



Existence of Renormalized Solutions for $p(x)$ -Parabolic Equations with three Unbounded Nonlinearities

Youssef Akdim, Nezha El gorch and Mounir Mekhour

ABSTRACT: In this article, we study the existence of a renormalized solution for the nonlinear $p(x)$ -parabolic problem associated to the equation:

$$\frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) + H(x, t, u, \nabla u) = f - \operatorname{div}F \text{ in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T)$$

with $f \in L^1(Q)$, $b(x, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$.

The main contribution of our work is to prove the existence of a renormalized solution in the Sobolev space with variable exponent. The critical growth condition on $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ is with respect to ∇u , no growth with respect to u and no sign condition or the coercivity condition.

Key Words: Variable exponent Sobolev, Young's Inequality, Renormalized Solution, Parabolic problems, Tree unbounded nonlinearities.

Contents

1 Introduction	225
2 Mathematical preliminaries on variable exponent Sobolev spaces	226
3 Essential Assumption	231
4 Existence Results.	233
5 APPENDIX	244
6 Example	251

1. Introduction

In the present paper we establish the existence of a renormalized solution for a class of nonlinear $p(x)$ -parabolic equation of the type:

$$(\mathcal{P}) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b(x, u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) + H(x, t, u, \nabla u) = f - \operatorname{div}F & \text{in } Q = \Omega \times (0, T) \\ b(x, u)|_{t=0} = b(x, u_0) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

In the problem (\mathcal{P}) , Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 1$), T is a positive real number, while $b(x, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$, $f \in L^1(Q)$ and $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$.

The operator $-\operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u))$ is a Leray–Lions operator defined on

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35J15, 35J70, 35J85.

$L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ (see assumption (3.3)-(3.5) of section 3) which is coercive $b(x, u)$ is an unbounded function of u , H is a non linear lower order term.

The notion of renormalized solutions was introduced by R. J. Diperna and P. L. Lions [12] for the study of the Boltzmann equation, it was then used by L. Boccardo and al [11] when the right hand side is in $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and by J. M Rakoston [16] when the right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega)$.

For the degenerated parabolic equations the existence of weak solutions have been proved by L. Aharouch and al [2] in the case where $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ is strictly monotone $H = 0$, $F = 0$ and $f \in L^{p'}(0, T, W^{-1,p'}(\Omega, W^*))$, see also the existence and uniqueness of a renormalized solution proved by Y. Akdim and al [5] in the case where $a(x, t, s, \xi)$ is independent of s , $H = 0$ and $F = 0$.

In the case $H(x, t, u, \nabla u) = \text{div}\phi(u)$ and $F = 0$, the existence of renormalized solution has been established by H. Redwane in the classical Sobolev space and in Orlicz space [20,22] and by Y. Akdim and al [4] in the degenerate Sobolev space without the sign condition and the coercivity condition on the term $H(x, t, u, \nabla u) = \text{div}(\phi(x, t, u))$ and $F = 0$, the existence of renormalized solutions has been established by A. Aberqi and al [1] in the classical Sobolev space.

Recently while $b(x, u) = u$, $a(x, t, u, \nabla u) = |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u$ and $F = 0$, C. Zhang and S. Zhou [24] proved the existence of renormalized and entropy solutions with L^1 -data and see also M. Bendahmane, P. Wittbold, A. Zimmermann [8] proved the existence of renormalized solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with L^1 -data. The notion was then adapted to an elliptic version of problem (P) by E. Azroul, M. B Benboubker and M. Rhoudaf [7] where the right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega) + W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $H(x, u, \nabla u)$ satisfying a sign condition on u .

It is our purpose to prove the existence of a renormalized solution of variable exponent Sobolev spaces for the problem (P) setting without the sign condition and without the coercivity condition, the critical growth condition on H is only with respect to ∇u and not with respect to u (see assumption H2), where the right hand side is assumed to satisfy: f belongs to $L^1(Q)$ and $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2 we collect some important propositions and results of variable exponent Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces that will be used throughout the paper. In Section 3 we make precise all the assumption on b, a, H, f and $b(x, u_0)$ and give the definition of a renormalized solution of the problem (P) for which our problem has a solution. In Section 4 we establish the existence of such a solution (Theorem 4.1). In Section 5 we give the proof of theorem 4.2, lemma 4.6 and proposition 4.8 (see appendix). Section 6 is devoted to an example which illustrates our abstract result.

2. Mathematical preliminaries on variable exponent Sobolev spaces

2-1 Sobolev space with exponent variable

In this section we recall some definitions and basic properties of the generalised Lebesgue–Sobolev spaces with variable exponent $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we refer to Fan and Zhao [13] for further properties of variable exponent Lebesgue - Sobolev spaces.

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 2)$, we say that a real-valued continuous function $p(\cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous in Ω if

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{C}{|\log |x - y||} \quad \forall x, y \in \bar{\Omega} \quad \text{such that } |x - y| < \frac{1}{2},$$

with possible different constant C . We denote $C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{\text{log-Hölder continuous function } p : \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ with } 1 < p^- \leq p^+ < N\}$, where

$$p^- = \min\{p(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\} \quad \text{and} \quad p^+ = \max\{p(x) : x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$$

we denote by $P(\Omega)$ the set of Lebesgue measurable function $P(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable}\}$ and $P^+(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty) \text{ measurable}\}$. We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space for $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u \in P(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty\},$$

this space is endowed with the (Luxembourg) norm define by the formula

$$\|u\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} |\frac{u(x)}{\lambda}|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1\}.$$

If $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$ then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space and therefore reflexive and if $p \in P^+(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, then $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is separable space. We denote by $L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ where $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p'(\cdot)} = 1$, see [14,23].

Proposition 2.1. (Young's Inequality) Let $p, p' \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$, where p' the conjugate, i.e., $\frac{1}{p(\cdot)} + \frac{1}{p'(\cdot)} = 1$. For all $a, b > 0$, we have

$$ab \leq \frac{a^{p(x)}}{p(x)} + \frac{b^{p'(x)}}{p'(x)}.$$

Proposition 2.2. (Generalised Hölder Inequality)see [13,18]

- i) For any functions $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have $|\int_{\Omega} uv dx| \leq (\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-}) \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)} \leq 2 \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \|v\|_{p'(\cdot)}$.
- ii) For all $p, q \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $p(x) \leq q(x)$ a.e. in Ω , we have $L^{q(\cdot)} \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}$ and the embedding is continuous.

Lemma 2.3. (See [13]) If we denote $\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx \quad \forall u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ then,

$$\min \left\{ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^-, \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^+ \right\} \leq \rho(u) \leq \max \left\{ \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^-, \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^+ \right\}.$$

Proposition 2.4. See([14,23]) For $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $\{u_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ then, the following assertions hold

$$u \neq 0 \Rightarrow [\|u\|_{p(x)} = \lambda \Leftrightarrow \rho\left(\frac{u}{\lambda}\right) = 1], \quad (2.1)$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Rightarrow \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+} \leq \rho(u) \leq \|u\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{p(\cdot)} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = 0, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|u_k\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)} = \infty \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_k) = \infty. \quad (2.5)$$

Lemma 2.5. . Let $f_n \rightarrow f$ a.e and $f_n \rightharpoonup f$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |f_n|^{p(x)} dx - \int_{\Omega} |f - f_n|^{p(x)} dx = \int_{\Omega} |f|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Theorem 2.6. For any function $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we have then, the following are equivalent assertions

i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{p(\cdot)} = 0$

ii) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_n - u) = 0$

iii) u_n converge to u in measure and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(u_n) = \rho(u)$.

