



Vector Valued Multiple Sequence Spaces Defined by Orlicz Function

Binod Chandra Tripathy and Rupanjali Goswami

ABSTRACT: In this article we define some vector valued multiple sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function. We study some of their properties like solidness, symmetry, completeness etc and some inclusion results.

Key Words: Orlicz function; completeness; semi-norm; regular convergence; solid space.

Contents

1 Introduction	69
2 Definition and Preliminaries.	70
3 Main Results	72
4 Particular cases	80

1. Introduction

Throughout this article the space of all, bounded, convergent in Pringsheim's sense, null in Pringsheim's sense, regularly convergent and regularly null multiple sequences defined over a semi-normed space (X, q) , semi-normed by q will be denoted by ${}_k\omega(q)$, ${}_k\ell_\infty(q)$, ${}_k\mathcal{C}(q)$, ${}_k\mathcal{C}_0(q)$, ${}_k\mathcal{C}^R(q)$, ${}_k\mathcal{C}_0^R(q)$. For $X = \mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers, these spaces represent the corresponding scalar sequence spaces. Throughout this article θ represents the zero element of X . The zero element of a single sequence space is denoted by $\bar{\theta} = (\theta, \theta, \dots)$. The zero element of a multiple sequence is denoted by ${}_k\bar{\theta}$, a multiple infinite array of θ 's.

An Orlicz function M is a mapping $M : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that it is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The idea of Orlicz function was used by Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [6] to construct the sequence space.

$$\ell^M = \left\{ (x_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right), \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\},$$
 which is a Banach space normed by

$$\|x_k\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M \left(\frac{x_k}{\rho} \right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

The space ℓ^M is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(x) = |x|^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, which is closely related to the sequence space ℓ^p .

An Orlicz function M is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition for all values of u , if there exists a constant $K > 0$, such that $M(2u) \leq K(Mu)$, where $u \geq 0$.

Recently Tripathy [9], Tripathy and Mahanta [11], Altin et. al. [1] and many others investigated the Orlicz sequence spaces from sequence point of view and related with the summability theory.

Remark 1.1. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$, then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$, for all $x \geq 0$.

2. Definition and Preliminaries.

Throughout this article a multiple sequence is denoted by $A = \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$, a multiple infinite array of elements $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \in X$ for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N$.

Initial works on double sequences is found in Bromwich [3]. Hardy [5] introduced the notion of regular convergence for double sequences. Moricz [7] studied some properties of double sequences of real and complex numbers. Recently different types of double sequence have been introduced and investigated from different aspects by Basarir and Sonalcan [2], Colak and Turkmenoglu [4], Turkmenoglu [14], Moricz and Rhodes [8], Tripathy [10], Tripathy and Sarma ([12], [13]) and many others.

Definition 2.1. A multiple sequence space E is said to be solid if $\langle \alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$, whenever $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$ for all multiple sequences $\langle \alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ of scalars with $|\alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}| \leq 1$, for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N$.

Definition 2.2. A multiple sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$, implies $\langle a_{\pi(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)} \rangle \in E$, where $\pi(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ are permutations of $N \times N \dots \times N$.

Definition 2.3. A multiple sequence space E is said to be monotone if it contains the canonical pre-images of all its step spaces.

Definition 2.4. A multiple sequence space E is said to be convergence free if $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$, whenever $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$ and $b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = \theta$ whenever $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = \theta$.

Remark 2.5. A sequence space E is solid implies E is monotone.

Let M be an Orlicz function. Now we introduce the following multiple sequence spaces:

$${}^k\ell_\infty(M, q) = \left\{ \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}^k\omega(q) : \sup_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \infty \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$${}^k c(M, q) = \left\{ \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}^k\omega(q) : M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \right. \\ \left. \text{as } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}$$

$A = \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}^k c^R(M, q)$, i.e., regularly convergent if $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}^k c(M, q)$ and the following limits hold:

There exists $L_{n_2 n_3 \dots n_k}, L_{n_1 n_3 \dots n_k}, L_{n_1 n_2 n_4 \dots n_k}, \dots, L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \dots n_k}, \dots,$
 $L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1}} \in X$ such that

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_2 n_3 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_1 \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1 n_3 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_2 \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } n_1, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1 n_2 n_4 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_3 \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } n_1, n_2, n_4, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

.....

