



## A non resonance under and between the two first eigenvalues in a nonlinear boundary problem

Aomar Anane, Omar Chakrone, Najat Moradi

ABSTRACT: In this paper we study the non resonance of solutions under and between the two first eigenvalues for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= f(x, u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Key Words: :  $p$ -Laplacian, Nonlinear boundary conditions, Non resonance.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2 Main results</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>3 Proofs of theorems</b>	<b>61</b>
3.1 Proof of theorem 2.1 . . . . .	61
3.2 Proof of theorem 2.2 . . . . .	62
3.3 Proof of theorem 2.3 . . . . .	64
3.4 Proof of theorem 2.4 . . . . .	64
3.5 Proof of theorem 2.5 . . . . .	70

### 1. Introduction

Consider the following nonlinear boundary problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= g(x, u) \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $p > 1$ ,  $\Delta_p u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$  is the  $p$ -Laplacian and  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$  is the outer normal derivative.

The case  $g(x, u) = \lambda V(x) |u|^{p-2} u$ , where  $V$  is the weight such that

$$V^+ \neq 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad V \in L^s(\partial\Omega), \tag{1.1}$$

where  $s > \frac{N-1}{p-1}$  if  $1 < p \leq N$  and  $s \geq 1$  if  $N < p$ , has been treated by J.F.Bonder and J.D.Rossi in [3], they have proved that there exists a sequence of variational

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35A15, 34A34, 34C15

eigenvalues  $\lambda_k \rightarrow +\infty$ , defined by

$$(\lambda_k(V))^{-1} = \sup_{C \in C_k} \min_{u \in C} \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $C_k = \{C \subset W^{1,p}(\Omega); C \text{ is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(C) \geq k\}$  and  $\gamma$  is the genus's function. The authors have also proved that  $\lambda_1(V)$  is the first eigenvalue, isolated, simple and monotone with respect to the weight, and it's defined as  $\lambda_1(V) = \min \left\{ \frac{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V(x) \partial\sigma} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\}$ ,  $\lambda_2(V)$  is the seconde one characterized by

$$(\lambda_2(V))^{-1} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V(x) \partial\sigma : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = 1 \text{ and } u \in A \right\}, \quad (1.3)$$

where

$$A = \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : |\partial\Omega^\pm(u)| \geq c(V)\} \quad \text{if } s > 1 \text{ or } 1 < p \leq N,$$

and

$$A = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \int_{\partial\Omega^\pm} |V(x)| \partial\sigma \geq d(V) \right\} \quad \text{if } p > N \text{ and } s = 1,$$

with  $\partial\Omega^+(u) = \partial\Omega \cap \{u > 0\}$ ,  $\partial\Omega^-(u) = \partial\Omega \cap \{u < 0\}$ ,  $|B| = \text{meas}_\sigma(B)$  denotes the  $N-1$  dimensional measure of a subset  $B \subset \partial\Omega$ ,  $c(V) = \left( \frac{S_{p^*}}{\lambda_2(V) \|V\|_{L^s(\partial\Omega)}} \right)^\eta$ ,  $d(V) = \frac{S_\infty}{\lambda_2(V)}$  where  $S_q$  is the best constant in the Sobolev trace embedding  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $p^* = \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$  for  $1 < p < N$ ,  $p^* = \infty$  for  $p \geq N$ ,  $\eta = \frac{s(N-1)}{sp-N}$  for  $1 < p \leq N$  and  $\eta = 2s'$  for  $p > N$  and  $s > 1$ , here  $s'$  is the conjugate of  $s$ . This problem will be named  $P(V)$ .

In [2], one has proved that, in the case  $g(x, u) = \lambda V(x) |u|^{p-2} u + h$  with  $V$  satisfies the same last conditions and  $h \in L^s(\partial\Omega)$ , the solutions are in  $C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha$  in  $]0, 1[$ . Now we will study the case  $g(x, u) = f(x, u) + h$ , with  $h \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$  where  $p'$  is the conjugate of  $p$  and  $f : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Caratheodory function, we show a non resonance of solutions under and between the two first eigenvalues.

