



Some new generalized topologies via hereditary classes

M.Rajamani, V.Inthumathi and R.Ramesh

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we introduce and study the notions of $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ in hereditary generalized topological spaces introduced by Csaszar. Using these notions we obtain new generalized topologies $\kappa\mu^*$ via hereditary classes.

Key Words: hereditary generalized topological space, $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ -sets, $\kappa\mu^*$ -topology

Contents

1 Introduction and Preliminaries	71
2 $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ sets via hereditary classes	72
3 Some new generalized topologies via hereditary classes	74

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In 1990, Jankovic and Hamlett [5] obtained a new topology τ^* from the old one via ideals. In 2002, Csaszar [1], introduced the notions of generalized topology. In 2007, Csaszar [2], showed that the construction leading from a topology τ and an ideal of sets to another topology remains valid, if topology is replaced by generalized topology and ideal by hereditary classes and he constructed the generalized topology μ^* . In this paper we continue the study of construction of such generalized topologies by using μ -semi-open, μ - α -open, μ -pre-open and μ - β -open sets via hereditary classes.

Let X be any nonempty set and μ be a GT [1] of subsets of X . A nonempty family \mathcal{H} of subsets of X is said to be a *hereditary class* [2], if $A \in \mathcal{H}$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in \mathcal{H}$. A generalized topological space (X, μ) with a hereditary class \mathcal{H} is hereditary generalized topological space and denoted by (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) . For each $A \subseteq X$, $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = \{x \in X : A \cap V \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for every } V \in \mu \text{ such that } x \in V\}$ [2]. For $A \subset X$, define $c_\mu^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ and $\mu^* = \{A \subset X : X - A = c_\mu^*(X - A)\}$. A subset A of (X, μ) is μ - α -open [3] (resp. μ -semiopen [3], μ -preopen [3], μ - β -open [3]), if $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu i_\mu(A)$ (resp. $A \subset c_\mu i_\mu(A)$, $A \subset i_\mu c_\mu(A)$, $A \subset c_\mu i_\mu c_\mu(A)$). We denote the family of all μ - α -open sets, μ -semiopen sets, μ -preopen sets and μ - β -open sets by $\alpha(\mu)$, $\sigma(\mu)$, $\pi(\mu)$ and $\beta(\mu)$. On GT, $\mu \subset \alpha(\mu) \subset \sigma(\mu) \subset \beta(\mu)$ and $\alpha(\mu) \subset \pi(\mu) \subset \beta(\mu)$ [3].

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54A05

2. $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ sets via hereditary classes

Definition 2.1 Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \notin \mathcal{H} \text{ for every } U \in \kappa\}$.

When there is no ambiguity, We simply write A^* , A_α^* , A_σ^* , A_π^* and A_β^* for $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$, $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$, $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$, $A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$ and $A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$, respectively.

Proposition 2.2 Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) . Then $A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.

The converse of the assertions of Proposition 2.2 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 2.3 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$.

1. Let $A = \{c, e\}$. Then $A^* = \{c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{e\} = A_\alpha^*$.
2. Let $A = \{a, d\}$. Then $A_\alpha^* = \{a, c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{a, c, d\} = A_\pi^*$.
3. Let $A = \{a\}$. Then $A_\pi^* = \{a, c\} \not\subseteq \{a\} = A_\beta^*$.

Proposition 2.4 Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) . Then $A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.

The converse of the assertions of Proposition 2.4 need not be true as shown in the following example.

Example 2.5 Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, \{b, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}\}$.

1. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$. Then $A^* = \{b, c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{b, c\} = A_\beta^*$.
2. Let $A = \{d\}$. Then $A^* = \{d, e\} \not\subseteq \{d\} = A_\alpha^*$.
3. Let $A = \{c\}$. Then $A_\alpha^* = \{c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{c\} = A_\sigma^*$.