.....

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_i \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{i-1}, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

.....

.....

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1}}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n_k \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \text{ and } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} \in N.$$

Without loss of generality, ρ can be chosen to be same for all the above cases.

The definition of ${}_k c_0(M, q)$ and ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$ follows from the above definition on taking

$$L = L_{n_2 n_3 \dots n_k} = L_{n_1 n_3 n_4 \dots n_k} = \dots = L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{i-1} n_{i+1} \dots n_k} = \dots = L_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_{k-1}} = \theta \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N$$

Remark 2.6. *The space ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$ has the following definition too.*

$${}_k c_0^R(M, q) = \left\{ \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \omega(q) : M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \max\{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} \rightarrow \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

We also define

$${}_k c^B(M, q) = {}_k c(M, q) \cap {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q) \text{ and } {}_k c_0^B(M, q) = {}_k c_0(M, q) \cap {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q).$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *The classes $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c^R$, and ${}_k c_0^R$ of multiple sequences are linear spaces.*

Proof: We prove it for the case ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ and other cases can also be proved similarly.

$$\text{Let } \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle, \langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q).$$

Then we have

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho_1 > 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho_2 > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Let α, β be scalars and $\rho = \max\{2|\alpha|\rho_1, 2|\beta|\rho_2\}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{\alpha a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} + \beta b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle \alpha a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} + \beta b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$.

Thus ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ is a linear space. \square

Theorem 3.2. *The spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k C^B, {}_k C_0^B, {}_k C^R, {}_k C_0^R$ are semi-normed spaces, semi-normed by*

$$f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof: Clearly $f(\langle \bar{\theta} \rangle) = 0$ and $f(-\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) = f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle)$ for all $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$. Let $\lambda \in C$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) &= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ f(\lambda \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) &= \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{\lambda a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\ &= |\lambda| \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \text{ where } r = \frac{\rho}{|\lambda|} \\ &= |\lambda| f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Next let $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle, \langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) &= \inf \left\{ \rho_1 > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}, \\ f(\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) &= \inf \left\{ \rho_2 > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \leq 1$$

and

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \leq 1.$$

Let $\rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} + b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2} \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since ρ_1 and ρ_2 are non-negative, so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle + \langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) \\
&= \inf \left\{ \rho = \rho_1 + \rho_2 > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} + b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \inf \left\{ \rho_1 > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&\quad + \inf \left\{ \rho_2 > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} \\
&= f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle + \langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence f is a semi-norm on $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k\ell_\infty, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R, {}_k c_0^R$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *The spaces ${}_k\ell_\infty(M, q)$ and ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$ are symmetric where as the spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R, {}_k c_0^R$ are not symmetric.*

Proof: The space ${}_k\ell_\infty(M, q)$ is symmetric is obvious. We prove it for ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$.

Let $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k c_0^R(M, q)$. Then for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists positive integers $k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, k_k, k_{k+1}$ such that

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_1 > k_1 \text{ for all } n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N$$

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_2 > k_2 \text{ for all } n_1, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N$$

.....

.....

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_k > k_k \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots, n_{k-1} \in N$$

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_1 > k_{k+1}, n_2 > k_{k+1}, \dots, n_k > k_{k+1}$$

and without loss of generality ρ can be chosen to be same for the $(k+1)$ cases.

Let $k_0 = \max \{k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots, k_k, k_{k+1}\}$.

Let $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ be a rearrangement of $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$. Then we have

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = b_{n_{i_1} n_{i_2} n_{i_3} \dots n_{i_k}} \text{ for all } i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \in N.$$

Let

$$k_{k+2} = \max \{n_{11}, n_{21}, \dots, n_{k1}, n_{(k+1)1}, n_{(k_0)1}, n_{12}, \dots, n_{k2}, n_{(k+1)2}, n_{(k_0)2}, \dots, n_{1k}, n_{2k}, \dots, n_{nk}, n_{(k+1)k}, n_{(k_0)k}\}.$$

Then we have

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_1 > k_{k+2}, n_2 > k_{k+2}, \dots, n_k > k_{k+2}.$$

Thus $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k c_0^R(M, q)$. Hence ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$ is a symmetric space. \square

To show that ${}_k c^R(M, q)$ is not symmetric, we consider the following example.