## 2. Main results

In the theorems that follow we study a monotonicity of the two first eigenvalues with respect to the weight. One consider two weight's functions  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  satisfying the condition (1.1). Without loss of generality, one can assume that the weights are in the same space  $L^s(\partial\Omega)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *If  $V_1(x) \leq V_2(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  then  $\lambda_1(V_1) > \lambda_1(V_2)$ .*

**Theorem 2.2** *If  $V_1(x) \leq V_2(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  and if one of this conditions is satisfied*

- (i)  $s > 1$  or  $1 < p \leq N$  with  $|\partial\Omega \cap \{V_1 = V_2\}| < c(V_1)$ ,
- (ii)  $s = 1$  and  $p > N$  with  $\int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{V_1 = V_2\}} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma < d(V_1)$ ,
- then  $\lambda_2(V_1) > \lambda_2(V_2)$ .

**Remark 2.1** The notation  $\lesseqgtr$  means that one has a large inequality a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  and a strict inequality in a subset with a positive measure.

In the theorems 2.4 and 2.5 we prove the existence of solutions to the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= f(x, u) + h \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $h \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ ,  $p'$  is the conjugate of  $p$  and  $f : \partial\Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a Caratheodory function, with conditions on the behavior of the ratios  $\frac{f(x,s)}{s|s|^{p-2}}$  and  $p \frac{F(x,s)}{|s|^p}$  under the first eigenvalue and between the two first eigenvalues of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p u &= |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla u|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} &= \lambda |u|^{p-2} u \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Consider the following conditions

(h1)  $\forall R > 0, \exists \Phi_R \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$  such that  $\max_{|s| \leq R} |f(x, s)| \leq \Phi_R(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .

(h2)  $\lambda_1 \leq l(x) := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x,s)}{s|s|^{p-2}} \leq k(x) := \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x,s)}{s|s|^{p-2}} \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .

(h3)  $\lambda_1 \lesseqgtr L(x) := p \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x,s)}{|s|^p} \leq K(x) := p \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x,s)}{|s|^p} \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , with

$$\text{meas}_\sigma \{x \in \partial\Omega : K(x) = \lambda_2\} < 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\lambda_2} \right)^\eta, \quad (2.3)$$

where  $\eta = \frac{N}{p}$  if  $1 < p \leq N$  and  $\eta = 2$  if  $p > N$ , and  $F : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined as  $F(x, s) = \int_0^s f(x, t) dt$ .

(h2')  $-\infty < l(x) := \liminf_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x,s)}{s|s|^{p-2}} \leq k(x) := \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x,s)}{s|s|^{p-2}} \leq \lambda_1$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .

(h3')  $K(x) := p \limsup_{|s| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{F(x,s)}{|s|^p} \lesseqgtr \lambda_1$  and  $K^+ \gtrsim 0$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .

One shows the following results

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $V$  is a weight in  $L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$  with  $\lambda_1 \leq V(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , and if the problem  $P(V)$  admits a non trivial solution  $u$ , then*

$$\text{meas}_\sigma \{x \in \partial\Omega : V(x) = \lambda_2\} \geq 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\|V\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}} \right)^\eta,$$

and  $u$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_2$ .

**Theorem 2.4** *Under the conditions (h1), (h2) and (h3), the problem (2.1) admits at least one solution characterized by a min-max principle.*

**Theorem 2.5** *If (h1), (h2') and (h3') are satisfied then the problem (2.1) admits at least one solution.*

**Remark 2.2** *1) The hypothesis (h2), (h3), (h2') and (h3') mean that  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $b_\varepsilon \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$  and  $d_\varepsilon \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$  such that a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$  one has*

$$-b_\varepsilon(x) + (l(x) - \varepsilon)|s|^p \leq sf(x, s) \leq (k(x) + \varepsilon)|s|^p + b_\varepsilon(x), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$-d_\varepsilon(x) + (L(x) - \varepsilon)\frac{|s|^p}{p} \leq F(x, s) \leq (K(x) + \varepsilon)\frac{|s|^p}{p} + d_\varepsilon(x), \quad (2.5)$$

*2) If (h1) and (h2) or (h1) and (h2') are satisfied then there exists a real  $a > 0$  and a function  $b \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$  such that a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  and  $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}$  one has*

$$|f(x, s)| \leq a|s|^{p-1} + b(x). \quad (2.6)$$

We have to use the theorems

**Theorem 2.6** *(see [1]) Let  $\Phi \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$  be a functional satisfying the palai-smale condition (PS) in a Banach space  $X$ ,  $Q_0 \subset X \setminus \{0\}$  a symmetric compact and  $E \subset X$  a nonempty symmetric set. If the following conditions are satisfied*

**(P1)** *If  $Q \subset X \setminus \{0\}$  is a symmetric compact and  $\gamma(Q) \geq \theta(Q_0) + 1$ , then  $Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$ .*

**(P2)**  $\alpha := \max_{Q_0} \Phi < \inf_E \Phi := \beta$ ,

then  $c := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in h(\bar{D})} \Phi$  is a critical value to the functional  $\Phi$ , where  $D =$

$co(Q_0)$  is the convex envelope of  $Q_0$  and  $\Gamma = \{h \in C(\bar{D}, X \setminus \{0\}) : h = id \text{ on } Q_0\}$ . Moreover  $c \geq \beta$ .