Which share the same type of properties as $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, we define also the variable Sobolev space by

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ and } |\nabla u| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)\},$$

where the norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)} = \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

We denote by $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ in $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, i.e.,

$$W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \overline{C_0^\infty(\Omega)}^{W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)}$$

and we define the Sobolev exponent by $p^*(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ for $p(x) < N$.

Proposition 2.7. [14]

i) Assuming $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$ the spaces $W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces.

ii) If $q \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x) < p^*(x)$ for any $x \in \Omega$, then the embedding $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is continuous and compact.

iii) Poincaré inequality: there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq C \|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)} \quad \forall u \in W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Remark 2.8. By (iii) of Proposition 2.4, we deduce that $\|\nabla u\|_{p(\cdot)}$ and $\|u\|_{1,p(\cdot)}$ are equivalent norms in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

We will also use the standard notation for Bochner spaces, i.e., if $q \geq 1$ and X is a Banach space then $L^q(0, T; X)$ denotes the space of strongly measurable function $u : (0, T) \rightarrow X$ for which $t \mapsto \|u(t)\|_X \in L^q((0, T))$. Moreover, $C([0, T]; X)$ denotes the space of continuous function $u : [0, T] \rightarrow X$ endowed with the norm $\|u\|_{C([0, T]; X)} = \max_{t \in [0, T]} \|u(t)\|_X$.

$$L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)) = \left\{ u : (0, T) \rightarrow W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \text{ measurable; } \left(\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)}^{p^-} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} < \infty \right\}$$

and we define the space

$$L^\infty(0, T; X) = \left\{ u : (0, T) \rightarrow X \text{ measurable, } \exists C > 0 / \|u(t)\|_X \leq C \text{ a.e.} \right\},$$

where the norm is defined by

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; X)} = \inf \left\{ C > 0; \|u(t)\|_X \leq C \text{ a.e.} \right\}.$$

We introduce the functional space see [8]

$$V = \left\{ f \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)); |\nabla f| \in L^{p(\cdot)}(Q) \right\}, \tag{2.6}$$

which endowed with the norm

$$\|f\|_V = \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)}$$

or, the equivalent norm

$$\|f\|_V = \|f\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)},$$

is a separable and reflexive Banach space. The equivalence of the two norms is an easy consequence of the continuous embedding $L^{p(\cdot)}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and the Poincaré inequality. We state some further properties of V in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.9. Let V be defined as in (2.6) and its dual space be denote by V^* . Then,

i) we have the following continuous dense embeddings

$$L^{p^+}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)).$$

In particular, since $D(Q)$ is dense in $L^{p^+}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, it is dense in V and for the corresponding dual spaces, we have

$$L^{(p^-)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))^*) \hookrightarrow V^* \hookrightarrow L^{(p^+)'}(0, T; (W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))^*).$$

Note that, we have the following continuous dense embeddings

$$L^{p^\dagger}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(Q) \hookrightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)).$$

ii) One can represent the elements of V^* as follows: if $T \in V^*$, then there exists $F = (f_1, \dots, f_N) \in (L^{p(\cdot)}(Q))^N$ such that $T = \text{div}F$ and

$$\langle T, \xi \rangle_{V^*, V} = \int_0^T \int_\Omega F \cdot \nabla \xi \, dx dt,$$

for any $\xi \in V$. Moreover, we have

$$\|T\|_{V^*} = \max \left\{ \|f_i\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)}, i = 1, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Remark 2.10. The space $V \cap L^\infty(Q)$, is endowed with the norm defined by the formula

$$\|v\|_{V \cap L^\infty(Q)} = \max \left\{ \|v\|_V, \|v\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \right\}, v \in V \cap L^\infty(Q),$$

is a Banach space. In fact, it is the dual space of the Banach space $V^* + L^1(Q)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|v\|_{V^* + L^1(Q)} = \inf \left\{ \|v_1\|_{V^*} + \|v_2\|_{L^1(Q)}; v = v_1 + v_2, v_1 \in V^*, v_2 \in L^1(Q) \right\}.$$

2-2 Some Technical Results.

Lemma 2.11. ([2]) Assume (3.3) - (3.5) and let $(u_n)_n$ be a sequence in $L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and

$$\int_Q \left(a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) - a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u) \right) \nabla (u_n - u) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, $u_n \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^{p^-}(0, T; L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$.

Lemma 2.12. ([8]) Let $g \in L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)$ and $g_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)$ with $\|g_n\|_{p(x)} \leq C$ for $1 < p(x) < \infty$, if $g_n(x) \rightarrow g(x)$ a.e. on Q . Then, $g_n \rightharpoonup g$ in $L^{p(\cdot)}(Q)$.

Lemma 2.13. See [19]

$$W = \left\{ u \in V; u_t \in V^* + L^1(Q) \right\} \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$$

and

$$W \cap L^\infty(Q) \hookrightarrow C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega)).$$

Definition 2.14. A monotone map $T : D(T) \rightarrow X^*$ is called maximal monotone if its graph

$$G(T) = \left\{ (u, T(u)) \in X \times X^* \text{ for all } u \in D(T) \right\},$$

is not a proper subset of any monotone set in $X \times X^*$.

Let us consider the operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ which induces a linear map L from the subset

$$D(L) = \left\{ v \in X : v' \in X^*, v(0) = 0 \right\} \text{ of } X \text{ into } X^* \text{ by}$$

$$\langle Lu, v \rangle_X = \int_0^T \langle u'(t), v(t) \rangle_V dt \quad u \in D(L), v \in X.$$

Definition 2.15. See [5] A mapping S is called pseudo-monotone with $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ and $Lu_n \rightharpoonup Lu$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle S(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$, that we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \langle S(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0$ and $S(u_n) \rightharpoonup S(u)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Essential Assumption

Throughout the paper, we assume that the following assumptions hold true.

ASSUMPTION (H1)

Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 1)$, $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and $b : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function such that for every $x \in \Omega$, $b(x, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing C^1 function with

$$b(x, 0) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Next, for any $k > 0$, there exist $\lambda_k > 0$ and functions $A_k \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $B_k \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_k \leq \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_k(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \left| D_x \left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_k(x). \tag{3.2}$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every s such that $|s| \leq k$, we denote by $D_x(\partial b(x, s) \setminus \partial s)$ the gradient of $\partial b(x, s) \setminus \partial s$ defined in the sense of distributions.

ASSUMPTION (H2)

We consider a Leray–Lions operator defined by the formula

$$Au = -\text{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u)),$$

where $a : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function, i.e., (measurable with respect to x in Ω for every $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ and continuous with respect to $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$ for almost every x in Ω) which satisfies the following conditions there exist $k \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q)$ and $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ such that, for almost every $(x, t) \in Q$ all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

$$|a(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \beta \left[k(x, t) + |s|^{p(x)-1} + |\xi|^{p(x)-1} \right] \tag{3.3}$$

$$\left[a(x, t, s, \xi) - a(x, t, s, \eta) \right] (\xi - \eta) > 0 \quad \forall \xi \neq \eta \tag{3.4}$$

$$a(x, t, s, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha |\xi|^{p(x)}. \tag{3.5}$$

ASSUMPTION (H3)

Let $H : \Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function such that for a.e. $(x, t) \in Q$ and for all $s \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, the growth condition

$$|H(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma(x, t) + g(s)|\xi|^{p(x)}. \tag{3.6}$$

is satisfied, where $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is a bounded continuous positive function that belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$, while $\gamma \in L^1(Q)$.

