psi(Q).$$

The space F_0 is then given by

$$F_0 = \left\{ f = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha f_\alpha : f_\alpha \in E_\psi(Q) \right\}$$

and is denoted by $F_0 = W^{-1,x}E_\psi(Q)$.

3. Essential assumptions

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N satisfying the segment property and $T > 0$ we denote $Q = \Omega \times [0, T]$, and let φ and γ be two Musielak-Orlicz functions such that $\gamma \prec\prec \varphi$.

Let $A : D(A) \subset W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \longrightarrow W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q)$ be a mapping given by

$$A(u) = -\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)),$$

where $a : a(x, t, s, \xi) : \Omega \times [0, t] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying, for a.e $(x, t) \in Q$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^N, \xi \neq \xi'$:

$$|a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \beta \left(h_1(x, t) + \psi_x^{-1} \gamma(x, \nu|s|) + \psi_x^{-1} \varphi(x, \nu|\xi|) \right) \tag{3.1}$$

$$\left(a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \xi') \right) (\xi - \xi') > 0 \quad (3.2)$$

$$a(x, t, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha \varphi(x, |\xi|) \quad (3.3)$$

where $c(x, t)$ a positive function, $c(x, t) \in E_\psi(Q)$ and positive constants ν, α . Furthermore, let $g(x, t, s, \xi) : \Omega \times]0, T[\times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Caratheodory function such that for a.e. $(x, t) \in \Omega \times]0, T[$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the following conditions

$$|g(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq b(|s|) \left(h_2(x, t) + \varphi(x, |\xi|) \right), \quad (3.4)$$

$$g(x, t, s, \xi) s \geq 0, \quad (3.5)$$

are satisfied, where $b : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous positive function which belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $h_2(x, t) \in L^1(Q)$.

$$f \in L^1(Q) \quad \text{and} \quad F \in (E_\psi(Q))^N. \quad (3.6)$$

$$u_0 \in L^1(\Omega). \quad (3.7)$$

4. Some technical Lemmas

Lemma 4.1. [5]. Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N and let φ and ψ be two complementary Musielak-Orlicz functions which satisfy the following conditions:

i) There exist a constant $c > 0$ such that $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \geq c$.

ii) There exist a constant $A > 0$ such that for all $x, y \in \Omega$ with $|x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\frac{\varphi(x, t)}{\varphi(y, t)} \leq t^{\left(\frac{A}{\log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)} \right)}, \quad \forall t \geq 1. \quad (4.1)$$

iii)

$$\text{If } D \subset \Omega \text{ is a bounded measurable set, then } \int_D \varphi(x, 1) dx < \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

iv) There exist a constant $C > 0$ such that $\psi(x, 1) \leq C$ a.e in Ω .

Under this assumptions, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ with respect to the modular topology, $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence and $\mathcal{D}(\overline{\Omega})$ is dense in $W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ the modular convergence.

Consequently, the action of a distribution S in $W^{-1} L_\psi(\Omega)$ on an element u of $W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ is well defined. It will be denoted by $\langle S, u \rangle$.

Lemma 4.2. [6]. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be uniformly Lipschitzian, with $F(0) = 0$. Let φ be a Musielak- Orlicz function and let $u \in W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$. Then $F(u) \in W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$. Moreover, if the set D of discontinuity points of F' is finite, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} F(u) = \begin{cases} F'(u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} & \text{a.e in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \in D\}. \\ 0 & \text{a.e in } \{x \in \Omega : u(x) \notin D\}. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.3. Let $(f_n), f \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

- i) $f_n \geq 0$ a.e in Ω .
- ii) $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e in Ω .
- iii) $\int_\Omega f_n(x) dx \rightarrow \int_\Omega f(x) dx$.

then $f_n \rightarrow f$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Lemma 4.4 (Jensen inequality). [19]. Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a convex function and $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is function measurable, then

$$\varphi \left(\int_\Omega g \, d\mu \right) \leq \int_\Omega \varphi \circ g \, d\mu.$$

Lemma 4.5 (Poincaré inequality). [11]. Let φ a Musielak Orlicz function which satisfies the assumptions of lemma 4.1, suppose that $\varphi(x, t)$ decreases with respect of one of coordinate of x .

Then, that exists a constant $c > 0$ depends only of Ω such that

$$\int_\Omega \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx \leq \int_\Omega \varphi(x, c|\nabla u(x)|) dx, \quad \forall u \in W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof Since $\varphi(x, t)$ decreases with respect to one of coordinates of x , there exists $i_0 \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that the function $\sigma \rightarrow \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N, t)$ is decreasing for every $x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\forall t > 0$.

To prove our result, it suffices to show that

$$\int_\Omega \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx \leq \int_\Omega \varphi \left(x, 2d \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x) \right| \right) dx, \quad \forall u \in W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega). \tag{4.4}$$

with $d = \max(\text{diam}(\Omega), 1)$ and $\text{diam}(\Omega)$ is the diameter of Ω .

First, suppose that $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, then so by the Jensen integral inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi(x, |u(x_1, \dots, x_N)|) \\ & \leq \varphi \left(x, \int_{-\infty}^{x_{i_0}} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}} \right| (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N) d\sigma \right), \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi \left(x, d \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}} \right| (x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N) \right) d\sigma \\ & \leq \frac{1}{d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\sigma) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where $f(\sigma) = \varphi\left(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N, d\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}\right|(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N)\right)$.