Example 3.4. Let $X = C$ and define $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ by

$$a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = 1, \text{ for all } n_1 = 1 \text{ and for all } n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

$$= 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k c^R(M, q)$

Now consider the rearranged sequence $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ of $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ defined by

$$b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = 1 \text{ for all } n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_k$$

$$= 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \notin {}_k c^R(M, q)$. Hence ${}_k c^R(M, q)$ is not symmetric.

Examples similar to the above can be constructed to establish that the other spaces are also not symmetric.

Theorem 3.5. The spaces ${}_k c_0^R(M, q)$, ${}_k c_0^B(M, q)$, ${}_k c_0(M, q)$ and ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ are solid, but the spaces ${}_k c(M, q)$, ${}_k c^B(M, q)$ and ${}_k c^R(M, q)$ are not solid.

Proof: The spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c_0, {}_k c_0^B$ and ${}_k c_0^R$, are solid follows from the following inequality.

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{\alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \leq M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N \text{ and scalars } \langle \alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \text{ with } |\alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}| \leq 1, \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N. \quad \square$$

To show that ${}_k c(M, q)$, ${}_k c^B(M, q)$ and ${}_k c^R(M, q)$ are not solid we consider the following example.

Example 3.6. Let $X = C$, $M(x) = x$, $q(x) = |x|$. Define the sequence $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ by $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = 1$ for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N$. Consider the sequence

$\langle \alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ of scalars defined by $\alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = (-1)^{n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k}$ for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in Z(M, q)$, but $\langle \alpha_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \notin Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k c, {}_k c^B$ and ${}_k c^R$. Hence $Z(M, q)$ is not solid for $Z = {}_k c, {}_k c^B, {}_k c^R$.

Theorem 3.7. The spaces $Z(M, q)$ are monotone for $Z = {}_k c_0^R, {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c_0, {}_k c_0^B$, but are not monotone for $Z = {}_k c, {}_k c^B, {}_k c^R$.

Proof: The first part follows from the Remark 2.5 and Theorem 3.5. For the second part, we consider the following example. \square

Example 3.8. Let $X = C$, $M(x) = x$, $q(x) = |x|$. Consider the sequence $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle$ defined by $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = 1$ for all $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. We consider its pre-images on the step space E defined by $\langle b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in E$, implies $b_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} = 0$, for n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k even and for all $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the pre-image of $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \notin Z(M, q)$, for $Z = {}_k c, {}_k c^B, {}_k c^R$.

Theorem 3.9. Let X be a complex semi-normed space, then the spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k c_0^R, {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c^R, {}_k c_0^B$ and ${}_k c^B$ are complete semi-normed spaces semi-normed f defined by (3.3).

Proof: We prove it for the space ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ and other cases can be established following similar technique.

Let $A_i = \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i \rangle$ be a Cauchy sequence in ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed and $r > 0$, we choose x_0 such that $M\left(\frac{rx_0}{2}\right) \geq 1$ and $rx_0 \geq 1$. Then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that

$$f(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_2 \dots n_k}^j) < \frac{\varepsilon}{rx_0} \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0.$$

Using definition of semi-norm we have

$$\inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} < \frac{\varepsilon}{rx_0}, \quad (3.4)$$

for all $i, j \geq m_0$

and

$$\sup_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{f(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j)} \right) \right) \leq 1, \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0.$$

It follows that

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{f(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j)} \right) \right) \leq 1, \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0.$$

For $r > 0$ with $M \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right) \geq 1$ we have

$$M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{f(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j)} \right) \right) \leq M \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right), \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0.$$

Since M is continuous, so we have

$$\begin{aligned} q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{f(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j)} \right) &\leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right), \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0. \\ \Rightarrow q \left(a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j \right) &\leq \left(\frac{rx_0}{2} \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{rx_0} \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \text{ for all } i, j \geq m_0. \\ \Rightarrow \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i \rangle &\text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } X. \end{aligned}$$

Since X is complete, there exists $a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i = a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \text{ for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

So we have from (3.4) for all $i, j \geq m_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} &< \varepsilon, \\ \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^j}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \right\} &< \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i \geq \\ m_0. \end{aligned}$$

On taking the infimum of such r 's we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \{ r > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{r} \right) \right) \leq 1 \} &< \varepsilon, \text{ for all } i \geq m_0. \\ \Rightarrow \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle &\in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q). \end{aligned}$$

Since ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ is a linear space, we have for all $i \geq m_0$

$$\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle = \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i \rangle - \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}^i - a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M, q).$$

Thus ${}_k \ell_\infty(M, q)$ is a complete semi-normed space. \square

We state the following result without proof.