We recall that, for $k > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the truncation function $T_k(\cdot)$ defined by

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq k \\ k \frac{s}{|s|} & \text{if } |s| > k. \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.1. Let $f \in L^1(Q), F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$ and $b(\cdot, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$

A real-valued function u defined on Q is a renormalized solution of problem (P) if

$$T_k(u) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \text{ for all } k \geq 0, b(x, u) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega)), \tag{3.7}$$

$$\int_{\{m \leq |u| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div} \left(S'(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \right) + S''(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u \\ & + H(x, t, u, \nabla u) S'(u) = f S'(u) - \operatorname{div} \left(s'(u) F \right) + s''(u) F \nabla u \text{ in } D'(Q), \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

for all $S \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ which are piecewise C^1 and such that S' has a compact support in \mathbb{R} , where $B_S(x, z) = \int_0^z \frac{\partial b(x, r)}{\partial r} S'(r) dr$ and

$$B_S(x, u)|_{t=0} = B_S(x, u_0) \text{ in } \Omega. \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.2. Equation (3.9) is formally obtained through pointwise multiplication of problem (P) by $S'(u)$. However, while $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ and $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ do not in general make sense in (P), all the terms in (3.9) have a meaning in $D'(Q)$. Indeed, if M is such that $\operatorname{supp} S' \subset [-M, M]$, the following identifications are made in (3.9)

- $S(u)$ belongs to $V \cap L^\infty(Q)$. Since S is a bounded function.
- $S'(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ identifies with $S'(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))$ a.e. in Q .

for any $\varphi \in D(Q)$, using Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q S'(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi dx dt &= \int_Q S'(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u)) \nabla \varphi dx dt \\ &\leq C_M \|S'\|_{L^\infty(Q)} \max \left\{ \left(\int_Q |\nabla T_M(u)|^{p(x)} \omega(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'^-}}, \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\int_Q |\nabla T_M(u)|^{p(x)} \omega(x) \right)^{\frac{1}{p'^+}} \right\} \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^{p(\cdot)}(Q, \omega^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M > 0$ is that $\text{supp}S' \subset [-M, M]$. As $D(Q)$ is dense in V , we deduce that

$$\text{div}(S'(u)a(x, t, u, \nabla u)) \in V^*.$$

- $S''(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u)\nabla u$ identifies with $S''(u) a(x, u, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))\nabla T_M(u)$ and

$$S''(u)a(x, u, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))\nabla T_M(u) \in L^1(Q).$$

- $S'(u) H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ identifies with $S'(u)H(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))$ a.e. in Q . Since $|T_M(u)| \leq M$ a.e. in Q and $S'(u) \in L^\infty(Q)$, we see from (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$S'(u)H(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u)) \in L^1(Q).$$

- $S'(u) f$ belongs to $L^1(Q)$ while $S'(u)F$ belongs to $(L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$.
- $S''(u) F\nabla u$ identifies with $S''(u) F\nabla T_M(u)$, which belongs to $L^1(Q)$.

The above considerations show that equation (3.9) hold in $D'(Q)$ and that

$$\frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} \in V^* + L^1(Q).$$

Due to the properties of S and (3.9) $\frac{\partial S(u)}{\partial t} \in V^* + L^1(Q)$ using lemma 2.13, which implies that $S(u) \in C^0([0, T]; L^1(\Omega))$, so that the initial condition (3.10) makes sense, since, due to the properties of S (increasing) and (3.2), we have

$$\left| (B_S(x, r) - B_S(x, r')) \right| \leq A_k(x) \left| S(r) - S(r') \right| \text{ for all } r, r' \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.11)$$

4. Existence Results.

In this section, we establish the following existence theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f \in L^1(Q)$, $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$, $p(\cdot) \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and assume that u_0 is a measurable function such that $b(\cdot, u_0) \in L^1(\Omega)$. Assume that (H1)–(H3) hold true. Then, there exists a renormalized solution u of problem (P) in the sense of Definition (3.1).*

Proof. The proof is in five steps.

STEP 1: Approximate problem :

For $n > 0$, we define approximations of b, H, f, F and u_0 . First, set

$$b_n(x, r) = b(x, T_n(r)) + \frac{1}{n}r. \quad (4.1)$$

b_n is a Carathéodory function and satisfies (3.2) : there exist $\lambda_n > 0$ and functions $A_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $B_n \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_n \leq \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_n(x) \text{ and } \left| D_x \left(\frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_n(x) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, s \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Next, set

$$H_n(x, t, s, \xi) = \frac{H(x, t, s, \xi)}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|H(x, t, s, \xi)|},$$

Note that $|H_n(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq |H(x, t, s, \xi)|$
 and $|H_n(x, t, s, \xi)| \leq n$ for all $(s, \xi) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N$.

and select f_n, u_{0n} and b_n so that

$$f_n \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q) \text{ and } f_n \rightarrow f \text{ a.e. in } Q \text{ and strongly in } L^1(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (4.2)$$

$$u_{0n} \in D(\Omega), \quad \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$b_n(x, u_{0n}) \rightarrow b(x, u_0) \text{ a.e. in } \Omega \text{ and strongly in } L^1(\Omega). \quad (4.4)$$

Let us now consider the approximate problem

$$(\mathcal{P}_n) \begin{cases} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)) + H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) = f_n - \operatorname{div}F & \text{in } D'(Q), \\ b_n(x, u_n)|_{t=0} = b_n(x, u_{0n}) & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $f_n \in L^{p'(\cdot)}(0, T; W^{-1, p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, $p(\cdot) \in C_+(\overline{\Omega})$ for fixed n , the approximate problem (\mathcal{P}_n) has at least one weak solution $u_n \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$.*

Proof. See Appendix.

In view of Theorem 4.2, there exists at least one weak solution $u_n \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ of the problem (\mathcal{P}_n) .(see [15]).

STEP 2: A Priori Estimates:

Proposition 4.3. *Let u_n a solution of the approximate problem (\mathcal{P}_n) . Then, there exists a constant C (which does not depend on the n and k) such that*

$$\|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} \leq C k \quad \forall k > 0.$$

Proof.

Let $\varphi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$, with $\varphi > 0$, Choosing $v = \exp(G(u_n))\varphi$ as a test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) where $G(s) = \int_0^s \frac{g(r)}{\alpha} dr$. (the function g appears in (3.6)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))\varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla(\exp(G(u_n))\varphi) dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(G(u_n))\varphi dxdt \\ & = \int_Q f_n \exp(G(u_n))\varphi dxdt + \int_Q F \nabla(\exp(G(u_n))\varphi) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla \varphi dxdt \\ & \leq \int_Q \gamma(x, t) \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q f_n \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q F \nabla(\exp(G(u_n)) \varphi) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

By using (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla \varphi dxdt \\ & \leq \int_Q \gamma(x, t) \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q f_n \exp(G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q F \nabla(\exp(G(u_n))) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q F \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla \varphi dxdt \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$, with $\varphi > 0$.