By integrating with respect to x , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x_1, \dots, x_N)|) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{d} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(\sigma) d\sigma dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $\varphi\left(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N, d\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}\right|(x_1, \dots, x_{i_0-1}, \sigma, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_N)\right)$ independent of x_{i_0} , we can get it out of the integral to respect of x_{i_0} and by the fact that σ is arbitrary, then by Fubini's Theorem we get

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, d\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}\right|(x)\right) dx, \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega). \tag{4.5}$$

For $u \in W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ according to Lemma 4.1, we have the existence of $u_n \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\bar{\nu}_{\varphi, \Omega}\left(\frac{u_n - u}{\lambda}\right) = 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_n - u|}{\lambda}\right) dx \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \\ \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u_n - \nabla u|}{\lambda}\right) dx \rightarrow 0, & \text{as } n \rightarrow +\infty, \\ u_n \rightarrow u \text{ a.e in } \Omega, & (\text{for a subsequence still denote } u_n). \end{cases}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{2d\lambda}\right) dx & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_n(x)|}{2d\lambda}\right) dx \\ & \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left|\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx \\ & = \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{2\lambda} \left|\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x) + \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left|\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x) - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, |u(x)|\right) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, 2d\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i_0}}(x)\right|\right) dx, \quad \forall u \in W_0^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega).$$

□

Lemma 4.6 (The Nemytskii Operator). *Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N with finite measure and let φ and ψ be two Musielak Orlicz functions. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ be a Carathodory function such that for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $s \in \mathbb{R}^p$:*

$$|f(x, s)| \leq c(x) + k_1 \psi_x^{-1} \varphi(x, k_2 |s|). \tag{4.6}$$

where k_1 and k_2 are real positives constants and $c(\cdot) \in E_\psi(\Omega)$. Then the Nemytskii Operator N_f defined by $N_f(u)(x) = f(x, u(x))$ is continuous from

$$\mathcal{P}\left(E_\varphi(\Omega), \frac{1}{k_2}\right)^p = \prod \left\{ u \in L_\varphi(\Omega) : d(u, E_\varphi(\Omega)) < \frac{1}{k_2} \right\}.$$

into $(L_\psi(\Omega))^q$ for the modular convergence. Furthermore if $c(\cdot) \in E_\gamma(\Omega)$ and $\gamma \prec\prec \psi$ then N_f is strongly continuous from $\mathcal{P}\left(E_\varphi(\Omega), \frac{1}{k_2}\right)^p$ to $(E_\gamma(\Omega))^q$

5. Approximation and trace results

In this section, Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N with the segment property and I is a subinterval of \mathbb{R} (both possibly unbounded) and $Q = \Omega \times I$. It is easy to see that Q also satisfies Lipschitz domain. We say that $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q) + L^2(Q)$ for the modular convergence if we can write

$$u_n = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha u_n^\alpha + u_n^0 \text{ and } u = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} D_x^\alpha u^\alpha + u^0,$$

with $u_n^\alpha \rightarrow u^\alpha$ in $L_\psi(Q)$ for the modular convergence for all $|\alpha| \leq 1$, and $u_n^0 \rightarrow u^0$ strongly in $L^2(Q)$. We shall prove the following approximation theorem, which plays a fundamental role when the existence of solutions for parabolic problems is proved. [2] Let φ be an Musielak-Orlicz function satisfies the assumption (4.1). If $u \in W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ (respectively $u \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$) and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q) + L^1(Q)$, then there exists a sequence $(v_j) \in \mathcal{D}(\overline{Q})$ (respectively $\mathcal{D}(\overline{I}, \mathcal{D}(\Omega))$) such that $v_j \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ and $\frac{\partial v_j}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ in $W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q) + L^1(Q)$ for the modular convergence.

Lemma 5.1. [2] *Let $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$ and let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N . Then*

$$\left\{ u \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(\Omega \times]a, b[) : \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_\psi(\Omega \times]a, b[) + L^1(\Omega \times]a, b[) \right\}$$

is a subset of $\mathcal{C}(]a, b[, L^1(\Omega))$.

In order to deal with the time derivative, we introduce a time mollification of a function $u \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$. Thus we define, for all $\mu > 0$ and all $(x, t) \in Q$

$$u_\mu(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^t \tilde{u}(x, \sigma) \exp(\mu(\sigma - t)) d\sigma \tag{5.1}$$

where $\tilde{u}(x, t) = u(x, t)\chi_{[0, T]}(t)$.

Throughout the paper the index $\tilde{\cdot}$ always indicates this mollification.

Lemma 5.2. [2] *If $u \in L_\varphi(Q)$ then u_μ is measurable in Q and $\frac{\partial u_\mu}{\partial t} = \mu(u - u_\mu)$ and if $u \in K_\varphi(Q)$ then*

$$\int_Q \varphi(x, u_\mu) dx dt \leq \int_Q \varphi(x, u) dx dt.$$

Lemma 5.3. 1. *If $u \in L_\varphi(Q)$ then $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ for the modular convergence in $L_\varphi(Q)$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.*

2. *If $u \in W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ then $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ for the modular convergence in $W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof

1. Let $(v_k)_k \subset \mathcal{D}(Q)$ such that $v_k \rightarrow u$ in $L_\varphi(Q)$ for the modular convergence. Let $\lambda > 0$ large enough such that

$$\frac{u}{\lambda} \in K_\varphi(Q), \quad \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|v_k - u|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty.$$

On the one hand, for a.e $(x, t) \in Q$, we have

$$\left| (v_k)_\mu(x, t) - v_k(x, t) \right| = \frac{1}{\mu} \left| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t}(x, t) \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q)}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - u|}{3\lambda}\right) dx dt &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - (v_k)_\mu|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|(v_k)_\mu - v_k|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|v_k - u|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|(u - v_k)_\mu|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|(v_k)_\mu - v_k|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|v_k - u|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - u|}{3\lambda}\right) dx dt &\leq \frac{2}{3} \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|v_k - u|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt \\ &+ \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{\lambda\mu} \left\| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q)}\right) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k_0 > 0$ such that $\forall k > k_0$, we have

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|v_k - u|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt < \varepsilon$$

and there exists $\mu_0 > 0$ such that $\forall \mu > \mu_0$ and for all $k > k_0$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda\mu} \left\| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \leq 1$$

Then, we get

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - u|}{3\lambda}\right) dxdt \leq \varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda\mu} \left\| \frac{\partial v_k}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^\infty(Q)} T \int_\Omega \varphi(x, 1) dxdt$$

Finely, by using (iii) of Lemma 4.1 and by letting $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists $\mu_1 > 0$ such that

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - u|}{3\lambda}\right) dxdt \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } \mu > \mu_1.$$

2. Since for all indice α such that $|\alpha| \leq 1$, we have $D_x^\alpha(u_\mu) = (D_x^\alpha u)_\mu$, consequently, the first part above applied on each $D_x^\alpha u$, gives the result.