Theorem 3.10. *The spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R$ and ${}_k c_0^R$ are K -spaces.*

Since $Z(M, q) \subset {}_k\ell_\infty(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R$ and ${}_k c_0^R$, so the following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.9.

Theorem 3.11. *The spaces $Z(M, q)$ for $Z = {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R$ and ${}_k c_0^R$ are nowhere dense subsets of ${}_k\ell_\infty(M, q)$.*

Theorem 3.12. *Let M_1 and M_2 be Orlicz functions. Then we have*

$$(i) Z(M_1, q) \subseteq Z(M_2 \circ M_1, q), \text{ for } Z = {}_k\ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R \text{ and } {}_k c_0^R.$$

$$(ii) Z(M_1, q) \cap Z(M_2, q) \subseteq Z(M_1 + M_2, q), \text{ for } Z = {}_k\ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R \text{ and } {}_k c_0^R.$$

$$(iii) Z(M_1, q_1) \cap Z(M_1, q) \subseteq Z(M_1, q_1 + q_2), \text{ for } Z = {}_k\ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R \text{ and } {}_k c_0^R, q_1, q_2 \text{ are two semi-norms on } X.$$

$$(iv) \text{ If } q_1 \text{ is stronger than } q_2, \text{ then } Z(M_1, q_1) \subseteq Z(M_1, q_2), \text{ for } Z = {}_k\ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R \text{ and } {}_k c_0^R.$$

Proof: (i) We prove this for the space ${}_k c^R(M_1, q)$ and the other cases can be established in a similar way.

Let $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k c^R(M_1, q)$. Then there exists $\rho > 0$ such that for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ with $0 < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_2(1)} < 1$. We have $n_{1_0}, n_{2_0}, n_{3_0}, \dots, n_{k_0} \in N$ such that

$$M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L}{\rho} \right) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_2(1)} \text{ for all } n_1 > n_{1_0}, n_2 > n_{2_0}, \dots, n_k > n_{k_0}. \quad (3.5)$$

$$M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_2(1)} \text{ for all } n_1 > n_{1_0} \text{ and for all } n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N. \quad (3.6)$$

$$M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_2}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_2(1)} \text{ for all } n_2 > n_{2_0} \text{ and for all } n_1, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N. \quad (3.7)$$

.....

.....

$$M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_2(1)}, \text{ for all } n_k > n_{k_0} \text{ and for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} \in N, \quad (3.8)$$

Thus from Remark 1.1 and from (3.5),(3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) we have

$$(M_2 \circ M_1) \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_1 > n_{1_0}, n_2 > n_{2_0}, \dots, n_k > n_{k_0}.$$

$$(M_2 \circ M_1) \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_1}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_1 > n_{1_0} \text{ and for all } n_2, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N$$

$$(M_2 \circ M_1) \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_2}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_2 > n_{2_0} \text{ and for all } n_1, n_3, \dots, n_k \in N.$$

.....

$$(M_2 \circ M_1) \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} - L_{n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } n_k > n_{k_0} \text{ and for all } n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{k-1} \in N.$$

$$\text{Hence } \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k c^R(M_2 \circ M_1, q).$$

$$\text{Thus } {}_k c^R(M_1, q) \subseteq {}_k c^R(M_2 \circ M_1, q).$$

(ii) We prove the result for the case ${}_k \ell_\infty$. Other cases will follow similarly.