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space reflexive and  $\Phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a functional satisfying*

- (i)  $\Phi$  is weakly lower semi-continuous,  
(ii)  $\Phi$  is coercive,

then  $\Phi$  attains his minimum.

**Remark 2.3** In theorem 2.6,  $\theta(F)$  is defined for a closed and symmetric subset  $F$  in  $X \setminus \{0\}$  by:

$$\theta(F) := \sup \{k \in \mathbb{N} / \exists f : S^{k-1} \rightarrow F \text{ continuous and odd}\}$$

where  $S^{k-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|x\|_{\mathbb{R}^k} = 1\}$  if  $F \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\theta(\emptyset) = 0$ .

### 3. Proofs of theorems

3.1. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. Let  $u_1$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1(V)$  then

$$\lambda_1(V) = \frac{\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p}{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^p V(x) \partial\sigma},$$

and  $u_1$  do not change sign in  $\partial\Omega$ . Supposing that  $u_1 \geq 0$  in  $\partial\Omega$ , one show that  $u_1 > 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Indeed, if there exists  $x \in \partial\Omega$  such that  $u_1(x) = 0$ , by the regularity proven in [2],  $u_1 \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$  and by the maximum principle of Vazquez

$$\frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \nu}(x) < 0,$$

so

$$0 > |\nabla u_1|^{p-2} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial \nu}(x) = \lambda_1(V) V(x) |u_1(x)|^{p-2} u_1(x) = 0,$$

which is impossible. Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be two weight's functions such that for a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  one has  $V_1(x) \leq V_2(x)$  and  $u_1$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1(V_2)$ , then

$$(\lambda_1(V_1))^{-1} = \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p}.$$

Since  $u_1(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$  and  $V_1(x) \leq V_2(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  one has

$$\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} < \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p},$$

also

$$\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u_1|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u_1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \leq \sup \left\{ \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \right\} = (\lambda_1(V_2))^{-1},$$

consequently

$$(\lambda_1(V_1))^{-1} < (\lambda_1(V_2))^{-1}.$$

3.2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2. One takes  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  such that  $V_1(x) \leq V_2(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  and  $u_2$  an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_2(V_1)$ , then for all  $v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 v dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 V_1(x) v \partial\sigma. \quad (3.1)$$

One considers  $U_2^{\pm} = \overline{\text{span}\{u_2^+, u_2^-\}}$ ,  $C = U_2^{\pm} \cap \{u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = p\}$ , and  $v = \alpha u_2^+ + \beta u_2^- \in C$ . Applying the equality (3.1) at  $u_2^+$  and  $u_2^-$  one finds

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 \nabla u_2^+ dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 u_2^+ dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 u_2^+ V_1(x) \partial\sigma$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2|^{p-2} \nabla u_2 \nabla u_2^- dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 u_2^- dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2|^{p-2} u_2 u_2^- V_1(x) \partial\sigma,$$

that means that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2^+|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_2^+|^p dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2^+|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma,$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_2^-|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_2^-|^p dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2^-|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma.$$

It's clear that  $\gamma(C) = 2$ , and  $|v|^p = |\alpha|^p |u_2^+|^p + |\beta|^p |u_2^-|^p$ , so

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^p dx = \lambda_2(V_1) \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma.$$

But  $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = p$ , then  $\frac{1}{\lambda_2(V_1)} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p V_1 \partial\sigma$ , this's true for all  $v \in C$ . Let

$$\zeta = \min \left\{ \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \quad / \quad u \in C \right\}.$$