□

Remark 5.1. If $u \in E_\varphi(Q)$, we can choose λ arbitrary small since $\mathcal{D}(Q)$ is (norm) dense in $E_\varphi(Q)$.

Thus, for all $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u_\mu - u|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow +\infty.$$

and $u_\mu \rightarrow u$ strongly in $E_\varphi(Q)$. Idem for $W^{1,x}E_\varphi(Q)$.

Lemma 5.4. If $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ strongly (resp., for the modular convergence), then $(u_n)_\mu \rightarrow u_\mu$ strongly (resp., for the modular convergence).

Proof For all $\lambda > 0$ (resp., for some $\lambda > 0$),

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|D_x^\alpha((u_n)_\mu) - D_x^\alpha(u)_\mu|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt \rightarrow \int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|D_x^\alpha u_n - D_x^\alpha u|}{\lambda}\right) dxdt \rightarrow 0,$$

as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Then $(u_n)_\mu \rightarrow u_\mu$ in $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ strongly (resp., for the modular convergence). □

6. Compactness Results

For each $h > 0$, define the usual translated $\tau_h f$ of the function f by $\tau_h f(t) = f(t + h)$.

If f is defined on $[0, T]$ then $\tau_h f$ is defined on $[-h, T - h]$.

First of all, recall the following compactness results proved by the authors in [2].

Lemma 6.1. *Let φ be a Musielak function. Let Y be a Banach space such that the following continuous imbedding holds $L^1(\Omega) \subset Y$. Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ and all $\lambda > 0$, there is $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $u \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ with $\frac{|\nabla u|}{\lambda} \in K_\varphi(Q)$, we have*

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \varepsilon \lambda \left(\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt + T \right) + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^1(0,T,Y)}.$$

Proof Since $W_0^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$ with compact imbedding, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there is $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $v \in W_0^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$

$$\|v\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla v\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|v\|_Y. \tag{6.1}$$

Indeed, if the above assertion holds false, there is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $v_n \in W_0^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ such that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq \varepsilon_0 \|\nabla v_n\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} + n \|v_n\|_Y.$$

This gives, by setting $w_n = \frac{v_n}{\|\nabla v_n\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)}}$,

$$\|w_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \geq \varepsilon_0 + n \|w_n\|_Y, \quad \|\nabla w_n\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Since $(w_n)_n$ is bounded in $W_0^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ then for a subsequence

$$w_n \rightharpoonup w \text{ in } W_0^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi) \text{ and strongly in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Thus, $\|w_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$ is bounded and $\|w_n\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

We conclude $w_n \rightarrow 0$ in Y and that $w = 0$ implying that $\varepsilon_0 \leq \|w_n\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$, a contradiction.

Using $v = u(t)$ in (6.1) for all $u \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ with $\frac{|\nabla u|}{\lambda} \in K_\varphi(Q)$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} + C_\varepsilon \|u(t)\|_Y.$$

Since $\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{\lambda}\right) dx dt < \infty$, we have thanks to Fubini's theorem $\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{\lambda}\right) dx < \infty$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$ and then

$$\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} \leq \lambda \left(\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{\lambda}\right) dx + 1 \right),$$

which implies that

$$\|u(t)\|_{L_\varphi(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon \lambda \left(\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x, \frac{|\nabla u(x,t)|}{\lambda}\right) dx + 1 \right) + C_\varepsilon \|u(t)\|_Y.$$

Integrating this over $[0, T]$ yields

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \varepsilon \lambda \left(\int_Q \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\nabla u|}{\lambda} \right) dx dt + T \right) + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^1(0, T, Y)}.$$

□

We also prove the following lemma which allows us to enlarge the space Y whenever necessary.

Lemma 6.2. *If F is bounded in $W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ and is relatively compact in $L^1(0, T, Y)$ then F is relatively compact in $L^1(Q)$ (and also in $E_\gamma(Q)$ for all Musielak function $\gamma \ll \varphi$).*

Proof Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Let $C > 0$ be such that $\int_Q \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\nabla f|}{C} \right) dx dt \leq 1$ for all $f \in F$.

By the previous lemma, there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for all $u \in W_0^1L_\varphi(Q)$ with $\frac{|\nabla u|}{C} \in K_\varphi(Q)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon C}{4C(1+T)} \left(\int_\Omega \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\nabla u|}{2C} \right) dx + T \right) + C_\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^1(0, T, Y)}.$$

Moreover, there exists a finite sequence $(f_i)_i$ in F satisfying

$$\forall f \in F, \exists f_i \text{ such that } \|f - f_i\|_{L^1(0, T, Y)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2C_\varepsilon}.$$

So that,

$$\|f - f_i\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+T)} \left(\int_Q \varphi \left(x, \frac{|\nabla f - \nabla f_i|}{2C} \right) dx dt + T \right) + C_\varepsilon \|f - f_i\|_{L^1(0, T, Y)} \leq \varepsilon.$$

and hence F is relatively compact in $L^1(Q)$.