On the other hand, taking $v = \exp(-G(u_n))\varphi$ as a test function in (\mathcal{P}_n) , we deduce as in (4.5), that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(-G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(-G(u_n)) \nabla \varphi dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q \gamma(x, t) \exp(-G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt \geq \int_Q f_n \exp(-G(u_n)) \varphi dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_Q F \nabla(\exp(-G(u_n))) \varphi dxdt + \int_Q F \exp(-G(u_n)) \nabla \varphi dxdt \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

for all $\varphi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$, with $\varphi > 0$.

Letting $\varphi = T_k(u_n)^+ \chi(0, \tau)$, for every $\tau \in [0, T]$ in (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega B_{k,G}^n(x, u_n(\tau)) dx + \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt \\ & \leq \int_{Q_\tau} \gamma(x, t) \exp(G(u_n)) T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt + \int_{Q_\tau} f_n \exp(G(u_n)) T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} F \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \tag{4.7} \\ & \quad + \int_{Q_\tau} F T_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} dxdt + \int_\Omega B_{k,G}^n(x, u_0) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_{k,G}^n(x,r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x,s)}{\partial s} T_k(s)^+ \exp(G(s)) ds.$$

Due to the definition of $B_{k,G}^n$ and $|G(u_n)| \leq \exp(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha})$, we have

$$0 \leq \int_{\Omega} B_{k,G}^n(x, u_{0n}) dx \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \|b(\cdot, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Using (4.8), $B_{k,G}^n(x, u_n) \geq 0$ and Young's Inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dx dt \\ & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q^\tau} F T_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) g(u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q^\tau} F \left[\exp(G(u_n)) \right]^{1-\frac{1}{p(x)}} \left[\exp(G(u_n)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dx dt \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dx dt \\ & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q^\tau} F T_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n g(u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q^\tau} \frac{F \left[\exp(G(u_n)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p'(x)}}}{\left[\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(x)}}} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} p(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} \left| \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ \right| \left[\exp(G(u_n)) \right]^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} dx dt \end{aligned}$$

using and Young's Inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{Q^\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dx dt \\ & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q^\tau} F T_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n g(u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{Q^\tau} \frac{|F|^{p'(x)} \exp(G(u_n))}{\left[\frac{p'(x)\alpha}{2} p(x) \right]^{\frac{p'(x)}{p(x)}}} dx dt + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_Q \left| \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ \right|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt \\
 & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\
 & + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q_\tau} FT_k(u_n)^+ \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n g(u_n) \nabla u_n dxdt \\
 & + C \int_Q |F|^{p'(x)} dxdt + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)^+|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt
 \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\int_Q |F|^{p'(x)} dxdt = \rho(F) \leq \max \left\{ \|F\|_{(L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))_N}^-, \|F\|_{(L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))_N}^+ \right\} = C'$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{then } \int_{Q_\tau} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla T_k(u_n)^+) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla T_k(u_n)^+ dxdt \\
 & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\
 & + C_1 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)^+|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\
 & + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q_\tau} Fg(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dxdt
 \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{Q_\tau} |\nabla T_k(u_n)^+|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\
 & \leq k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] + C_1 \\
 & + \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{Q_\tau} Fg(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dxdt. \tag{4.9}
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us observe that if we take $\varphi = \rho(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s) \chi_{\{s > 0\}} ds$ in (4.5) and use (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[\int_\Omega B_g^n(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \alpha \int_Q |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\
 & \leq \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} \right] \\
 & + \int_Q F \nabla u_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\
 & + \left(\int_0^\infty g(s) ds \right) \int_Q |F \nabla u_n| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n > 0\}} dxdt,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B_g^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \rho(s) \exp(G(s)) ds,$$

which implies, using $B_g^n(x, r) \geq 0$ and Young's Inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \int_{\{u_n > 0\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & \leq \|g\|_\infty \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{u_n > 0\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \\ & \quad + C_3 \|g\|_\infty \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha}{2} \|g\|_\infty \int_{\{u_n > 0\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{then } \int_{\{u_n > 0\}} g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \leq C_4.$$

Similarly, taking $\varphi = \int_{u_n}^0 g(s) \chi_{\{s < 0\}} ds$ as a test function in (4.6), we conclude that

$$\int_{\{u_n < 0\}} g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \leq C_5.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_Q g(u_n) |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dxdt \leq C_6. \tag{4.10}$$

Above, C_1, \dots, C_6 are constants independent of n , we deduce that

$$\int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)^+|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq k C_7. \tag{4.11}$$

Similarly to (4.11), we take $\varphi = T_k(u_n)^- \chi(0, \tau)$ in (4.6) to deduce that

$$\int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)^-|^{p(x)} dxdt \leq k C_8. \tag{4.12}$$

Combining (4.11), (4.12) and lemma 2.3, we conclude that

$$\int_0^T \min \left\{ \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^+}, \|\nabla T_k(u_n)\|_{p(\cdot)}^{p^-} \right\} dt \leq \rho(\nabla T_k(u_n)) \leq k C_9.$$

$$\|T_k(u_n)\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} \leq k C_{10}. \tag{4.13}$$

Where C_8, C_9, C_{10} are constants independent of n . Thus, $T_k(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ independently of n for any $k > 0$. Then, we deduce from (4.7), (4.8) and (4.13) that

$$\int_{\Omega} B_{k,G}^n(x, u_n(\tau)) dx \leq kC. \tag{4.14}$$

Now we turn to proving the almost everywhere convergence of u_n and $b_n(x, u_n)$. Consider a non decreasing function $g_k \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g_k(s) = \begin{cases} s & \text{if } |s| \leq \frac{k}{2} \\ k & \text{if } |s| \geq k \end{cases}$$

Multiplying the approximate equation by $g'_k(u_n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial B_k^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)g'_k(u_n)) + a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)g''_k(u_n)\nabla u_n \\ & + H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)g'_k(u_n) = f_n g'_k(u_n) - \operatorname{div}(Fg'_k(u_n)) + Fg''_k(u_n)\nabla u_n. \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

where

$$B_k^n(x, z) = \int_0^z \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} g'_k(s) ds.$$

As a consequence of (4.13), we deduce that $g_k(u_n)$ is bounded in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and $\frac{\partial B_k^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + V^*$. Due to the properties of g_k and (3.2), we conclude that $\frac{\partial g_k(u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + V^*$, which implies that $g_k(u_n)$ is compact in $L^1(Q)$.

Due to the choice of g_k , we conclude that for each k , the sequence $T_k(u_n)$ converges almost everywhere in Q , which implies that u_n converges almost everywhere to some measurable function v in Q . Thus by using the same argument as in [9], [10], [21], we can show the following lemma.

Lemma 4.4. *Let u_n be a solution of the approximate problem (\mathcal{P}_n) . Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} u_n & \rightarrow u & \text{a.e. in } Q, \\ b_n(x, u_n) & \rightarrow b(x, u) & \text{a.e. in } Q. \end{aligned}$$

We can deduce from (4.13) that

$$T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)),$$

which implies, by using (3.3), that for all $k > 0$ there exists $\varphi_k \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$ such that

$$a(x, t, u, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \rightharpoonup \varphi_k \quad \text{in } (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N.$$

Remark 4.5. .