One poses  $a = \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2^+|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma$ ,  $b = \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_2^-|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma$ ,  $c = \|u_2^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$  and  $d = \|u_2^-\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$ , then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= \min_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma : u = \alpha u_2^+ + \beta u_2^- \text{ and } \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = p \right\} \\ &= \min_{(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{p} (a |\alpha|^p + b |\beta|^p) : c |\alpha|^p + d |\beta|^p = p \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Lagrange's theorem about the extremum, this one is attained for  $\alpha = 0$  or  $\beta = 0$ , i.e

$$\zeta = \min \left( \frac{a}{c}, \frac{b}{d} \right),$$

and it's attained for  $u_0 = \pm \sqrt[p]{\frac{u_2^+}{\|u_2^+\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}}$  or  $u_0 = \pm \sqrt[p]{\frac{u_2^-}{\|u_2^-\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}}$ . Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_2(V_1)} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma$$

and

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma = \min_{u \in C} \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p},$$

with  $\{x \in \partial\Omega : u_0(x) \neq 0\}$  is either  $\partial\Omega^+(u_2)$  or  $\partial\Omega^-(u_2)$ .

- Under the condition (i).

With the hypothesis  $|\{x \in \partial\Omega : V_1(x) = V_2(x)\}| < c(V_1)$  and since  $|\partial\Omega^\pm(u_2)| \geq c(V_1)$ , one gets

$$|\{x \in \partial\Omega : V_1(x) < V_2(x)\} \cap \{u_0(x) \neq 0\}| > 0.$$

Indeed, if not we have

$$c(V_1) > |\{x \in \partial\Omega : V_1(x) = V_2(x)\} \cap \{u_0 \neq 0\}| = |\{u_0 \neq 0\}| = |\partial\Omega^\pm(u_2)|,$$

that's not true.

- Under the condition (ii).

If  $|\{x \in \partial\Omega : V_1(x) < V_2(x)\} \cap \{u_0(x) \neq 0\}| = 0$ , then

$$d(V_1) > \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{V_1=V_2\}} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma \geq \int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{V_1=V_2\} \cap \{u_0 \neq 0\}} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma,$$

but

$$\int_{\partial\Omega \cap \{V_1=V_2\} \cap \{u_0 \neq 0\}} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma = \int_{\{u_0 \neq 0\}} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma = \int_{\partial\Omega^\pm(u_2)} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma,$$

this contradicts the result  $\int_{\partial\Omega^\pm(u_2)} |V_1(x)| \partial\sigma \geq d(V_1)$ .

Then, if (i) or (ii), we obtain the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|^p V_1(x) \partial\sigma < \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u_0|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma$$

with  $\gamma(C) = 2$ , consequently

$$\min_{u \in C} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma \leq \sup_{C \in \Gamma_2} \min_{u \in C} \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p V_2(x) \partial\sigma}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} = \frac{1}{\lambda_2(V_2)}.$$

Finally  $\frac{1}{\lambda_2(V_1)} < \frac{1}{\lambda_2(V_2)}$ .

3.3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.3.  $V$  is taken such that  $\lambda_1 \leq V(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , so, by the theorem 2.1, one has  $\lambda_1(V) < \lambda_1(\lambda_1) = 1$ , and if  $u$  is a non trivial solution to the problem  $P(V)$ , then 1 is an eigenvalue, thus  $u$  changes sign on  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $|\partial\Omega^\pm(u)| \geq c(V) = \left(\frac{S_{P^*}}{\|V\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}}\right)^\eta$  and  $|\partial\Omega(u) \cap \{u = 0\}| \geq c(V)$  where  $\eta = \frac{N}{p}$  if  $1 < p \leq N$  and  $\eta = 2$  if  $p > N$ . From (1.3) we have

$$(\lambda_2)^{-1} = \sup \left\{ \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p \partial\sigma : \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = 1 \text{ and } |\partial\Omega^\pm(v)| \geq c(1) = \left(\frac{S_{P^*}}{\lambda_2}\right)^\eta \right\},$$

and  $\|V\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \leq \lambda_2$  implies that  $c(V) \geq c(1)$ , then

$$\frac{u}{\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}} \in \left\{ v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = 1 \text{ and } |\partial\Omega^\pm(v)| \geq c(1) \right\},$$

so

$$\lambda_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \partial\sigma \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\partial\Omega} V(x) |u|^p \partial\sigma.$$

One deduct that  $\int_{\partial\Omega} (\lambda_2 - V(x)) |u|^p \partial\sigma \leq 0$ , but  $V(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , thus one has  $V(x) = \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\{x \in \partial\Omega : u(x) \neq 0\}$ , consequently

$$meas_\sigma \{x \in \partial\Omega : V(x) = \lambda_2\} \geq 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\|V\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}} \right)^\eta.$$

In addition to this, we have for all  $w$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u w = \int_{\partial\Omega} V(x) |u|^{p-2} u w = \lambda_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u w.$$

i.e  $u$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_2$ .