Since $\gamma \ll \varphi$ then by using Vitali's theorem, it is easy to see that F is relatively compact in $E_\gamma(Q)$. □

Remark 6.1. If $F \subset L^1(0, T, B)$ is such that $\left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} : f \in F \right\}$ is bounded in $F \subset L^1(0, T, B)$ then $\|\tau_h f - f\|_{L^1(0, T, B)} \rightarrow 0$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ uniformly with respect to $f \in F$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let φ be a Musielak function. If F is bounded in $W^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q)$ and $\left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} : f \in F \right\}$ is bounded in $W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q)$, then F is relatively compact in $L^1(Q)$.*

Proof Let γ and θ be Musielak functions such that $\gamma \ll \varphi$ and $\theta \ll \varphi$ near infinity.

For all $0 < t_1 < t_2 < T$ and all $f \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t)dt \right\|_{W_0^1 E_\gamma(\Omega)} &\leq \int_0^T \|f(t)\|_{W_0^1 E_\gamma(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C_1 \|f\|_{W_0^{1,x} E_\gamma(Q)} \\ &\leq C_2 \|f\|_{W_0^{1,x} E_\varphi(Q)} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the following continuous imbedding

$$W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q) \subset W_0^{1,x} E_\gamma(Q) \subset L^1(0, T, W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)).$$

Since the imbedding $W_0^1 L_\gamma(\Omega) \subset L^1(\Omega)$ is compact we deduce that $(\int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(t)dt)_{f \in F}$ is relatively compact in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $W^{-1,1}(\Omega)$ as well.

On the other hand, $\left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} : f \in F \right\}$ is bounded in $W^{-1,x} L_\psi(Q)$ and $L^1(0, T, W^{-1,1}(\Omega))$ as well, since

$$W^{-1,x} L_\psi(Q) \subset W^{-1,x} E_\theta(Q) \subset L^1(0, T, W^{-1} E_\theta(\Omega)) \subset L^1(0, T, W^{-1,1}(\Omega)),$$

with continuous imbedding. By Remark 3 of [12], we deduce that $\|\tau_h f - f\|_{L^1(0,T,W^{-1,1}(\Omega))} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $f \in F$ when $h \rightarrow +\infty$ and by using Theorem 2 of [12], F is relatively compact in $L^1(0, T, W^{-1,1}(\Omega))$. Since $L^1(\Omega) \subset W^{-1,1}(\Omega)$ with continuous imbedding we can apply Lemma 6.2 to conclude that F is relatively compact in $L^1(Q)$. \square

Lemma 6.4. *Let φ be a Musielak function.*

Let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence of $W^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \text{ weakly in } W^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi L_\psi)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} = h_n + k_n \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(Q)$$

with $(h_n)_n$ bounded in $W^{-1,x} L_\psi(Q)$ and $(k_n)_n$ bounded in the space $\mathcal{M}(Q)$ set of measures on Q .

then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^1_{loc}(Q)$.

If further $u_n \in W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ then $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$.

Proof It is easily adapted from that given in [8] by using Theorem 4.4 and Remark 4.3 instead of Lemma 8 of [20]. \square

7. Main results

For $k > 0$ we define the truncation at height k : $T_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k. \\ k \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

We note also

$$S_k(r) = \int_0^r T_k(\sigma) d\sigma = \begin{cases} \frac{r^2}{2} & \text{if } |r| \leq k, \\ k|r| - \frac{r^2}{2} & \text{if } |r| > k. \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

We define

$$T_0^{1,\varphi}(Q) = \left\{ u : \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable such that } T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \forall k > 0 \right\}$$

We consider the following boundary value problem

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + A(u) + g(x, t, u, \nabla u) = f - \operatorname{div}(F) & \text{in } Q, \\ u \equiv 0 & \text{on } \partial Q = \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ u(\cdot, 0) = u_0 & \text{on } \Omega. \end{cases}$$

We will prove the following existence theorem

Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^N , φ and ψ be two complementary Musielak-Orlicz functions satisfying the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 and $\varphi(x, t)$ decreases with respect to one of coordinate of x , we assume also that (3.1)-(3.6) and (3.7) hold true. Then the problem (\mathcal{P}) has at least one entropy solution of the following sense

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} u \in T_0^{1,\varphi}(Q) \cap W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q), S_k(u) \in L^1(Q), g(\cdot, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(Q) \\ \int_\Omega S_k(u(T) - v(T)) dx + \left\langle \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, T_k(u - v) \right\rangle + \int_Q a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla T_k(u - v) dx dt \\ + \int_Q g(x, t, u, \nabla u) T_k(u - v) dx dt \\ \leq \int_Q f T_k(u - v) dx dt + \int_Q F \cdot \nabla T_k(u - v) dx dt + \int_\Omega S_k(u_0 - v(0)) dx \\ \forall v \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \cap L^\infty(Q) \text{ such that } \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x}L_\psi(Q) + L^1(Q). \end{array} \right.$$

Proof

Step 1 : Approximate problems

Consider the following approximate problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_n) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} u_n \in W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q), \quad u_n(\cdot, 0) = u_{0n} \text{ in } \partial Q = \partial\Omega \times [0, T], \\ \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)) + g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) = f_n - \operatorname{div}(F) \quad \text{in } Q, \end{array} \right.$$

where we have set $g_n(x, t, s, \xi) = T_n(g(x, t, s, \xi))$. Moreover, the sequence $(f_n) \subset \mathcal{D}(Q)$ is such that $f_n \longrightarrow f$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$ and $\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1(Q)}$ and $(u_{0n}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is such that $u_{0n} \longrightarrow u_0$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $\|u_{0n}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^1(\Omega)}$. Thanks to theorem 5.1 of [2], there exists at least one solution u_n of problem (\mathcal{P}_n) .