$b(\cdot, u)$ it belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$.

Proof. Let u_n be a solution of the approximate problem (\mathcal{P}_n) , passing to \liminf in (4.14) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{k} \int_{\Omega} B_{k,G}(x, u(\tau)) dx \leq C, \text{ for a.e. } \tau \text{ in } [0, \tau].$$

Due to the definition of $B_{k,G}(x, s)$ and the fact that $\frac{1}{k} B_{k,G}(x, s)$ converges pointwise to $\int_0^u \text{sgn}(s) \frac{\partial b(x,s)}{\partial s} \exp(G(s)) ds \geq |b(x, u)|$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $b(\cdot, u)$ belongs to $L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega))$. \square

Lemma 4.6. *Let u_n be a solution of the approximate problem (\mathcal{P}_n) . Then,*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla(u_n)) \nabla u_n dx dt = 0. \tag{4.16}$$

Proof. See Appendix.

STEP 3: Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients :

This step is devoted to prove the strong convergence of truncation of $T_k(u_n)$ that, we will use the following function of one real variable for $m > k$

$$h_m(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |s| \leq m \\ 0 & \text{if } |s| > m + 1 \\ m + 1 + |s| & \text{if } m \leq |s| \leq m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi_i \in D(\Omega)$ be a sequence which converges strongly to u_0 in $L^1(\Omega)$
 Set $\omega_\mu^i = (T_k(u))_\mu + e^{-\mu t} T_k(\psi_i)$ where $(T_k(u))_\mu$ is the mollification of $T_k(u)$ with respect to time. Note that ω_μ^i is a smooth function having the following properties:

$$\frac{\partial \omega_\mu^i}{\partial t} = \mu(T_k(u) - \omega_\mu^i), \quad \omega_\mu^i(0) = T_k(\psi_i), \quad |\omega_\mu^i| \leq k, \tag{4.17}$$

$$\omega_\mu^i \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \quad \text{as } \mu \rightarrow \infty. \tag{4.18}$$

The very definition of the sequence ω_μ^i makes it possible to establish the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *(See [20,6]). For $k \geq 0$, we have*

$$\int_{\{T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i \geq 0\}} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n)) (T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \geq \varepsilon(n, m, \mu, i).$$

Proposition 4.8. *The subsequence of u_n solution of problem (\mathcal{P}_n) satisfies for any $k \geq 0$ following assertion*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q [a(T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u))] \cdot [\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)] dx dt = 0.$$

Proof. See Appendix.
 Thanks to the lemma (2.11), we have

$$T_k(u_n) \rightarrow T_k(u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \quad \forall k. \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\nabla u_n \rightarrow \nabla u. \quad \text{a.e. in } Q, \text{ which implies that}$$

$$a(x, t, T_k(u_n), \nabla T_k(u_n)) \rightarrow a(x, t, T_k(u), \nabla T_k(u)) \quad \text{in } (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N. \quad (4.20)$$

STEP 4: Equi-Integrability of the non Linearity Sequence :

We shall now prove that $H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$, by using Vitali's theorem. Since $H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ a.e. in Q , considering now $\varphi = \rho_h(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s)\chi_{\{s>h\}} ds$ as a test function in (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} B_h^n(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_h^{\infty} g(s) \chi_{\{s>h\}} ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} \right] \\ & \quad + \int_Q F \nabla u_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\int_h^{\infty} g(s) \chi_{\{s>h\}} ds \right) \int_Q |F \nabla u_n| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \chi_{\{u_n>h\}} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_h^n(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \rho_h(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$,
 which implies, in view of $B_h^n(x, r) \geq 0$, (3.5) and Young's Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \int_{\{u_n>h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_h^{\infty} g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \right] + C' \int_h^{\infty} g(s) ds \\ & \quad + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{u_n>h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\int_h^{\infty} g(s) ds \right) \int_Q |F \nabla u_n| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

hence
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{u_n > h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt & \\ & \leq \left(\int_h^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|b(x, u_0)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C' \right] \\ & \quad + \left(\int_h^\infty g(s) ds \right) \int_Q |F \nabla u_n| \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and since $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{u_n > h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) dx dt = 0.$$

Similarly, taking $\varphi = \rho_h(u_n) = \int_{u_n}^0 g(s) \chi_{\{s < -h\}} ds$ as a test function in (4.6), we conclude that, $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{u_n < -h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) dx dt = 0$. Consequently, $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\{|u_n| > h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) dx dt = 0$. Which implies, for h large enough and for a subset E of Q ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{meas E \rightarrow 0} \int_E |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) dx dt & \leq \|g\|_\infty \lim_{meas E \rightarrow 0} \int_E |\nabla T_h u_n|^{p(x)} dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\{|u_n| > h\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

so $g(u_n)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)}$ is equi-integrable. Thus, we have shown that

$$g(u_n)|\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \rightarrow g(u)|\nabla u|^{p(x)} \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(Q).$$

consequently, by using (3.6), we conclude that

$$H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow H(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{strongly in } L^1(Q). \quad \square \quad (4.21)$$

STEP 5: Passing to the limit:

a) **Proof that u satisfies (3.8).** For any fixed $m \geq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt & \\ & = \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \left[\nabla T_{m+1}(u_n) - \nabla T_m(u_n) \right] dx dt \\ & = \int_Q a(x, t, T_{m+1}(u_n), \nabla T_{m+1}(u_n)) \nabla T_{m+1}(u_n) \\ & \quad - \int_Q a(x, t, T_m(u_n), \nabla T_m(u_n)) \nabla T_m(u_n) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

According to (4.19) and (4.20), one can passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $m \geq 0$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt \\
 &= \int_Q a(x, t, T_{m+1}(u), \nabla T_{m+1}(u)) \nabla T_{m+1}(u) \\
 &\quad - \int_Q a(x, t, T_m(u), \nabla T_m(u)) \nabla T_m(u) dx dt \\
 &= \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt \quad (4.22)
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.22) and using the estimate (4.16) shows that u satisfies (3.8). \square

b) Proof that u satisfies (3.9)

Let $S \in W^{2,\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that S' has a compact support. Let $M > 0$ such that $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$. Pointwise multiplication of the approximate problem (P_n) by $S'(u_n)$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} - \text{div} \left[S'(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \right] + S''(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \\
 &+ H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) S'(u_n) = f_n S'(u_n) - \text{div} \left(S'(u_n) F \right) + S''(u_n) F \nabla u_n \text{ in } D'(Q). \quad (4.23)
 \end{aligned}$$

In what follows we pass to the limit in (4.23) as n tends to ∞ .

• Limit of $\frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$. Since S is bounded and continuous, $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Q implies that $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ converge to $B_S(x, u)$ a.e. in Q and L^∞ weakly.

$$\text{Then, } \frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \rightarrow \frac{\partial B_S(x, u)}{\partial t} \text{ in } D'(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

• Limit of $-\text{div} \left[S'(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \right]$. Since $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$, we have, for $n \geq M$

$$S'(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) = S'(u_n) a(x, t, T_M(u_n), \nabla T_M(u_n)) \text{ a.e. in } Q.$$

The pointwise convergence of u_n to u and (4.20) and the boundedness of S' yied, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$S'(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow S'(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u)) \text{ in } (L^{p(\cdot)}(Q))^N \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.24)$$

$S'(u) a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))$ has been denoted by $S'(u) a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ in equation (3.9).

