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## 1. Introduction

We consider the problem of classification of bounded positive solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\nabla \cdot(A(|x|) \nabla u)=B(|x|)|u|^{q-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $q>2$, and $A, B$ are weight functions, i.e., a.e. positive measurable functions. Many authors have dealt with the non weighted case, i.e., with positive solutions to the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=|u|^{q-2} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q>2$, see for instance (4).
In this case, when $n>2$, the critical number

$$
2^{*}=\frac{2 n}{n-2}
$$

appears, and it is known that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { if } 1<q<2^{*} \text {, all bounded solutions have a first positive zero, } \\
\text { and if } q \geq 2^{*} \text {, then the solutions are positive in }(0, \infty) .
\end{gathered}
$$

More recently, in 1993, the case of $(E)$ with a weight in the right hand side, $B(r)=\frac{1}{1+r^{\gamma}}, \gamma>0$, that is the Matukuma equation, was studied by Ni-Yotsutani [10], Li-Ni [7], 8], 9], and Kawano-Yanagida-Yotsutani [5], where the problem

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(r^{n-1} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{r^{n-1}}{1+r^{\gamma}}\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)=\alpha>0
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

is studied. The following result is due to Kawano-Yanagida-Yotsutani, [5], 1993:
Theorem A. Let $\gamma>0$ and $n>2$. Then
(i) If $2<q \leq \max \left\{2, \frac{2(n-\gamma)}{n-2}\right\}$, then for any $\alpha>0$, the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.1) has a first positive zero in $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) If $q \geq \frac{2 n}{n-2}$, then for any $\alpha>0$, the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.1) is positive in $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u(r, \alpha)=\infty$.
(iii) If $\max \left\{p, \frac{2(n-\gamma)}{n-2}\right\}<q<\frac{2 n}{n-2}$, then there exists a unique $\alpha^{*}>0$ such that the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.1) satisfies

- $u(r, \alpha)>0$ for all $r>0$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u(r, \alpha)=\infty$ whenever $\alpha \in$ $\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$.
- $u\left(r, \alpha^{*}\right)>0$ for all $r>0$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u\left(r, \alpha^{*}\right)=\ell \in(0, \infty)$.
- $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ has a first zero for any $\alpha \in\left(\alpha^{*}, \infty\right)$.

Later, in 1995, Yanagida and Yotsutani [11] considered the case of a more general weight in the right hand side, and they studied the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\left(r^{n-1} u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=r^{n-1} K(r)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}  \tag{1.2}\\
u(0)=\alpha>0,
\end{gather*}
$$

for $K$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \in C^{1}(0, \infty), K>0, r K(r) \in L^{1}(0,1) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(K_{2}\right) \quad \frac{r K^{\prime}(r)}{K(r)}$ decreasing and nonconstant in $(0, \infty)$.
They defined the critical numbers $-\infty \leq \ell<\sigma \leq \infty$

$$
\sigma:=\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{r K^{\prime}(r)}{K(r)}, \quad \ell:=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r K^{\prime}(r)}{K(r)}, \quad \sigma>-2, \sigma>\ell .
$$

From $\left(K_{1}\right) \sigma>-2$, and then they set

$$
q_{\sigma}:=\frac{2(n+\sigma)}{n-2}, \quad q_{\ell}:=\max \left\{2, \frac{2(n+\ell)}{n-2}\right\}
$$

and proved the following:
Theorem B. Let $n>2$ and assume that the weight $K$ satisfies $\left(K_{1}\right)$ and ( $K_{2}$ ). Then
(i) If $2<q \leq q_{\ell}$, then for any $\alpha>0$, the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.2) has a first positive zero in $(0, \infty)$.
(ii) If $q \geq q_{\sigma}$, then for any $\alpha>0$, the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.2) is positive in $(0, \infty)$ and $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u(r, \alpha)=\infty$.
(iii) If $q_{\ell}<q<q_{\sigma}$, then there exists a unique $\alpha^{*}>0$ such that the solution $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ of (1.2) satisfies

- $u(r, \alpha)>0$ for all $r>0$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u(r, \alpha)=\infty$ whenever $\alpha \in$ $\left(0, \alpha^{*}\right)$.
- $u(r, \alpha)>0$ for all $r>0$ with $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{n-2} u(r, \alpha)=\ell \in(0, \infty)$ whenever $\alpha=\alpha^{*}$.
- $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ has a first zero for any $\alpha \in\left(\alpha^{*}, \infty\right)$.