Step 2 : A priori estimates

In this section we denote by c_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$ a constants not depends on k and n . For $k > 0$, consider the test function $T_k(u_n)$ in (\mathcal{P}_n) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n) dxdt &+ \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &+ \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &= \int_Q f_n T_k(u_n) dxdt + \int_Q F \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^1(Q)} k + \int_Q F \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

On the one hand, let $0 < p < \min(\alpha, 1)$, (where α is the constant of (3.3)), then by using the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q F \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt &= \int_Q \frac{1}{p} F \cdot p \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &\leq \int_Q \psi\left(x, \frac{1}{p} |F|\right) dxdt \\ &\quad + p \int_Q \varphi\left(x, |\nabla T_k(u_n)|\right) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

Combining (7.3) and (7.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n) dxdt &+ \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &+ \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n) dxdt \leq c_1 k + c_2 + p \int_Q \varphi\left(x, |\nabla T_k(u_n)|\right) dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

Using now (3.5) and (3.3) which implies that

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n) dxdt + \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \leq c_1 k + c_2. \quad (7.6)$$

In other hand, the first term of the left hand side of the last inequality, reads as

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n) dxdt = \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(T)) dx - \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_{0n}) dx,$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_n(T)) dx &+ \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &\leq c_1 k + c_2 + \int_{\Omega} S_k(u_{0n}) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $S_k(\sigma) > 0$, $|S_k(u_{0n})| \leq k|u_{0n}|$, then (7.6) can be write as

$$\frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n) dx dt \leq c_3 k + c_2. \quad (7.7)$$

Hence by using (3.3), we have

$$\int_Q \varphi(x, |\nabla T_k(u_n)|) dx dt \leq c_4 k + c_5.$$

By using the Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\int_Q \varphi\left(x, \frac{|T_k(u_n)|}{c}\right) dx \leq \int_Q \varphi(x, |\nabla T_k(u_n)|) dx \leq c_4 k + c_5, \quad (7.8)$$

where c is the constant of Lemma 4.5.

Then $(T_k(u_n))_n$ and $(\nabla T_k(u_n))_n$ are bounded in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$, hence $(T_k(u_n))_n$ is bounded in $W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$, there exist some $v_k \in W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ such that

$$\begin{cases} T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup v_k & \text{weakly in } W_0^1 L_\varphi(\Omega) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi) \\ T_k(u_n) \rightarrow v_k & \text{strongly in } E_\varphi(\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (7.9)$$

Step 3 : Convergence in measure of $(u_n)_n$

Let $k > 0$ large enough, by using (7.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}\{|u_n| > k\} &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{k}{\lambda})} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\}} \varphi(x, \frac{k}{\lambda}) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{k}{\lambda})} \int_Q \varphi(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} |T_k(u_n)|) dx dt \\ &\leq \frac{c_4 k + c_5}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{k}{\lambda})} \quad \forall n, \quad \forall k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where c_4 is a constant not dependent on k , hence

$$\text{meas}\{|u_n| > k\} \leq \frac{c_4 k + c_5}{\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{k}{\lambda})} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

For every $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{meas}\{|u_n - u_m| > \lambda\} &\leq \text{meas}\{|u_n| > k\} \\ &\quad + \text{meas}\{|u_m| > k\} \\ &\quad + \text{meas}\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > \lambda\}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.10)$$

Consequently, by (7.8) we can assume that $(T_k(u_n))_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure in Q .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then by (7.10) there exists some $k = k(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\text{meas}\{|u_n - u_m| > \lambda\} < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } n, m \geq h_0(k(\varepsilon), \lambda).$$

Which means that $(u_n)_n$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure in Q , thus converge almost every where to some measurable functions u . Then

$$\begin{cases} T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) & \text{weakly in } W_0^{1,x}L_\varphi(Q) \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_\varphi, \Pi E_\psi) \\ T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow T_k(u) & \text{strongly in } E_\varphi(Q). \end{cases} \tag{7.11}$$

Step 4 : Boundedness of $(a(\cdot, \cdot, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)))_n$ in $(L_\psi(Q))^N$

Let $w \in (E_\varphi(Q))^N$ be arbitrary such that $\|w\|_{\varphi,Q} \leq 1$, by (3.2) we have

$$\left(a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \frac{w}{\nu}) \right) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \frac{w}{\nu}) > 0.$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \frac{w}{\nu} dxdt &\leq \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\ &\quad - \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \frac{w}{\nu}) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \frac{w}{\nu}) dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

Thanks to (7.7), we have

$$\int_Q a(x, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \leq c_3k + c_2.$$

On the other hand, for λ large enough ($\lambda > \beta$), we have by using (3.1).

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q \psi_x \left(\left| \frac{a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \frac{w}{\nu})}{3\lambda} \right| \right) dxdt \\ &\leq \int_Q \psi_x \left(\frac{\beta \left(d(x) + \psi_x^{-1}(\gamma(x, \nu|T_k(u_n)|)) + \psi_x^{-1}(\varphi(x, |w|)) \right)}{3\lambda} \right) dxdt \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \int_Q \psi_x \left(\frac{h_1(x, t) + \psi_x^{-1}(\gamma(x, \nu|T_k(u_n)|)) + \psi_x^{-1}(\varphi(x, |w|))}{3} \right) dxdt \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{3\lambda} \left(\int_Q \psi_x(h_1(x, t)) dxdt + \int_Q \gamma(x, \nu|T_k(u_n)|) dxdt + \int_Q \varphi(x, |w|) dxdt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{3\lambda} \left(\int_Q \psi_x(h_1(x, t)) dxdt + \int_Q \gamma(x, \nu k) dxdt + \int_Q \varphi(x, |w|) dxdt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since γ grows essentially less rapidly than φ near infinity ad by using the Remark 2.1, there exists $r(k) > 0$ such that $\gamma(x, \nu k) \leq r(k)\varphi(x, 1)$ and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_Q \psi_x \left(\frac{a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \frac{w}{\nu})}{3\lambda} \right) dxdt \\ &\leq \frac{\beta}{3\lambda} \left(\int_Q \psi_x(h_1(x, t)) dxdt + r(k) \int_Q \varphi(x, 1) dxdt + \int_Q \varphi(x, |w|) dxdt \right). \end{aligned}$$

hence $a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \frac{w}{\nu})$ is bounded in $(L_\psi(Q))^N$.