Lemma 2.6 Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space, $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$, $\kappa \cap A \in \mathcal{H}$ imply $\kappa \cap A_\kappa^* = \emptyset$. Hence $H_\kappa^* = X - \kappa$, if $H \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof: Let $x \in \kappa \cap A_\kappa^*$, implies $\kappa \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}$. Now $H \in \mathcal{H}$ implies $\kappa \cap H \in \mathcal{H}$ for $x \notin H_\kappa^*$, thus $H_\kappa^* \subset X - \kappa$. On the other hand $X - \kappa \subset H_\kappa^*$. Hence $H_\kappa^* = X - \kappa$. \square

Definition 2.7 Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space, let $x \in X$ and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then a subset \mathcal{N} of X is said to be κ -neighbourhood of x , if there exists a κ -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. Set of all κ -neighbourhoods of x is denoted by $\kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$.

Proposition 2.8 *Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then $A_\kappa^* \subset c_\kappa(A)$.*

Proof: Let $x \notin c_\kappa(A)$. Then there exists a $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A = \emptyset \in \mathcal{H}$, so that $x \notin A_\kappa^*$. Hence $A_\kappa^* \subset c_\kappa(A)$. \square

Proposition 2.9 *Let $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$ and A be a κ -closed subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) . Then $A_\kappa^* \subset A$.*

Proof: Let A be κ -closed. By Proposition 2.8 we have $A_\kappa^* \subset c_\kappa(A) = A$. \square

Remark 2.10 *Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then*

1. $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$, if $\kappa = \mu$.
2. If $\mathcal{H} = \emptyset$, then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = c_\kappa(A)$.
3. If $\mathcal{H} = P(X)$, then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = \emptyset$.
4. If $A \in \mathcal{H}$, then $A_\kappa^* = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.11 *If A and B are subsets of hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then*

1. $A \subseteq B$, then $A_\kappa^* \subseteq B_\kappa^*$.
2. $A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) \subseteq c_\kappa(A)$ and A_κ^* is κ -closed set in (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) .
3. $(A_\kappa^*)_\kappa^* \subseteq A_\kappa^*$.
4. $(A \cup B)_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^* \cup B_\kappa^*$.
5. $A_\kappa^* - B_\kappa^* = (A - B)_\kappa^* - B_\kappa^* \subseteq (A - B)_\kappa^*$.
6. If $U \in \mu$, then $U \cap A_\kappa^* = U \cap (U \cap A)_\kappa^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\kappa^*$.
7. If $H_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, then $(A \cup H_0)_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^* = (A - H_0)_\kappa^*$.
8. If $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2$, then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1)$.
9. $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2) = A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$.

Proof: 1. Suppose that $A \subseteq B$ and $x \notin B_\kappa^*$. Then there exists a $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap B \in \mathcal{H}$. Since $A \subseteq B$, $U \cap A \subseteq U \cap B \in \mathcal{H}$ and $x \notin A_\kappa^*$. Hence $A_\kappa^* \subseteq B_\kappa^*$.
 2. We know that $A_\kappa^* \subseteq c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*)$, Let $x \notin A_\kappa^*$. Then there exists a $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}$. By Lemma 2.6, $\kappa \cap A_\kappa^* = \emptyset$ involving $x \notin c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*)$, $c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) \subset A_\kappa^* \subset c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*)$ and $A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*)$.
 3. By 2, A_κ^* is κ -closed and by Proposition 2.9, $(A_\kappa^*)_\kappa^* \subseteq A_\kappa^*$.