• Limit of $S''(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n$. Consider the "energy" term $S''(u_n) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n = S''(u_n) a(x, t, T_M(u_n), \nabla T_M(u_n)) \nabla T_M(u_n)$ a.e. in Q . The

pointwise convergence of $S'(u_n)$ to $S'(u)$ and (4.20) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and the boundedness of S'' yield

$$S''(u_n)a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)\nabla u_n \rightarrow S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))\nabla T_M(u) \text{ in } L^1(Q). \quad (4.25)$$

Recall that $S''(u)a(x, t, T_M(u), \nabla T_M(u))\nabla T_M(u) = S''(u)a(x, t, u, \nabla u)\nabla u$ a.e. in Q . • Limit of $S'(u_n)H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n)$. From $\text{supp}(S') \subset [-M, M]$ and (4.21), we have

$$S'(u_n)H_n(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \rightarrow S'(u)H(x, t, u, \nabla u) \text{ strongly in } L^1(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4.26)$$

- Limit of $S'(u_n)f_n$. Since $u_n \rightarrow u$ a.e. in Q , we have $S'(u_n)f_n \rightarrow S'(u)f$ strongly in $L^1(Q)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$
- Limit of $\text{div}(S'(u_n)F)S'(u_n)$ is bounded and converges to $S'(u)$ a.e. in Q .

then $\text{div}(S'(u_n)F) \rightarrow \text{div}(S'(u)F)$ strongly in $L^{p'-(0, T; W^{-1, p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

• Limit of $S''(u_n)F\nabla u_n$. This term is equal to $F\nabla S'(u_n)$. Since $\nabla S'(u_n)$ converge to $\nabla S'(u)$ weakly in $(L^{p(\cdot)}(Q))^N$, we obtain $S''(u_n)F\nabla u_n = F\nabla S'(u_n) \rightarrow F\nabla S'(u)$ weakly in $L^1(Q)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The term $F\nabla S'(u)$ identifies with $S''(u)F\nabla u$.

As a consequence of the above convergence result, we are in a position to pass to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in equation (4.23) and to conclude that u satisfies (3.9). \square

c) Proof that u satisfies (3.10)

S is bounded, and $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ is bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$. Secondly, by (4.23) we have $\frac{\partial B_S^n(x, u_n)}{\partial t}$ is bounded in $L^1(Q) + V^*$.

As a consequence, an Aubin type Lemma (see, e.g. [17] implies that $B_S^n(x, u_n)$ lies in a compact set in $C^0([0, T], L^1(\Omega))$.

It follows that on the hand, $B_S^n(x, u_n)|_{t=0} = B_S^n(x, u_0^n)$ converge to $B_S(x, u)|_{t=0}$ strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$ implies that $:B_S(x, u)|_{t=0} = B_S(x, u_0)$ in Ω .

As a conclusion of Steps 1 to 5, the proof of theorem 4.1 is complete. \square

5. APPENDIX

Proof of theorem 4.2

We define the operator $L_n : L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^{p'-(0, T; W^{-1, p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ by $\langle L_n u, v \rangle = \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u)}{\partial t} v dx dt = \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u)}{\partial u} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v dx dt \quad \forall u, v \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega))$ then,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle L_n u, v \rangle| &\leq \left| \int_0^T \int_\Omega A_n(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} v dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|A_n\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|A_n\|_{L^\infty} \int_0^T \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{W^{-1, p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)} \|v\|_{W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \|A_n\|_{L^\infty} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \right\|_{L^{p'-(0, T, W^{-1, p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))} \|v\|_{L^{p^-(0, T, W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega))} \\ &\leq C_1 \|v\|_{L^{p^-(0, T, W_0^{1, p(x)}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

We define the operator $G_n : L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^{p^-}(0, T, W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$

$$\text{by, } \langle G_n u, v \rangle = \int_Q H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u) v dx dt \quad \forall u, v \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)).$$

Thanks to the Hölder Inequality, we have that for $u, v \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u) v dx dt &\leq \left| \int_0^T \int_\Omega H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u) v dx dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \int_0^T \left(\int_\Omega |H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u)|^{p'(x)} dx \right)^\theta \|v\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{p'^-} \right) \int_0^T (n^{\theta p'^+} (meas\Omega)^\theta) \|v\|_{W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)} dt \\ &\leq C_2 \|v\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

$$\text{with } \theta = \begin{cases} 1/p'^- & \text{if } \|H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u)\|_{L^1(Q)} > 1 \\ 1/p'^+ & \text{if } \|H_n(x, t, u, \nabla u)\|_{L^1(Q)} \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 5.1. Let $B_n : L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \rightarrow L^{p'^-}(0, T, W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega))$.

The operator $B_n = A + G_n$ is

- a) coercive
- b) pseudo-monotone
- c) bounded and demi continuous.

Proof. a) For the coercivity, we have for any $u \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_n u, u \rangle &= \langle G_n u, u \rangle + \langle Au, u \rangle \\ \Rightarrow \langle B_n u, u \rangle - \langle G_n u, u \rangle &= \langle Au, u \rangle \\ \text{then, } \langle B_n u, u \rangle - \langle G_n u, u \rangle &= \int_Q a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_\Omega a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt \\ &\geq \int_0^T \alpha \left(\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \right) dt \quad (\text{using (3.5)}) \\ &\geq \alpha \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}^\delta \geq \beta \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}^\delta, \end{aligned}$$

which is due to Poincaré Inequality with

$$\delta = \begin{cases} p_- & \text{if } \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} > 1 \\ p_+ & \text{if } \|\nabla u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} \leq 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{hence, } \langle B_n u, u \rangle - \langle G_n u, u \rangle \geq \beta \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}^\delta$$

$$\text{then, } \langle B_n u, u \rangle \geq \beta \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}^\delta - C_2 \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}$$

then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle B_n u, u \rangle}{\|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}} &\geq \beta \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}^{\delta-1} - C_2 \rightarrow +\infty \\ \Rightarrow \frac{\langle B_n u, u \rangle}{\|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))}} &\rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } \|u\|_{L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))} \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

then B_n is coercive. \square

b) It remains to show that B_n is pseudo-monotone.