Clearly, the result in Theorem A is a particular case of that of Theorem B, since $K(r)=\frac{1}{1+r^{\gamma}}$ satisfies all the assumptions with $\sigma=0$ and $\ell=-\gamma$.

We will deal here with the case $A=B$ in $(P)$ when the solutions are radially symmetric:

$$
\left(P_{r}\right)
$$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(b(r) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=b(r)|u|^{q-2} u(r), \quad r \in(0, \infty) \\
\quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} b(r) u^{\prime}(r)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $|x|=r$ and now the function $b(r):=r^{N-1} B(r)$ is a positive function satisfying some regularity and growth conditions. We will see in section 3 that under some extra assumption on the weight $K$ in (1.2), the problem considered in [11] is a particular case of ours.

Since we are interested only in positive solutions, we will study the initial value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\left(b(r) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=b(r)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}, \quad r \in(0, \infty)  \tag{IVP}\\
u(0)=\alpha>0, \quad \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} b(r) u^{\prime}(r)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Our note is organized as follows: in section 2 we will introduce some necessary conditions to deal with with our problem and we will state our main results which are a particular case of the work in [2]. Finally, in section 3 we compare our result with the one given in Theorem B.

## 2. Main results

We introduce next some necessary assumptions to deal with (IVP). We note that if $u$ is a solution to our problem, then

$$
-b(r) u^{\prime}(r)=\int_{0}^{r} b(s)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(s) d s>0
$$

for all $r>0$, and thus $u^{\prime}(r)<0$ for all $r>0$. If for some positive $R$ it happens that $u(R)=0, u(r)>0$ for $r \in(0, R)$, then for all $r \geq R$ and such that $u(r) \leq 0$, we have that

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|=(b(r))^{-1} \int_{0}^{R} b(s)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(s) d s
$$

and thus

$$
u(r)=-C \int_{R}^{r}(b(\tau))^{-1} d \tau<0 \quad \text { for some positive constant } C
$$

implying that $u$ remains negative for all $r \geq R$. If on the contrary it holds that $u(r)>0$ for all $r>0$, then

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right|=(b(r))^{-1} \int_{0}^{r} b(s)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(s) d s
$$

and thus, for $r \geq s$ we have

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(r)\right| \geq(b(r))^{-1} \int_{0}^{s} b(\tau)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(\tau) d \tau
$$

implying that

$$
u(s) \geq\left(\int_{0}^{s} b(\tau)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(\tau) d \tau\right) \int_{s}^{r}(b(\tau))^{-1} d \tau
$$

and we conclude that $1 / b \in L^{1}(s, \infty)$ for all $s>0$. Putting it in another way, if $1 / b / \in L^{1}(1, \infty)$, then $u$ must have a first positive zero. Therefore, keeping in mind that we are interested in the positive solutions to $\left(P_{r}\right)$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $1 / b \in L^{1}(s, \infty)$ for all $s>0$.

Moreover, if $u$ is any solution to our problem, then for $r \geq s$ small enough it holds that

$$
\frac{b\left|u^{\prime}\right|(r)-b\left|u^{\prime}\right|(s)}{\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}(r)} \geq \int_{s}^{r} b(\tau) d \tau
$$

and thus

$$
b \in L^{1}(0,1)
$$

is a necessary condition for the existence of solutions to (IVP). Finally, it can be shown that

$$
\left(\int_{0}^{r} b(\tau) d \tau\right)(1 / b) \in L^{1}(0,1)
$$

is necessary and sufficient for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to (IVP). Hence, our basic assumptions on the weight $b$ will be:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$

$$
b \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right),\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0, \infty)\right)
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$

$$
b \in L^{1}(0,1), \quad 1 / b \in L^{1}(1, \infty)
$$

$$
\beta(r):=\int_{0}^{r} b(s) d s, \quad h(r)=\int_{r}^{\infty}(b(s))^{-1} d s
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\beta / b) \in L^{1}(0,1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By a solution to $(I V P)$ we understand an absolutely continuous function $u$ defined in the interval $[0, \infty)$ such that $b(r) u^{\prime}$ is also absolutely continuous in the open interval $(0, \infty)$ and satisfies the equation in (IVP).