4. Let $A, B \subseteq A \cup B$. By (1), we have $A_\kappa^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\kappa^*$ and $B_\kappa^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\kappa^*$. Therefore, $A_\kappa^* \cup B_\kappa^* \subseteq (A \cup B)_\kappa^*$, let $x \in (A \cup B)_\kappa^*$. Then for every $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$, $(U \cap A) \cup (U \cap B) = U \cap (A \cup B) \notin \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}$ or $U \cap B \notin \mathcal{H}$. This implies that $x \in A_\kappa^*$ or $x \in B_\kappa^*$, that is $x \in A_\kappa^* \cup B_\kappa^*$. Therefore, we have $(A \cup B)_\kappa^* \subseteq A_\kappa^* \cup B_\kappa^*$. Consequently, we obtain $(A \cup B)_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^* \cup B_\kappa^*$.
5. Since $A = (A - B) \cup (B \cap A)$, by (4) we have $A_\kappa^* = (A - B)_\kappa^* \cup (B \cap A)_\kappa^*$ and hence $A_\kappa^* - B_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^* \cap (X - B_\kappa^*) = [(A - B)_\kappa^* \cup (B \cap A)_\kappa^*] \cap (X - B_\kappa^*) = [(A - B)_\kappa^* \cap (X - B_\kappa^*)] \cup [(B \cap A)_\kappa^* \cap (X - B_\kappa^*)] = [(A - B)_\kappa^* - B_\kappa^*] \cup \emptyset \subseteq (A - B)_\kappa^*$.
6. Suppose that $U \in \mu$ and $x \in U \cap A_\kappa^*$. Then $x \in U$ and $x \in A_\kappa^*$. Let V be any κ -open set containing x . Then $V \cap U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ and $V \cap (U \cap A) = (V \cap U) \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}$. This shows that $x \in (U \cap A)_\kappa^*$ and hence we obtain $U \cap A_\kappa^* \subseteq (U \cap A)_\kappa^*$. Moreover, $U \cap A \subseteq A$ and by (1), $(U \cap A)_\kappa^* \subseteq A_\kappa^*$ and $U \cap (U \cap A)_\kappa^* \subseteq U \cap A_\kappa^*$. Therefore, $U \cap A_\kappa^* = U \cap (U \cap A)_\kappa^*$.
7. Since $\mathcal{H}_0 \in \mathcal{H}$, $(A \cup \mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^* \cup (\mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^* = A_\kappa^*$. Also $A \cup \mathcal{H}_0 = (A - \mathcal{H}_0) \cup \mathcal{H}_0$ implies that $(A \cup \mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^* = (A - \mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^* \cup (\mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^* = (A - \mathcal{H}_0)_\kappa^*$.
8. Let $\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2$ and $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Then $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_2$, for every $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$. This implies that $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_1$, for every $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$. Hence $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1)$.
9. Let $x \notin (A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2))$. Then $x \notin$ both $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1)$ and $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Now, $x \notin A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1)$ implies that there exist atleast one $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}_1$. Again $x \notin A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$ implies that there exists atleast one $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}_2$. Therefore, there exists atleast one $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2$, which implies that $x \notin A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$. Thus, $(A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$. Let $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$. Then for each $U \in \kappa\mathcal{N}(x)$, $U \cap A \notin (\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2)$. Since $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2$, either $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_1$ or $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_2$. If $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}_1$, then $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1)$, otherwise, $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Thus $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Therefore, $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. Consequently, we have $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_2) = A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_1) \cup A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}_2)$. \square

Corollary 2.12 *Let A be a subset of hereditary generalized topological space (X, κ, \mathcal{H}) and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then $(A_\kappa^*)_\kappa^* \subseteq A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) \subseteq c_\kappa(A)$.*

3. Some new generalized topologies via hereditary classes

Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space. For $A \subset X$, define $c_\kappa^* A = A \cup A_\kappa^*$, $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. According to [2], Corollary 3.4, c_κ^* is enlarging, monotone and idempotent. Thus according to [3], Lemma 1.4, there exist generalized topologies $\kappa\mu^*$, where $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$ such that $c_\kappa^* A$ is the intersection of all $\kappa\mu^*$ -closed super sets of M ; $M \in \kappa\mu^*$ iff $X - M = c_\kappa^*(X - M)$. Also $c_\kappa^*(A) = A \cup c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) \subseteq c_\kappa(A)$.