3.4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.4. One introduces the energy's function  $\Phi$  associated to the problem (2.1)

$$\Phi(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, u) - \int_{\partial\Omega} h u \partial\sigma.$$

Under the conditions (h1), (h2) and (h3)  $\Phi$  is well defined,  $C^1$  and for all  $u$  and  $v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\langle \Phi'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} u v \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x, u) v \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} h v \partial\sigma. \quad (3.2)$$

i) Let us show that  $\Phi$  is (PS)

By contradiction, we suppose that there exists a sequence  $(u_n)_n$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\Phi(u_n)$  bounded and  $\Phi'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ . One poses



$v_n = \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}}$  then  $\|v_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1$ , so  $(v_n)_n$  admits a subsequence, noted also,  $(v_n)_n$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_n &\rightharpoonup v && \text{in } W^{1,p}(\Omega) \\ v_n &\rightarrow v && \text{in } L^p(\Omega) \\ v_n &\rightarrow v && \text{in } L^p(\partial\Omega) \\ v_n(x) &\rightarrow v(x) && \text{a.e. in } \Omega \end{aligned}$$

Applying the equality (3.2) at  $u_n$  and dividing by  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}$ , we obtain for all  $w$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \Phi'_p(u_n), w \rangle}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{p-2} v_n w \partial\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} w \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} w \partial\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Tending  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\langle \Phi'_p(u_n), w \rangle}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} &\rightarrow 0 \\ \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_n|^{p-2} \nabla v_n \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} |v_n|^{p-2} v_n w &\rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla w + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p-2} v w \\ \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} w \partial\sigma &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and for  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} w \partial\sigma$ , one has from (2.6) the sequence  $\left( \frac{f(\cdot, u_n(\cdot))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \right)_n$  is bounded in  $L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ , then for a subsequence  $\left( \frac{f(\cdot, u_n(\cdot))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \right)_n$  converges weakly in  $L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$  to a function  $g \in L^{p'}(\partial\Omega)$ .

**Lemma 3.4.1** *If (2.6) then  $g(x) = 0$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega \cap \{v = 0\}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $B = \partial\Omega \cap \{v = 0\}$ , and consider the function test  $T(x) = \text{sign}(g(x)) I_B$  where  $I_B$  is the characteristic function of  $B$  and  $\text{sign} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$  such that  $\text{sign}(x) = 1$  if  $x \geq 0$  and  $\text{sign}(x) = -1$  if  $x < 0$ . From (2.6) for  $s = u_n(x)$ , multiplying by  $T(x)$  and dividing by  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}$ , one has a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  :

$$\left| \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} T(x) \right| \leq a |v_n(x)|^{p-1} + \frac{b(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^{p'}(\partial\Omega),$$

then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} T(x) \partial\sigma \rightarrow \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x) T(x) \partial\sigma = \int_B |g(x)| \partial\sigma = 0,$$

so  $g(x) = 0$  a.e in  $B$ . □

Let, now, consider the function  $m(x)$  defined as

$$m(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{g(x)}{|v|^{p-2}v} & \text{in } \partial\Omega \setminus B \\ \frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) & \text{in } B. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 3.4.2** *The operator  $T : W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$  such that for all  $u$  and  $v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ :  $\langle T(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx$ , is monotone of type  $(S_+)$ .*

**Proof:** For  $u$  and  $v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  one has

$$\langle T(u), v \rangle \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^{p-1} \|\nabla v\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T(u) - T(v), u - v \rangle &= \|\nabla u\|_p^p + \|\nabla v\|_p^p - \langle T(u), v \rangle - \langle T(v), u \rangle \\ &\geq \left( \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla v\|_p^{p-1} \right) \left( \|\nabla u\|_p - \|\nabla v\|_p \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with  $\|u\|_p = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ , so  $T$  is monotone. Let  $(u_n)_n$  be a sequence such that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  weakly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  and  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \leq 0$ , we will show that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Remarking that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L^p(\Omega)$ , one conclude that  $\|u_n - u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ . From (3.4) one has