Which implies that second term of the right hand side of (7.12) is bounded, consequently we obtain

$$\int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) w dx dt \leq c_6(k), \quad \text{for all } w \in (L^\varphi(Q))^N \text{ with } \|w\|_{\varphi, Q} \leq 1.$$

Hence by the theorem of Banach Steinhaus the sequence $(a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)))_n$ remains bounded in $(L_\psi(Q))^N$.

Which implies that, for all $k > 0$ there exists a function $h_k \in (L_\psi(Q))^N$ such that

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup h_k \text{ weakly-star in } (L_\psi(Q))^N \text{ for } \sigma(\Pi L_\psi, \Pi E\varphi). \tag{7.13}$$

Step 5 : Modular convergence of truncations

For the sake of simplicity, we will write only $\varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s)$ to mean all quantities (possibly different) such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{j \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{\mu \rightarrow +\infty} \lim_{s \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s) = 0.$$

Since $T_k(u) \in W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ then there exists a sequence $(\alpha_k^j) \subset D(Q)$ such that $(\alpha_k^j) \rightarrow T_k(u)$ for the modular convergence in $W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$. For the remaining of this article, χ_s and $\chi_{j,s}$ will denoted respectively the characteristic functions of the sets $Q_s = \{(x, t) \in Q : |\nabla T_k(u(x, t))| \leq s\}$ and $Q_{j,s} = \{(x, t) \in Q : |\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j(x, t))| \leq s\}$.

Taking now $T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)$ as test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\ & \leq \|f\|_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} F \cdot \nabla T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Let $0 < p < \min(1, \alpha)$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\ & \leq \|f\|_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt \\ & + p \int_Q \varphi(x, |\nabla T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)|) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using now (3.3) on the last term of the last inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\ & + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\ & \leq \|f\|_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dxdt \\ & + \frac{p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, T_{k+\eta}(u_n), \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n)) \nabla u_n dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that,

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \tag{7.14}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & + \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, T_{k+\eta}(u_n), \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n)) \nabla u_n dxdt \\ & + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\ & \leq c_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dxdt. \end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

The first term of the left hand side of the last equality reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt & = \int_Q \left(\frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu}{\partial t} \right) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\ & + \int_Q \frac{\partial T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

The second term of the last equality can be easily to see that is positive and the third term can be written as

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt = \mu \int_Q (T_k(\alpha_k^j) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt,$$

thus by letting $n, j \rightarrow +\infty$, and since $(\alpha_k^j) \rightarrow T_k(u)$ a.e. in Q and by using Lebesgue Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q (T_k(\alpha_k^j) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt & = \int_Q (T_k(u) - T_k(u)_\mu) \dots \\ & \dots T_\eta(u - T_k(u)_\mu) dxdt + \varepsilon(n, j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\int_Q \frac{\partial T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu}{\partial t} T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \geq \varepsilon(n, j).$$

Then, (7.14) can be write as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\
& + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \leq c_1 \eta \\
& + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dxdt + \varepsilon(n, j).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.16}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\
& = \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{j,s}) dxdt \\
& + \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n dxdt \\
& - \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| > s\}} dxdt
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the fact that

$$\int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla u_n dxdt \geq 0$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{j,s}) dxdt \\
& + \int_Q g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dxdt \\
& \leq c_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dxdt \\
& + \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| > s\}} dxdt \\
& + \varepsilon(n, j)
\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

Now, using (3.5) and the fact that $T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)$ has the same sign of u_n on

the set $\{|u_n| > k\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{j,s}) dx dt \\
 & + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu) dx dt \\
 & \leq c_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt \\
 & + \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| > s\}} dx dt \\
 & + \varepsilon(n, j)
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

Hence, by using (3.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{j,s}) dx dt \\
 & \leq c_1 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt \\
 & + \frac{\alpha - p}{\alpha} \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| > s\}} dx dt \\
 & + \varepsilon(n, j) \\
 & + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq k\}} b_k \left(h_2(x, t) + \varphi(x, |\nabla T_k(u_n)|) \right) |T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| dx dt,
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.19}$$

where $b_k = \sup\{b(s) : |s| \leq k\}$.

Using now (7.8), there exists a constant $c_3 > 0$ depends on k such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{j,s}) dx dt \\
 & \leq c_3 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt \\
 & + \int_{\{|u_n| > k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| > s\}} dx dt \\
 & + \varepsilon(n, j).
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.20}$$

Since $a(x, t, T_{k+\eta}(u_n), \nabla T_{k+\eta}(u_n)) \rightharpoonup h_{k+\eta}$ weakly-star in $(L_\psi(Q))^N$ for $\sigma(\Pi L_\psi, \Pi E_\varphi)$,

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n|>k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)| > s\}} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\{|u|>k\} \cap \{|u - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} h_{k+\eta} \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)| > s\}} dx dt + \varepsilon(n). \end{aligned}$$

Now, letting j to infinity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n|>k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)| > s\}} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\{|u|>k\} \cap \{|u - T_k(u)| < \eta\}} h_{k+\eta} \cdot \nabla T_k(u) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(u)| > s\}} dx dt + \varepsilon(n, j). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n|>k\} \cap \{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)| > s\}} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\{|u|>k\} \cap \{|u - T_k(u)| < \eta\}} h_{k+\eta} \cdot \nabla T_k(u) \chi_{\{|\nabla T_k(u)| > s\}} dx dt + \varepsilon(n, j, \mu) \\ &= \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s). \end{aligned}$$

Then (7.20) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)) \chi_{j,s} dx dt \\ & \leq c_3 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j))| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt + \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s). \end{aligned} \tag{7.21}$$

On the other hand, remark that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)) \chi_{j,s} dx dt \\ &= \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)) \chi_{j,s} dx dt \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \cdots \\ &\cdots (\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)) \chi_{j,s} - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s} dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{7.22}$$

for the second term of the last inequality, we have obviously that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j)) \chi_{j,s} dx dt \\ &= \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s). \end{aligned}$$