We will show that the behavior of function

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \mapsto B_{q}(r):=\beta(r) h^{q / 2}(r) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is crucial in the study of solutions to $(I V P)$. This function played a key role when studying the problem of existence of positive solutions to the corresponding Dirichlet problem associated to our equation, see [1]. The behavior at 0 of this function is closely related to the inclusion

$$
V_{0}^{2}(b) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(b)
$$

of weighted Sobolev spaces. For a proper definition of these spaces we refer to Kufner-Opic [6]. Now also the behavior at $\infty$ of this function will be crucial for our classification results. Let us define

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\left\{s \geq 2 \mid \sup _{0<r<1} B_{s}(r)<\infty\right\}, \quad \mathcal{W}:=\left\{s \geq 2 \mid \sup _{1 \leq r<\infty} B_{s}(r)<\infty\right\}
$$

and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{0}=\sup \mathcal{U}, \quad \rho_{\infty}=\inf \mathcal{W} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set $\rho_{\infty}=\infty$ if $\mathcal{W}=\emptyset$. It can be proved that condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ implies that $2 \in \mathcal{U}$ and thus $\mathcal{U} \neq \emptyset$. Observe that

$$
\left[2, \rho_{0}\right) \subseteq \mathcal{U}, \quad\left(\rho_{\infty}, \infty\right) \subseteq \mathcal{W}
$$

We will prove in section 2 that these critical numbers can be computed as

$$
\rho_{0}=\max \left\{2,2 \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{|\log (\beta(r))|}{|\log (h(r))|}\right\}, \quad \rho_{\infty}=\max \left\{2,2 \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\log (\beta(r))|}{|\log (h(r))|}\right\} .
$$

We will denote the unique solution to $(I V P)$ by $u(r, \alpha)$. As it is standard in the literature, we will say that

- $u(r, \alpha)$ is a crossing solution if it has a zero in $(0, \infty)$.
- $u(r, \alpha)$ is a slowly decaying solution if $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(r)}{h(r)}=\infty$.
- $u(r, \alpha)$ is a rapidly decaying solution if $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u(r)}{h(r)}=\ell \in(0, \infty)$.

In the case that $u$ is a crossing solution, we will denote its (unique) zero by $z(\alpha)$.
Our main results consist of a classification of the solutions according to the relative position of $q$ with respect to the critical values $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{\infty}$. In these results, the function

$$
r \mapsto c(r):=2 \frac{b^{2}(r) \int_{r}^{\infty}(b(s))^{-1} d s}{\beta(r)}
$$

plays a fundamental role, the connection of this function with the critical values follows since

$$
\liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} c(r) \leq \liminf _{r \rightarrow 0} 2 \frac{|\log (\beta(r))|}{|\log (h(r))|}=\rho_{0}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{\infty}=2 \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\log (\beta(r))|}{|\log (h(r))|} \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} c(r) .
$$

Also, we note that in the non weighted case, that is, $b(r)=r^{n-1}, n>2$, we have

$$
\beta(r)=\frac{r^{n}}{n}, \quad h(r)=\frac{r^{2-n}}{n-2},
$$

and thus

$$
c(r) \equiv \frac{2 n}{n-2}
$$

Our first classification result generalizes the non weighted case:
Theorem 2.1 Let the weight b satisfy assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$. Let $q>2$ be fixed and assume that $c(r)=2 \frac{b^{2}(r) \int_{r}^{\infty}(b(s))^{-1} d s}{\beta(r)} \equiv \rho^{*}$. Then $h(0)=\infty$, $\rho^{*}>2$ and
(i) If $q<\rho^{*}$, then $u(r, \alpha)$ a crossing solution for any $\alpha>0$.
(ii) If $q=\rho^{*}$, then $u$ is the rapidly decaying solution given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(r, \alpha)=\left(\frac{C}{C \alpha^{1-\frac{\rho^{*}}{2}}+h^{1-\frac{\rho^{*}}{2}}}\right)^{2 /\left(\rho^{*}-2\right)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a positive constant.
(iii) If $q>\rho^{*}$, then $u(r, \alpha)$ a slowly decaying solution for any $\alpha>0$.