$$\langle T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \geq \left( \|\nabla u_n\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} \right) \left( \|\nabla u_n\|_p - \|\nabla u\|_p \right) + \langle T(u), u_n - u \rangle,$$

since  $u_n \rightarrow u$  then  $\langle T(u), u_n - u \rangle \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(u_n), u_n - u \rangle \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \|\nabla u_n\|_p^{p-1} - \|\nabla u\|_p^{p-1} \right) \left( \|\nabla u_n\|_p - \|\nabla u\|_p \right) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\|\nabla u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  and  $\|u_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ , then  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ . According to the propriety that  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  is uniformly convexe, one conclude that  $\|u_n - u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.3** *The sequence  $(v_n)_n$  is strongly convergent to  $v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  witch's a solution of the problem  $P(m)$*

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_p v &= |v|^{p-2} v & \text{in } \Omega \\ |\nabla v|^{p-2} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} &= m(x) |v|^{p-2} v & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** From (3.2), one has for all  $u$  and  $v$  in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\langle \Phi'_p(u), v \rangle = \langle T(u), v \rangle + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p-2} uv \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} f(x, u) v \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} h v \partial\sigma. \quad (3.5)$$

Replacing  $u$  by  $u_n$ ,  $v$  by  $v_n - v$  in (3.5) and dividing by  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}$ , one concludes

$$\langle T(v_n), v_n - v \rangle = \frac{\langle \Phi'_p(u_n), v_n - v \rangle}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(x, u_n)(v_n - v)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{h(v_n - v)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}}, \quad (3.6)$$

but  $\Phi'_p(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  in  $W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ ,  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ ,  $v_n \rightarrow v$  in  $L^p(\Omega)$  and  $\frac{f(x, u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \rightharpoonup m(x)|v|^{p-2}v$  weakly in  $L^{p'}(\Omega)$  then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle T(v_n), v_n - v \rangle = 0,$$

and since  $T$  is  $(S_+)$  one has  $v_n \rightarrow v$  strongly in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , and

$$\|v_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1.$$

Moreover, tending  $n$  to  $+\infty$  in (3.3) one obtains for all  $w \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^{p-2} \nabla v \nabla w dx + \int_{\Omega} |v|^{p-2} v w dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |v|^{p-2} v w \partial\sigma,$$

thus  $v$  is a solution to the problem  $P(m)$ , and  $\|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |v|^p \partial\sigma$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.4** *With (h2) one has  $\lambda_1 \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .*

**Proof:** It's easy to see that  $\lambda_1 \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $B$ , it remains to show that  $\lambda_1 \leq m(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega \setminus B$ . For this, we consider the following subsets

$$D_1 = \{x \in \partial\Omega \setminus B : m(x) < \lambda_1\}, \quad D_2 = \{x \in \partial\Omega \setminus B : \lambda_2 < m(x)\}$$

and we prove that  $meas_{\sigma}(D_1) = meas_{\sigma}(D_2) = 0$ . Indeed, from (h2) one has

$$u_n(x) f(x, u_n(x)) \geq (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon) |u_n(x)|^p - b_{\varepsilon}(x),$$

one divides by  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$ , and one integrate on  $D_1$ , it comes

$$\int_{D_1} v_n(x) \frac{f(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \partial\sigma \geq (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon) \int_{D_1} |v_n(x)|^p \partial\sigma - \int_{D_1} \frac{b_{\varepsilon}(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma,$$

and when  $n$  tends to  $+\infty$  one gets

$$\int_{D_1} v(x) g(x) \partial\sigma \geq (\lambda_1 - \varepsilon) \int_{D_1} |v(x)|^p \partial\sigma.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  is arbitrary, one concludes that

$$0 \leq \int_{D_1} (v(x)g(x) - \lambda_1 |v(x)|^p) \partial\sigma = \int_{D_1} (m(x) - \lambda_1) |v(x)|^p \partial\sigma,$$

according to the definition of  $D_1$ , this inequality implies that  $meas_\sigma(D_1) = 0$ . By the same way one proves that  $meas_\sigma(D_2) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.5** *From (h3) one has  $\lambda_1 \not\leq m(x) < \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .*

**Proof:** Let us show that  $\lambda_1 \not\leq m(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ . By contradiction we suppose that  $m(x) = \lambda_1$  a.e  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , then  $v$  is an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1$ , and one has by (h3), for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\exists d_\varepsilon \in L^1(\partial\Omega)$  such that a.e in  $\partial\Omega$

$$F(x, u_n(x)) \geq (L(x) - \varepsilon) \frac{|u_n(x)|^p}{p} - d_\varepsilon(x).$$