Then (7.21) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s}) dx dt \\ & \leq c_3 \eta + \int_{\{|T_\eta(u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu)| < \eta\}} \psi(x, \frac{|F|}{p}) dx dt + \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s). \end{aligned} \quad (7.23)$$

Hence by letting η to zero, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) (\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s}) dx dt \\ & \leq \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s, \eta). \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

Now, let $0 < \theta < 1$, by applying the Young's inequality with $p = \frac{1}{\theta}$ and $\frac{1}{1-\theta}$, $y_n = (x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n))$, $y = (x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u))$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_\tau \cap \{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} \left([a(y_n) - a(y)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] \right)^\theta dx dt \\ & = \int_{Q_\tau} \left([a(y_n) - a(y)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] \right)^\theta \chi_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} dx dt \\ & \leq c \text{meas} \left\{ |T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta \right\}^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \\ & + c \left(\int_{Q_\tau \cap \{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} [a(y_n) - a(y)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] dx dt \right)^\theta. \end{aligned} \quad (7.25)$$

But we have for $s > \tau$, $y_\chi = (x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s)$ and $y_\alpha = (x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_\tau \cap \{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} [a(y_n) - a(y)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} [a(y_n) - a(y_\chi)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s] dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} [a(y_n) - a(y_\alpha)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s}] dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_k^j)_\mu| < \eta\}} a(y_n) [\nabla T_k(\alpha_k^j) \chi_{j,s} - \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s] dx dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} [a(y_\alpha) - a(y_\chi)] \nabla T_k(u_n) dxdt \\
 & - \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(y_\alpha) \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s} dxdt \\
 & + \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s) \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s dxdt \\
 & = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5.
 \end{aligned} \tag{7.26}$$

We shall go to limit as n, j, μ and s to infinity in the last fifth integrals of the last side.

Starting by J_1 , one has

$$J_1 \leq \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, \eta) - \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(y_\alpha) [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}] dxdt.$$

Since $a(y_\alpha)$ converge strongly to $a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s})$ in $(E_\psi(Q))^N$ and $\nabla T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup \nabla T_k(u)$ weakly in $(L_\varphi(Q))^N$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(y_\alpha) [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}] dxdt \\
 & = \int_{\{|T_k(u) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}) [\nabla T_k(u) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}] dxdt \\
 & + \varepsilon(n).
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives by letting $j \rightarrow \infty, \mu \rightarrow \infty$ and $s \rightarrow \infty$ respectively

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(y_\alpha) [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}] dxdt \\
 & = \int_{\{|T_k(u) - T_k(\alpha_j^k)|_\mu < \eta\}} a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s) [\nabla T_k(u) - \nabla T_k(\alpha_j^k) \chi_{j,s}] dxdt \\
 & + \varepsilon(n, j) \\
 & = \int_Q a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s) [\nabla T_k(u) - \nabla T_k(u) \chi_s] dxdt + \varepsilon(n, j, \mu) \\
 & = \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$J_1 = \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s, \eta). \tag{7.27}$$

Similarly, we get

$$J_2 = J_3 = J_4 = J_5 = \varepsilon(n, j, \mu, s, \eta). \tag{7.28}$$

Combining (7.25)-(7.28), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{Q_\tau} \left([a(y_n) - a(y)] \times [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] \right)^\theta dxdt = 0.$$

and, like a same argument in [3], we have

$$\nabla T_k(u_n) \longrightarrow \nabla T_k(u) \text{ as } n \longrightarrow +\infty \text{ for the modular convergence,} \tag{7.29}$$

Step 6 : Compactness of the nonlinearities

In this step, we need to prove that

$$g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \longrightarrow g(x, t, u, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q). \tag{7.30}$$

By virtue of (7.29), one has

$$g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \longrightarrow g(x, t, u, \nabla u) \text{ a.e. in } Q. \tag{7.31}$$

Let E be measurable subset of Q and let $m > 0$. Using (3.3) and (3.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_E |g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dxdt \\ &= \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq m\}} |g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dxdt + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| > m\}} |g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)| dxdt \\ &\leq b(m) \int_E h_2(x, t) dxdt + b(m) \int_E a(x, t, T_m(u_n), \nabla T_m(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_m(u_n) dxdt \\ &+ \frac{1}{m} \int_E g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Taking u_n as a test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) and using the same argument as in step 2, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\int_E g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dxdt \leq c.$$

Then, we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{m} \int_E g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) u_n dxdt = 0.$$

Thanks to (7.29) the sequence $(a(x, t, T_m(u_n), \nabla T_m(u_n)) \cdot T_m(u_n))_n$ is equi-integrable, the fact which allows us to get

$$\lim_{|E| \rightarrow 0} \sup_n \int_E a(x, t, T_m(u_n), \nabla T_m(u_n)) \cdot \nabla T_m(u_n) dxdt = 0.$$

This shows that $g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)$ is equi-integrable. Thus, Vitali's theorem implies that $g(x, t, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(Q)$ and

$$g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \longrightarrow g(x, t, u, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q).$$

Step 7 : Passage to the limit

Let $v \in W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(Q)$ such that $\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x} L_\psi(Q) + L^1(Q)$.
There exists a prolongation \bar{v} of v such that (see the proof of lemma)

$$\begin{cases} \bar{v} = v & \text{on } Q, \\ \bar{v} \in W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \cap L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}), \\ \text{and } \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t} \in W^{-1,x} L_\psi(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) + L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}). \end{cases}$$

By theorem , there exists a sequence $(w_j)_j$ in $D(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $w_j \rightarrow \bar{v}$ in $W_0^{1,x} L_\varphi(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\frac{\partial w_j}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{v}}{\partial t}$ in $W^{-1,x} L_\psi(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}) + L^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ for the modular convergence and $\|w_j\|_{\infty, Q} \leq (N+2)\|v\|_{\infty, Q}$.