Finally, we generalize Theorem 2 in 11 .
Theorem 2.2 Let the weight b satisfy assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, and assume that they also satisfy
the function $r \mapsto c(r) \quad$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.
If $q \leq \rho_{\infty}^{*}$, then any solution of (IVP) is crossing.
If $q \geq \rho_{0}^{*}$, then any solution of (IVP) is slowly decaying.
If $\rho_{\infty}^{*}<q<\rho_{0}^{*}$, then there exists $\alpha^{*}$ such that

- $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ is crossing for any $\alpha>\alpha^{*}$.
- $u\left(\cdot, \alpha^{*}\right)$ is rapidly decaying.
- $u(\cdot, \alpha)$ is slowly decaying for any $\alpha<\alpha^{*}$.

This result, as well as some very strong generalizations will appear in [2].

## 3. Final remarks

In this section, we will compare our result in Theorem 2.2 with Theorem B stated in the introduction. To this end, we will show that if in addition to ( $K_{1}$ ) and $\left(K_{2}\right)$, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{1 / 2} \in L^{1}(0,1) \quad \text { and } \quad K^{1 / 2} \notin L^{1}(1, \infty) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the assumptions in Theorem 2.2 are satisfied. Indeed, as in [3], we make the change of variable

$$
r=r(t):=\int_{0}^{t} K^{1 / 2}(\tau) d \tau, \quad u(r)=v(t)
$$

and the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(t^{n-1} v^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=t^{n-1} K(t)\left(v^{+}\right)^{q-1}, \quad t \in(0, \infty), \quad\left(\quad \prime=\frac{d}{d t}\right), \\
v(0)=\alpha>0,
\end{gathered}
$$

is transformed into

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\left(b(r) u^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=b(r)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q-1}, \quad r \in(0, \infty), \quad\left(\prime^{\prime}=\frac{d}{d r}\right), \\
u(0)=\alpha>0
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
b(r)=t^{n-1} K^{1 / 2}(t)
$$

By (3.1),$r(0)=0$ and $r(\infty)=\infty$. Next, we will see that assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right),\left(H_{2}\right)$, and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ are satisfied for this $b$. Clearly, we only need to check that the first in $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ are satisfied. We begin by showing that $b \in L^{1}(0,1)$. Indeed, by making the change of variable $r=\int_{0}^{t} K^{1 / 2}(\tau) d \tau$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} b(r) d r & =\int_{0}^{t_{1}} t^{n-1} K(t) d t \\
& \leq t_{1}^{n-2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} t K(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

where here and in the rest of this note $t_{1}$ is defined by $1=\int_{0}^{t_{1}} K^{1 / 2}(\tau) d \tau$, and thus $b \in L^{1}(0,1)$. Also,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1}(b(r))^{-1}\left(\int_{0}^{r} b(\tau) d \tau\right) d r & =\int_{0}^{t_{1}} t^{1-n}\left(\int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} K(s) d s\right) d t \\
& =\left.\frac{t^{2-n}}{2-n} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} K(s) d s\right|_{0} ^{t_{1}}+\frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} t K(t) d t \\
& \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t^{2-n}}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} K(s) d s+\frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} t K(t) d t \\
& \leq \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t} s K(s) d s+\frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} t K(t) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{n-2} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} t K(t) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

implying that $\left(H_{3}\right)$ holds.
Finally, we will see that under $\left(K_{2}\right), c$ is decreasing, and thus our theorem applies: Indeed, it can be seen that in the variable $t$,

$$
c(r)=2 \frac{b^{2}(r) \int_{r}^{\infty}(b(s))^{-1} d s}{\beta(r)}=\frac{2}{n-2} \frac{t^{n} K(t)}{\int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} K(s) d s}
$$

and

$$
\frac{t c^{\prime}(t)}{c(t)}+\frac{n-2}{2} c(t)=n+\frac{t K^{\prime}(t)}{K(t)}
$$

hence, if $\left(K_{2}\right)$ holds, it must be that

$$
\frac{t c^{\prime}(t)}{c(t)}+\frac{n-2}{2} c(t) \quad \text { is decreasing. }
$$

Hence, if $c^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{0}\right)$, then $\frac{t c^{\prime}(t)}{c(t)}$ must decrease in $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$. This, together with the fact that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t c^{\prime}(t)}{c(t)}=0
$$

implies that $c^{\prime}(t)<0$ in $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$, a contradiction. Hence, there are points $t>0$ in every interval $\left(0, t_{0}\right)$ where $c^{\prime}(t)<0$, implying that if $c$ is not always decreasing, it must have a minimum, which is not possible.
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