Then, one divides by  $\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p$  and one integer, it comes

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (L(x) - \varepsilon) \frac{|v_n(x)|^p}{p} \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{d_\varepsilon(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma,$$

also

$$\frac{\Phi_p(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} = \frac{1}{p} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} h \frac{v_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \partial\sigma,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{F(x, u_n(x))}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} h \frac{v_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \partial\sigma + \frac{\Phi_p(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \\ &\geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (L(x) - \varepsilon) \frac{|v_n(x)|^p}{p} \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{d_\varepsilon(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \partial\sigma \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} h \frac{v_n(x)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^{p-1}} \partial\sigma + \frac{\Phi_p(u_n)}{\|u_n\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p}, \end{aligned}$$

passing to the limit one finds

$$\frac{1}{p} \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} (L(x) - \varepsilon) \frac{|v(x)|^p}{p} \partial\sigma,$$

this's true for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one deducts that  $1 \geq \int_{\partial\Omega} L(x) |v(x)|^p \partial\sigma$ . In addition, one has

$$1 = \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \int_{\partial\Omega} m(x) |v|^p \partial\sigma = \lambda_1 \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p \partial\sigma,$$

then  $\int_{\partial\Omega} (L(x) - \lambda_1) |v|^p \partial\sigma \leq 0$ , witch contradicts the hypothesis  $\lambda_1 \not\leq L(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ . We must here show that  $m(x) < \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ . In the opposite case, by the theorem 2.3, one has  $v$  an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_2$ , i.e  $1 = \|v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \lambda_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p \partial\sigma$ ,  $|\partial\Omega^\pm(v)| \geq \left(\frac{S_{p^*}}{\lambda_2}\right)^\eta$  and by (2.5), one has

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} K(x) |v(x)|^p \partial\sigma \geq 1 = \lambda_2 \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^p \partial\sigma,$$

so  $K(x) = \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\{x \in \partial\Omega : v(x) \neq 0\}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \partial\Omega : K(x) = \lambda_2\}| &\geq |\{x \in \partial\Omega : v(x) \neq 0\}| \\ &\geq |\partial\Omega^+(v) \cup \partial\Omega^-(v)| \\ &\geq 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\lambda_2} \right)^\eta, \end{aligned}$$

this contradicts (2.3), consequently  $\lambda_1 \not\leq m(x) < \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

Let us return to the demonstration of the theorem 2.4. The result of theorem 2.2 assures that

$$1 = \lambda_2(\lambda_2) < \lambda_2(m),$$

then 1 is an eigenvalue of the problem  $P(m)$  strictly between  $\lambda_1(m)$  and  $\lambda_2(m)$ , absurd. Finally  $\Phi$  is (PS).

ii) For applying the theorem 2.6, one constructs two sets  $E$  and  $Q_0$  satisfying (P1) and (P2).

Let  $E = \left\{ u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) : \lambda_2(K) \int_{\partial\Omega} K(x) |u|^p \partial\sigma \leq \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \right\}$ , by (2.5) and the propriety  $\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^p \partial\sigma \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1(1)} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p = \frac{1}{\lambda_1(1)}$ , one has for  $u \in E$

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, u) \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \partial\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} (K(x) + \varepsilon) \frac{|u|^p}{p} \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) + hu) \partial\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \frac{1}{p\lambda_2(K)} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |u|^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) + hu) \partial\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2(K)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)} \right) - \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) + hu) \partial\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed one knows that  $K(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$  implies that  $\lambda_2(K) \geq 1$ , moreover if  $\lambda_2(K) = 1$  then 1 is an eigenvalue for the problem  $P(K)$  with  $\lambda_1 \not\leq K(x) \leq \lambda_2$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , and from theorem 2.3,

$$meas_\sigma \{x \in \partial\Omega : K(x) = \lambda_2\} \geq 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\|K\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}} \right)^\eta \geq 2 \left( \frac{S_{P^*}}{\lambda_2} \right)^\eta,$$

this is in contradiction with the hypothesis (2.3), so  $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2(K)} > 0$ . Then for  $\varepsilon$  small enough  $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2(K)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)} > 0$ , consequently  $\Phi$  is coercive in  $E$ . Let  $\xi$  be an eigenfunction associated to  $\lambda_1(L)$  with  $\|\xi\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 1$ , one shows that