Using $T_k(u_n - w_j)\chi_{[0, \tau]}$ as a test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , then for every $\tau \in [0, T]$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt \\ & + \int_{Q_\tau} g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{Q_\tau} f_n T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} F \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.32)$$

For the first term of (7.32), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t} T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt & = \left[\int_{\Omega} T_k(u_n - w_j) dx \right]_0^\tau \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial t} T_k(u_n - w_j) dx dt \\ & = \left[\int_{\Omega} T_k(u - w_j) dx \right]_0^\tau \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial w_j}{\partial t} T_k(u - w_j) dx dt + \varepsilon(n) \\ & = \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} T_k(u - w_j) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

for the second term of (7.32), we have if $|u_n| > \lambda$ then $|u_n - w_j| \geq |u_n| - \|w_j\|_\infty > k$,

therefore $\{|u_n - w_j| \leq k\} \subseteq \{|u_n| \leq k + (N + 2)\|v\|_\infty\}$, which implies that, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla T_k(u_n - w_j) \, dxdt \\ & \geq \int_Q a(y_{\parallel} v) (\nabla T_{k+(N+2)\|v\|_\infty}(u) - \nabla w_j) \chi_{\{|u-v| \leq k\}} \, dxdt, \\ & = \int_Q a(x, t, u, \nabla u) (\nabla u - \nabla w_j) \chi_{\{|u-w_j| \leq k\}} \, dxdt \\ & = \int_Q a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt, \end{aligned} \quad (7.33)$$

where $y_{\parallel} v = (x, t, T_{k+(N+2)\|v\|_\infty}(u), \nabla T_{k+(N+2)\|v\|_\infty}(u))$. Consequently, by using the strong convergence of $(g_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n))_n$ and $((f_n))_n$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q_\tau} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} g(x, t, u, \nabla u) T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt \\ & \leq \int_{Q_\tau} f T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} F \cdot \nabla T_k(u - w_j) \, dxdt. \end{aligned} \quad (7.34)$$

Thus, by using the modular convergence of j , we achieve this step.

As a conclusion of Step 1 to Step 7, the proof of Theorem 7 is complete. \square

References

1. M. L. Ahmed Oubeid, A. Benkirane and M. Ould Mohamedhen val, *Nonlinear elliptic equations involving measur data in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Jour. Abstr. Differ. Equ. Appl. Vol. 4 (2013), no. 1, pp.43-57.
2. M. L. Ahmed Oubeid, A. Benkirane, and M. Sidi El Vally, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic problems in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat.v. 33 1 (2015), 191-223.
3. M. Ait khellou, A. Benkirane, S.M. Douiri, *An inequality of type Poincaré in Musielak spaces and application to some non-linear elliptic problems with L^1 data*, Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations. Vol. 60, N 9, pp. 1217-1242 (2015).
4. P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J.L. Vazquez, *An L^1 -theory of existence and uniqueness of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa - Classe di Scienze 22 (1995), pp. 241-273.
5. A. Benkirane, M. Sidi El Vally (Ould Mohamedhen Val), *Some approximation properties in Musielak Orlicz Sobolev spaces*, Thai.J. Math. 10 (2012), 371-381.
6. A. Benkirane, M. Sidi El Vally (Ould Mohamedhen val), *Variational inequalities in Musielak Orlicz Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin 21 (2014), 787-811.

7. L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure as data*, J. Funct. Anal. 87 (1989) 149-169.
8. L. Boccardo and F. Murat, *Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients*, Nonlinear Anal. 19 (6)(1992) 581-597.
9. A. Dall'Aglio, L. Orsina, *Nonlinear parabolic equations with natural growth conditions and L^1 data*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications 27 (1996), pp. 5973.
10. T. Donaldson, *Inhomogeneous Orlicz Sobolev spaces and nonlinear parabolic initial boundary value problems*, J. Differential Equations 16 (1974) 201-256.
11. M.S.B. Elemine Vall, A.Ahmed, A.Touzani, A.Benkirane, *Existence of entropy solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations in Musielak framework with L^1 data*, Article accepted for Publication and to Appear in the the Bulletin of Parana's Mathematical Society.
12. A. Elmahi, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic initial-boundary value problems in Orlicz spaces*, Electron. J. Differential Equations. 09 (2002) 203-220.
13. A. Elmahi, D. Meskine, *Parabolic equations in orlicz spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 72 (2) (2005) 410-428.
14. J.P. Gossez, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems for equations with rapidly (or slowly) increasing coefficients*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 190 (1974), 163-205.
15. Lars Diening, Petteri Harjulehto, Peter Hästö, Michael Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 2017. Springer, Heidelberg (2011).
16. J. Musielak, *Modular spaces and Orlicz spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1034 (1983).
17. A. Porretta, *Nonlinear equations with natural growth terms and measure data*, Electronic Journal of Differential Equations: Conference 09, 2002, Proceedings of the 2002-Fez Conference on Partial Differential Equations, Fez, Morocco, June 68, 2002, (2002), pp. 183-202.
18. J. Robert, *Inéquations variationnelles paraboliques fortement non linéaires*, J. Math. Pures Appl. 53 (1974) 299-321.
19. W. RUDIN, *Real and Complex Analysis*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1974.
20. J. Simon, *Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T, B)$* , Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl. 146 (1987) 65-96.

A.Talha, A. Benkirane, M.S.B. Elemine Vall,
 Laboratory LAMA, Department of Mathematics,
 Faculty of Sciences Dhar El Mahraz,
 University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah,
 P.O. Box 1796 Atlas, Fes 30000, Morocco.
 E-mail address: talha.abdous@gmail.com
 E-mail address: abd.benkirane@gmail.com
 E-mail address: saad2012bouh@gmail.com