Let $(u_k)_k$ a sequence in $L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \\ L_n u_k &\rightharpoonup L_n u \text{ in } L^{p'^-}(0,T;W^{-1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \\ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B_n u_k, u_k - u \rangle &\leq 0 \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

that, we have prove that

$$B_n u_k \rightharpoonup B_n u \text{ in } L^{p'^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p'(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \text{ and } \langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle B_n u, u \rangle.$$

By the definition of the operator L_n defined in definition (2.1), we obtain that u_k is bounded in $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and since $W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ then $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p^-}(0,T;W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, then the growth condition (3.3) $(a(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k))_k$ is bounded in $(L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$ therefore, there exists a function $\varphi \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$ such that

$$a(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightharpoonup \varphi \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{5.4}$$

Similarly, using condition (3.6) $(H_n(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k))_k$ is bounded in $(L^1(Q))$ then, there exists a function $\psi_n \in L^1(Q)$ such that

$$H_n(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightarrow \psi_n \text{ in } L^1(Q) \text{ as } k \rightarrow +\infty. \tag{5.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\langle G_n u_k, u_k \rangle + \langle A u_k, u_k \rangle \right] \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_Q a(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k dx dt + \int_Q H(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) u_k dx dt \right] \\ &= \int_Q \varphi \nabla u_k dx dt + \int_Q \psi_n u_k dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.6}$$

using (5.3) and, (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle &= \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \int_Q a(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k dx dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_Q H(x,t,u_k, \nabla u_k) u_k dx dt \right\} \\ &\leq \int_Q \varphi \nabla u dx dt + \int_Q \psi_n u dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

thanks to (5.5), we have

$$\int_Q H_n(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) dx dt \rightarrow \int_Q \psi_n dx dt. \tag{5.8}$$

therefore,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k \leq \int_Q \varphi \nabla u dx dt \tag{5.9}$$

on the other hand, using (3.4), we have

$$\int_Q [a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) - a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u)] (\nabla u_k - \nabla u) dx dt \geq 0. \tag{5.10}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k dx dt &\geq - \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u) \nabla u dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u dx dt \\ &\quad + \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u) \nabla u_k dx dt \end{aligned}$$

and by (5.4), we get

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k dx dt \geq \int_Q \varphi \nabla u dx dt.$$

this implies, thanks to (5.9), that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \nabla u_k dx dt = \int_Q \varphi \nabla u dx dt \tag{5.11}$$

Now by (5.11), we can obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_Q a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) - a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u) (\nabla u_k - \nabla u) dx dt = 0$$

In view of the lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_k &\rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \\ \nabla u_k &\rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{a.e. in } Q. \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) &\rightharpoonup a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N \\ H_n(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) &\rightharpoonup H(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } L^1(Q), \end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$Au_k \rightharpoonup Au \quad \text{in } (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$$

and

$$G_n u_k \rightharpoonup G_n u \quad \text{in } (L^1(Q))$$

which implies

$$B_n u_k \rightharpoonup B_n u \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p'^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$$

and

$$\langle B_n u_k, u_k \rangle \rightarrow \langle B_n u, u \rangle$$

completing the proof of assertion (b). \square

c) Using Hölder's inequality and the growth condition (3.3), we can show that the operator A is bounded and by using (5.2), we conclude that B_n is bounded. For to show that B_n is demicontinuous

Let $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and prove that

$$\langle B_n u_k, \psi \rangle \rightarrow \langle B_n u, \psi \rangle \quad \text{for all } \psi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)).$$

Since $a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightarrow a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. in Q . Then, by the growth condition (3.3) and lemma 2.12

$$a(x, t, u_k, \nabla u_k) \rightharpoonup a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in} \quad L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q)^N$$

and for all $\varphi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$, $\langle A u_k, \varphi \rangle \rightarrow \langle A u, \varphi \rangle$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$

similarly, $G_n u_k \rightarrow G_n u$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ a.e. in Q then, by the (3.6) and lemma 2.12 $G_n u_k \rightharpoonup G_n u$ in $L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q)$ and for all $\phi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$,

$\langle G_n u_k, \phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle G_n u, \phi \rangle$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ which implies B_n is demi continuous. \square

Proof of lemma 4.6.

Set $\varphi = T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))^+ = \alpha_m(u_n)$ in (4.5), this function is admissible since $\varphi \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_Q \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n)) \alpha_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \int_Q |\gamma(x, t)| \exp(G(u_n)) \alpha_m(u_n) dx dt + \int_Q |f_n| \exp(G(u_n)) \alpha_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_Q F \nabla u_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n)) \alpha_m(u_n) dx dt \\ & + \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} F \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

This gives, by setting $B_{n,G}^m(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x, s)}{\partial s} \exp(G(s)) \alpha_m(s) ds$ and by Young's Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_\Omega B_{n,G}^m(x, u_n)(T) dx + \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) \nabla u_n dx dt \\ & \leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} (|\gamma| + |f_n|) dx dt + \int_{\{|u_{0n}| > m\}} |b_n(x, u_{0n})| dx \right] dx dt \\ & + C_1 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} |F|^{p'(x)} dx dt + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & + C_2 \int_{\{u_n \geq m\}} |F|^{p'(x)} dx dt + \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{n,G}^m(x, u_n)(T) > 0$ and use (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\int_{\{|u_n| > m\}} (|\gamma| + |f_n|) dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \int_{\{|u_{0n}| > m\}} |b_n(x, u_{0n})| dx \right] + C_3 \int_{\{u_n > m\}} |F|^{p'(x)} dx dt \\ & \quad + C_4 \int_{\{u_n > m\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Taking $\varphi = \rho_m(u_n) = \int_0^{u_n} g(s) \chi_{\{s > m\}} ds$ as a test function in (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{\Omega} B_{m,n}^m(x, u_n) dx \right]_0^T + \int_Q a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n)) g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) \chi_{\{s > m\}} ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} \right] \\ & \quad + \int_Q F \nabla u_n g(u_n) \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \quad + \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) \chi_{\{s > m\}} ds \right) \int_Q F \nabla u_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \chi_{\{u_n > m\}} \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{m,n}^m(x, r) = \int_0^r \frac{\partial b_n(x,s)}{\partial s} \rho_m(s) \exp(G(s)) ds$, which implies, since $B_{m,n}^m(x, r) \geq 0$, by (3.5) and Young's Inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{\alpha - 1}{2}\right) \int_{\{u_n > m\}} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)} g(u_n) \exp(G(u_n)) dx dt \\ & \leq \left(\int_m^\infty g(s) ds \right) \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \left[\|f_n\|_{L^1(Q)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \|\gamma\|_{L^1(Q)} + \|b_n(x, u_{0n})\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C_5 \right] \end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Using (5.13) and the strong convergence of f_n in $L^1(\Omega)$ and $b_n(x, u_{0n})$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ $\gamma \in L^1(\Omega)$, $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$, by Lebesgue's theorem, passing to limit in (5.12), we conclude that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt = 0. \tag{5.14}$$

On the other hand, taking $\varphi = T_1(u_n - T_m(u_n))^-$ as a test function in (4.6) and reasoning as in the proof (5.14), we deduce that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{-(m+1) \leq u_n \leq -m\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt = 0. \tag{5.15}$$

By using (5.14) and (5.15), we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{m \leq |u_n| \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt = 0. \quad \square \tag{5.16}$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2

For $m > k$, let $\varphi = (T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) \in L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(Q)$ and $\varphi \geq 0$. If we take this function in (4.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i \geq 0\}} \frac{\partial b_n(x, u_n)}{\partial t} \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\
 & + \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i \geq 0\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla (T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\
 & - \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n (T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \\
 & \leq \int_Q (f_n + \gamma) \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) dx dt \tag{5.17} \\
 & + \int_Q F \nabla u_n \frac{g(u_n)}{\alpha} \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) dx dt \\
 & + \int_{\{T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i \geq 0\}} F \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i) h_m(u_n) dx dt \\
 & - \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} F \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ dx dt
 \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} \exp(G(u_n)) a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n (T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \right| \\
 & \leq 2k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla u_n) \nabla u_n dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} F \nabla u_n \exp(G(u_n))(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ dx dt \right| \\
 & \leq 2k \exp\left(\frac{\|g\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}}{\alpha}\right) \frac{\|F\|_{L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q)}^N}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{p^-}}} \left(\int_{\{m \leq u_n \leq m+1\}} a(x, t, u_n, \nabla(u_n)) \nabla u_n dx dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}
 \end{aligned}$$