$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(t\xi) = -\infty$ . From (2.5) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t\xi) &= \frac{1}{p} |t|^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, t\xi) \partial\sigma - t \int_{\partial\Omega} h\xi \partial\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} |t|^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} (L(x) - \varepsilon) \frac{|t\xi|^p}{p} \partial\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) - ht\xi) \partial\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} |t|^p - \frac{1}{p\lambda_1(L)} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\varepsilon}{p} |t\xi|^p \partial\sigma + \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) - ht\xi) \partial\sigma \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} |t|^p \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(L)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)} \right) + \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) - ht\xi) \partial\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and since  $\lambda_1 \leq L(x)$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , one obtains  $1 > \lambda_1(L)$ , then for  $\varepsilon$  small enough  $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(L)} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)} < 0$ , thus the result is proven. We pose  $\beta := \inf_E \Phi$ , it's finite, and  $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi(t\xi) = -\infty$  implies that there exists  $t'$  sufficiently big such that

$$\alpha := \max(\Phi(t'\xi), \Phi(-t'\xi)) < \beta.$$

Take the compact  $Q_0 = \{-t'\xi, t'\xi\}$ , it's clear that  $\theta(Q_0) = 1$ , and (P2) is satisfied. Let  $Q$  be a symmetric compact in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  such that  $\gamma(Q) \geq 2$ , by the definition of  $\lambda_2(K)$  one has

$$\min_{w \in Q} \frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} K(x)|w|^p \partial\sigma}{\|w\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2(K)},$$

thus there exists  $w_0 \in Q$  such that  $\frac{\int_{\partial\Omega} K(x)|w_0|^p \partial\sigma}{\|w_0\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_2(K)}$  i.e  $w_0 \in E$ , and (P1) is satisfied. One deducts that the value

$$c := \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in h(\overline{D})} \Phi \geq \beta$$

is a critical value for the functional  $\Phi$ , with  $\Gamma = \{h \in C(\overline{D}, X \setminus \{0\}) : h = id \text{ in } Q_0\}$  and  $D = co(Q_0)$ . The proof is completed.

**3.5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.5.** The same functional  $\Phi$  is taken, it's  $C^1$  and weakly lower semi-continuous. According to the theorem 2.7, it remains to prove that it's coercive in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Indeed, for  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} F(x, u) \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} hu \partial\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p - \int_{\partial\Omega} (K(x) + \varepsilon) \frac{|u|^p}{p} \partial\sigma - \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) + hu) \partial\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} K(x) |u|^p \partial\sigma \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1(K)} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p,$$

then

$$\Phi(u) \geq \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}^p \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(K)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)}\right) - \int_{\partial\Omega} (d_\varepsilon(x) + hu) \partial\sigma.$$

From  $K(x) \leq \lambda_1$  a.e in  $\partial\Omega$ , and the theorem 2.1, one has  $1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(K)} > 0$ , then for  $\varepsilon$  small enough  $\left(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1(K)} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_1(1)}\right) > 0$ , thus  $\Phi$  is coercive in  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ . Finally,  $\Phi$  admits a critical point witch's a solution of the problem (2.1).

## References

1. A.Anane, O.Chakrone; *Sur un théorème de point critique et application à un problème de non-résonance entre deux valeurs propres du p-Laplacien*, Annales de la faculté des sciences de Toulouse, 6ème série, tome 9, n<sup>o</sup>1 (2000), p. 5-30.
2. A.Anane, O.Chakrone and N.Moradi; *Regularity for the solutions to a nonlinear boundary problem with indefinite weight*, (to appear)

3. J.F.Bonder and J.D.Rossi; *A nonlinear eigenvalue problem with indefinite weights related to the Sobolev trace embedding*, Suported by ANPCyT PICT N. 03-05009.

*Aomar Anane*  
*Département de Mathématiques et Informatique,*  
*Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed 1er,*  
*Oujda-Maroc*  
*E-mail address: ananeomar@yahoo.fr*

*and*

*Omar Chakrone*  
*Département de Mathématiques et Informatique,*  
*Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed 1er,*  
*Oujda-Maroc*  
*E-mail address: chakrone@yahoo.fr*

*and*

*Najat Moradi*  
*Département de Mathématiques et Informatique,*  
*Faculté des Sciences, Université Mohammed 1er,*  
*Oujda-Maroc*  
*E-mail address: najat\_moradi@yahoo.fr*