Definition 3.1 *A subset A of a hereditary generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) is said to be $\kappa\mu^*$ -closed if $A_\kappa^* \subseteq A$.*

Lemma 3.2 *The sets $\{M - H : M \in \kappa\mu, H \in \mathcal{H}\}$ constitute a base \mathcal{B} for $\kappa\mu^*$.*

Proof: Let $M \in \kappa\mu$, $H \in \mathcal{H}$ implies $M - H \in \kappa\mu^*$ since $F = X - (M - H) = X - (M \cap (X - H)) = (X - M) \cup H$ is $\kappa\mu^*$ -closed by Definition 3.1, $x \notin F$ iff $x \in M - H$, hence $x \in M$ and $M \cap F = M \cap ((X - M) \cup H) = (M \cap (X - M)) \cup (M \cap H) = M \cap H \in \mathcal{H}$ so that $x \notin F_\kappa^*$, $F_\kappa^* \subset F$. Thus $\mathcal{B} \subset \kappa\mu^*$. If $A \in \kappa\mu^*$ then $B = X - A$ is $\kappa\mu^*$ -closed, hence $B_\kappa^* \subset B$. Thus $x \in A$ implies $x \notin B$ and $x \notin B_\kappa^*$ so that there exists $M \in \kappa\mu$ such that $x \in M$ and $H = M \cap B \in \mathcal{H}$, therefore $x \in M - H \subset X - B = A$. Hence A is the union of sets in \mathcal{B} . \square

Proposition 3.3 *Let A be a subset of a generalized topological space (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) . Then*

1. $A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.
2. $A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) \subseteq A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.

The converse of the assertions of Proposition 3.3 need not be true as shown in the following examples.

Example 3.4 *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$.*

1. Let $A = \{d\}$. Then $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{d\} = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.
2. Let $A = \{b, d\}$. Then $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{b, c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{b, d\} = A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.
3. Let $A = \{a, c, d\}$. Then $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{a, c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{a, d\} = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.

Example 3.5 *Let $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, $\mu = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, d, e\}, \{a, b, d, e\}, \{a, c, d, e\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{H} = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$.*

1. Let $A = \{e\}$. Then $A^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{e\} = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.
2. Let $A = \{b, e\}$. Then $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{b, c, d, e\} \not\subseteq \{b, e\} = A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.
3. Let $A = \{a, e\}$. Then $A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*) = \{a, c, e\} \not\subseteq \{a, e\} = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu^*)$.

Remark 3.6 *Let (X, μ^*, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space. Then $\mu^* \subseteq \alpha(\mu^*) \subseteq \sigma(\mu^*) \subseteq \beta(\mu^*)$ and $\mu^* \subseteq \alpha(\mu^*) \subseteq \pi(\mu^*) \subseteq \beta(\mu^*)$.*

Theorem 3.7 *Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space, $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$ and $A \subset A_\kappa^*$. Then*

1. $A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) = c_\kappa(A)$.
2. $c_\kappa(A) = c_\kappa^*(A)$
3. $i_\kappa(X - A) = i_\kappa^*(X - A)$.

Proof: 1. For every subset A of X , we have $A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) \subseteq c_\kappa(A)$ by Theorem 2.11. $A \subset A_\kappa^*$ implies $c_\kappa(A) \subset c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*)$ and so $A_\kappa^* = c_\kappa(A_\kappa^*) = c_\kappa(A)$.
 2. Clearly for every subset A of X , $c_\kappa^*(A) \subset c_\kappa(A)$. Let $x \notin c_\kappa^*(A)$, then there exists a $\kappa\mu^*$ open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \emptyset$. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a $M \in \kappa\mu$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \in M - H \subset U$. $U \cap A = \emptyset \Rightarrow (M - H) \cap A = \emptyset$. By Theorem 2.11 $(M \cap A) - H = \emptyset \Rightarrow ((M \cap A) - H)_\kappa^* = \emptyset \Rightarrow (M \cap A)_\kappa^* - H_\kappa^* = \emptyset \Rightarrow (M \cap A)_\kappa^* = \emptyset \Rightarrow M \cap A_\kappa^* \Rightarrow M \cap A = \emptyset$. Since M is $\kappa\mu$ -open set containing x , $x \notin c_\kappa(A)$ and $c_\kappa(A) \subset c_\kappa^*(A)$. Hence $c_\kappa(A) = c_\kappa^*(A)$ which proves (2).
 3. If $A \subset A_\kappa^*$, then $c_\kappa(A) = c_\kappa^*(A)$ by (2) and so $X - c_\kappa(A) = X - c_\kappa^*(A)$ implies $i_\kappa(X - A) = i_\kappa^*(X - A)$. □