Let $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M_1, q) \cap {}_k \ell_\infty(M_2, q)$. Then there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$, such that

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_2 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) < \infty.$$

Let $\rho = \max \{ \rho_1, \rho_2 \}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} (M_1 + M_2) \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_2 \left(q \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Hence } \langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M_1 + M_2, q).$$

(iii) Let $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M_1, q_1) \cap {}_k \ell_\infty(M_1, q_2)$. Then there exists $\rho_1 > 0$ and $\rho_2 > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q_1 \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) < \infty$$

and

$$\sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q_1 \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) \right) < \infty.$$

Let $\rho = \max \{ \rho_1, \rho_2 \}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left((q_1 + q_2) \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right) \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q_1 \left(\frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_1} \right) \right) + \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M_1 \left(q_2 \frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho_2} \right) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle \in {}_k \ell_\infty(M_1, q_1 + q_2)$. \square

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 3.12(i).

Proposition 3.13. *Let M be an Orlicz function, then $Z(q) \subset Z(M, q)$, for $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c, {}_k c_0, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R, {}_k c_0^R$.*

4. Particular cases

If we take X to be normed linear space, instead of a semi-normed space, then all the results of Section 3 will follow immediately. In that case spaces $Z(M, \|\cdot\|)$, where $Z = {}_k \ell_\infty, {}_k c^B, {}_k c_0^B, {}_k c^R, {}_k c_0^R$ will be normed linear spaces, normed by

$$f(\langle a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k} \rangle) = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sup_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k} M \left(\left\| \frac{a_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k}}{\rho} \right\| \right) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These spaces would be Banach spaces under f when X is a Banach space.

References

1. Altin, Y.; Et, M. and Tripathy, B.C. : The sequence space $|N_p|(M, r, q, s)$ on seminormed spaces; *Appl. Math. Comput.*; 154(2004), 423-430.
2. Basarir, M. and Sonalcan, O.: On some double sequence spaces: *J. Ind. Acad. Math.*; 21(2) (1999), 193-200.
3. Bromwich, T. J. IA : *An introduction to the theory of Infinite Series*; MacMillan & Co. Ltd. Newyork (1965).
4. Colak, R. and Turkmenoglu, A. : The double sequence spaces $\ell_\infty^2(p)$, $c_0^2(p)$ and $c^2(p)$, (to appear).
5. Hardy, G.H.: On the convergence of certain multiple series; *Proc. Camb.Phil. Soc.*; 19 (1917) 86-95.
6. Lindenstrauss, J. and Tzafriri, L.: On Orlicz sequence spaces: *Israel J. Math.*; 10(1971), 379-390.
7. Moricz, F.: Extension of the spaces c and c_0 from single to double sequences: *Acta. Math. Hung.*; 57 (1-2), (1991), 129-136.

8. Moricz, F and Rhoades, B.E.: Almost convergence of double sequences and strong regularity of summability matrices; *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*; 104 (1988), 283-294.
9. Tripathy B.C.: Statistically convergent double sequence spaces; *Tamkang J. Math.*; 34(3) (2003), 231-237.
10. Tripathy, B. C.: Generalized difference paranormed statistically convergent sequences defined by Orlicz functions in a locally convex space; *Soochow J. Math.*; 30(4)(2004), 431-446.
11. Tripathy, B.C. and Mahanta, S. : On a class of generalized lacunary difference sequence spaces defined by Orlicz functions; *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (Eng. Ser.)*; 20(2)(2004),231-238.
12. Tripathy, B. C. and Sarma,B. : Vector valued double sequence spaces defined by Orlicz function; *Math. Slovaca*; 59(6)(2009), 767-776.
13. Tripathy, B. C. and Sarma, B. : Double sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers defined by Orlicz function; *Acta Math. Sci.*, 31B(1)(2011), 134-140.
14. Turkmenoglu, A. : Matrix transformation between some classes of double sequences; *Jour. Inst. Math. & Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.)* ; 12(1) (1999), 23-31.

Binod Chandra Tripathy
Mathematical Science Division,
Institute of Advanced Study in Science and Technology,
Pachim Boragaon; Gorchuk. Guwahati-781035; India.
E-mail address: tripathybc@yahoo.com, tripathybc@rediffmail.com

and

Rupanjali Goswami
Department of Mathematics,
Raha Higher Secondary School,
Raha, Nagaon-782103, ASSAM.
E-mail address: goswami_rupanjali@yahoo.com