Tanks to (4.16) the third and fourth integrals on the right hand side tend to zero as n and m tend to infinity and by Lebesgue’s theorem and $F \in (L^{p'(\cdot)}(Q))^N$, we deduce that the right hand side converges to zero as n, m and μ tend to infinity . Since

$$(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) \rightharpoonup (T_k(u) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u) \text{ in } L^\infty(Q) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and strongly in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ and $(T_k(u_n) - \omega_\mu^i)^+ h_m(u_n) \rightharpoonup 0$ in $L^\infty(Q)$ and strongly in $L^{p^-}(0, T; W_0^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega))$ as $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that the first and second integrals on the right-hand side of (5.17) converge to zeros as $n, m, \mu \rightarrow \infty$, using [3] lemma 4.7 and lemma 2.11 the proof of Proposition 4.2 is complete. \square

6. Example

Consider the following special case : $b(x, s) = F(x)K(s)$, where $F \in W^{1,p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ with $p(x) = \sin |x| + 3$, $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ and $K \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$, $K(0) = 0$

b is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following assertions :

$b(x, 0) = 0$. Next, for any $k > 0$, there exist $\lambda_k > 0$ and function $A_k \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ $B_k \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\lambda_k = \inf_{|s| \leq k} K'(s) \leq \frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \leq A_k(x) \text{ and } \left| D_x \left(\frac{\partial b(x, s)}{\partial s} \right) \right| \leq B_k(x). \tag{6.1}$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and every s such that $|s| \leq k$, we have

$$Au = -\Delta_{p(x)} = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u). \tag{6.2}$$

we are $(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u - |\nabla v|^{p(x)-2} \nabla v)(u - v) > 0$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $u \neq v$ then the monotonicity condition is satisfying.

The operator $-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u)$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying the growth condition (3.3) and the coercivity (3.5).

$$H(x, t, u, \nabla u) = \frac{-u}{2 + u^4} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \gamma(x, t). \tag{6.3}$$

where $\gamma \in L^1(Q)$, $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ is a Carathéodory function and

$$\begin{aligned} |H(x, t, u, \nabla u)| &\leq \frac{|u|}{2 + u^4} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \gamma(x, t) \\ &= g(u) |\nabla u|^{p(x)} + \gamma(x, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $g(u) = \frac{|u|}{2 + u^4}$ is bounded positive continuous function which belongs to $L^1(\mathbb{R})$. Note that $H(x, t, u, \nabla u)$ does not satisfy the sign condition or the coercivity condition. Finally, the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied. Therefore, the problem (\mathcal{P}) has at least one renormalized solution.

References

1. A. Aberqi, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, H. Redwane, Existence results for a nonlinear parabolic problems with lower order terms. *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, Vol.7, 2013, no. 27, 1323-1340.
2. L. Aharouch, E. Azroul and M. Rhoudaf, Strongly nonlinear variational degenerated parabolic problems in weighted sobolev spaces. *The Australian journal of Mathematical cal Analysis and Applications*, Vol. 5, Article 13, pp 1-25, 2008.
3. Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, H. Redwane, Existence of renormalized solutions for parabolic equations without the sign condition and with unbounded nonlinearities. *Applications Mathematiques* 39(1), 1-22
4. Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear degenerated parabolic equations with natural growth terms and L^1 -data. *International journal of evolution equations*, Volume 5, Number 4, pp. 421-446, 2011.
5. Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour and M. Rhoudaf, Renormalized solution of nonlinear degenerated parabolic problems with L^1 -data: existence and uniqueness. *Recent developments is nonlinear analysis, proceedings of the conference in Mathematics and Mathematical physics world scientific publishing C.O Ltd.*
6. Y. Akdim, J. Bennouna, M. Mekour, Solvability of degenerated Parabolic equations without sign condition and three unbounded nonlinearities *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2011(2011), No. 03, pp. 1-26.

7. E. Azroul, M. Benboubker, M. Roudaf, Entropy solution or some $p(x)$ -Quasi linear problem with right-hand side measure. African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics Vol. 13 Number 2, pp 23-44 (2012)
8. M. Bendahmane, P. Wittbold, A. Zimmermann, Renormalized solutions for a nonlinear parabolic equation with variable exponents and L^1 -data J. Differential equations 249(2010)1483-1515 .
9. D. Blanchard and F. Murat, Renormalized solution of nonlinear parabolic problems with L^1 -data: existence and uniqueness, proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 127(1997) 1137-1152.
10. D. Blanchard, F. Murat and H. Redwane, Existence and uniqueness of renormalized solutions for a fairly general class of nonlinear parabolic Problems, J. Differential equation (177)(2001), 331, 374.
11. L. Boccardo, D. Giachetti, J.-I. Diaz, F. Murat, Existence and regularity of renormalized solutions of some elliptic problems involving derivatives of nonlinear terms Journal of differential equations 106, pp. 215-237,1993.
12. R.J. Diperna, P-L. Lions, On the Cauchy problem for the Boltzmann equations : Global existence and weak stability, Ann. of Math. 130, pp. 285-366, 1989.
13. X.L Fan, D. Zhao, on the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. J. Math Anal, Appl 263 (2001) 424-446
14. X.L Fan, D. Zhao, the generalised Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$, J. Gansu Educ, College 12(1)(1998), 1-6
15. J. L. Lions, Quelques méthode de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod, Paris 1969.
16. J. M. Rakotoson, Resolution of the critical cases for the problems with L^1 -data asymptotic analysis when right hand side is in $L^1(\Omega)$. 6, pp. 285-293, 1993.
17. J. Simon, Compact sets in the space $L^p(0, T, B)$, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 146(1987), 65-96.
18. O. Kovacik, J. Rakonsik, on space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ czechoslovak Math J. 41(116)(1991)592-618.
19. A. Porretta, Existence results for nonlinear parabolic equations via strong convergence of truncation, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 177(4)(1999)143-172.
20. H. Redwane, Existence of a solution for a class of parabolic equations with three unbounded nonlinearities, Adv. Dynam. Systems. Appl. 2 (2007). 241-264.
21. H. Redwane, Solutions renormalisées de problèmes paraboliques et elliptiques non linéaires, ph. D. thesis, Rouen,1997.
22. H. Redwane, Existence results for a classe of parabolic equations in Orlicz spaces, Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differential equations 2010, no. 2, 19 pp.
23. D. Zhao, W, J. Qiang, X. L Fan, On generalised Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ J. Gansu Sci 9(2), 1997, 1-7.
24. C. Zang, S. Zhou Renormalized and entropy solutions for nonlinear parabolic equations with variable exponents and L^1 -data J. Differential equations 248(2010)1376-1400.

Youssef Akdim, Nezha El gorch and Mounir Mekhour
Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University,
Laboratory LSI Poly-Disciplinary Faculty of Taza
P.O. Box 1223, Taza Gare, Morocco.
E-mail address: akdimyoussef@yahoo.fr
E-mail address: nezhaelgorch@gmail.com
E-mail address: mekkour.mounir@yahoo.fr