Theorem 3.8 *Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space. Then,*

1. $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
2. $A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
3. $A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \beta(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \beta(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
4. $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \beta(\mu)) = A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \beta(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
5. $A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \pi(\mu)) = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \pi(\mu)) = A_\pi^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.

Proof: 1. Let $x \in A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu))$. Then there exists a $x \in U \in \sigma(\sigma)$ such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 2.3, of [3], $\sigma(\sigma) = \alpha(\sigma) = \sigma$. Hence $x \in A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu))$ and $x \in A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Thus $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu))$ and $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) \subseteq A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Similarly $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) \subseteq A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu))$ and $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu))$. Hence $A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \sigma(\mu)) = A_\sigma^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.

Proof of 2, 3, 4 and 5 are similar as in proof 1. □

Theorem 3.9 *Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \alpha(\mu)) = A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.*

Proof: Let $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \alpha(\mu))$ then for any $U \in \kappa(\alpha)$ such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 2.5, of [3], $\kappa(\alpha) = \kappa(\mu)$. Hence $x \in A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Thus $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \alpha(\mu)) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Similarly $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \alpha(\mu))$. Hence $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \alpha(\mu)) = A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. □

Corollary 3.10 *Let (X, μ, \mathcal{H}) be a hereditary generalized topological space and $\kappa \in \{\alpha(\mu), \sigma(\mu), \pi(\mu), \beta(\mu)\}$. Then $A_\kappa^*(\mathcal{H}, \beta(\mu)) = A_\beta^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.*

Theorem 3.11 *Let μ and μ' are GT's on X and \mathcal{H} be a hereditary class.*

1. If $\mu \subset \mu' \subset \beta(\mu)$, then $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu') \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.
2. If $\mu \subset \mu' \subset \alpha(\mu)$, then $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu')$.

Proof: (1). Let $x \in A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu')$. Then there exists a $U \in \alpha(\mu')$ such that $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}$. By Theorem 2.2, of [4], $\alpha(\mu) \subset \alpha(\mu')$. We have $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}$ for any $U \in \alpha(\mu)$ and hence $x \in A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Thus $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu') \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$.

(2). Let $x \in A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Then for any $U \in \alpha(\mu)$, $U \cap A \notin \mathcal{H}$. By Corollary 2.3, of [4], $\alpha(\mu) = \alpha(\mu')$. We have $U \cap A \in \mathcal{H}$ when $U \in \alpha(\mu')$ and hence $x \in A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu')$. Thus $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu')$. Similarly $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu') \subseteq A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu)$. Hence $A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu) = A_\alpha^*(\mathcal{H}, \mu')$. \square

References

1. A.Csaszar, *Generalized topology, generalized continuity*, Acta Math. Hungar., **96**(2002), 351-357.
2. A.Csaszar, *Modification of generalized topologies via hereditary classes*, Acta Math. Hungar., **115**(2007), 29-36.
3. A.Csaszar, *Generalized open sets in generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., **106**(1-2)(2005), 53-66.
4. A.Csaszar, *Monotonicity properties of operations on generalized topologies*, Acta Math. Hungar., **108**(4)(2005), 351-354.
5. D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, *New topologies from old via ideals*, Amer Math. Monthly, **(4)**(1990), 295-310.

M.Rajamani, V.Inthumathi and R.Ramesh
 Department of Mathematics,
 N G M College, Pollachi - 642 001,
 Tamil Nadu, India.
 E-mail address: rajkarthy@yahoo.com