



Fixed Point Results for G-F-Contractive Mappings of Hardy-Rogers Type

G Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we present the notation of G-F-Contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers type and give some fixed point results of Hardy-Rogers type for self-mappings in complete G-metric spaces.

Key Words: G -metric space, F -contraction.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Preliminaries	1
3 Fixed Points for G-F-Contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type	2

1. Introduction

We know by the Banach contraction principle [1], which is a classical and powerful tool in nonlinear analysis, that a self-mapping for a complete metric space (X, d) such that $d(fx, fy) \leq cd(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $c \in [0, 1)$ has a unique fixed point. Since then, the Banach contraction principle has been generated in several directions.(see [2,5,7])

The concept of a generalized metric space, or a G -metric space, was introduced by Mustafa and Sims[14]. Many authors have recently obtained different fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying various constructive conditions on G -metric spaces.

Recently, Wardowski [13] introduced a new contraction concept, F -contraction, and obtained some fixed point results by using this Contraction. In 2014, Monica Cosentino. et al.[4] have got some results on F -Contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers type.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [13] $F : R^+ \rightarrow R$ satisfying the following properties:

(F_1) is strictly increasing;

(F_2) for each sequence a_n of positive numbers, we have

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(a_n) = -\infty$;

(F_3) there exists $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0^+} a^k \cdot F(a) = 0$.

We denote with \mathfrak{F} the family of all functions F that satisfy the conditions (F_1) – (F_3).

Definition 2.2. [13] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A self-mapping T on X is called an F -contraction if there exists $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ and such that

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(d(x, y)) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ with } d(Tx, Ty) > 0.$$

Definition 2.3. [4] Let (X, d) be a metric space. A self-mapping T , X is called a F -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type if there exists $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ such that

$$\tau + F(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq F(\alpha d(x, y) + \beta d(x, Tx) + \gamma d(y, Ty) + \delta d(x, Ty) + Ld(y, Tx)) \quad \forall x, y \in X \text{ with } d(Tx, Ty) > 0, \text{ where } \alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2\delta = 1, \gamma \neq 1 \text{ and } L \geq 0.$$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 47H10.

Submitted July 19, 2022. Published September 02, 2022

Definition 2.4. Let (X, G) be G -metric space. A self-mapping T , X is called a $G - F$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type if there exists $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ such that

$$\tau + F(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq F(G(x, y, z)) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$.

Definition 2.5. Let (X, G) be G -metric space. A self-mapping T , X is called a $G - F$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type if there exists $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq & F(\alpha G(x, y, z) + \beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + \gamma G(y, Ty, Ty) + hG(z, Tz, Tz) \\ & + \delta G(x, Ty, Ty) + \Delta G(y, Tz, Tz) + eG(z, Tx, Tx)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + h + \Delta + 2\delta = 1$, $h \neq 1$ and $e \geq 0$.

Example 2.6. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $F(x) = \ln(x)$. It is clear that F satisfies $(F_1) - (F_3)$ any $c \in (0, 1)$. Each mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying (2.1) is a $G - F$ -Contraction such that

$$G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq e^{-\tau} G(x, y, z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$.

Example 2.7. Let $F : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $F(x) = \ln(x) + x$. That F satisfies $(F_1) - (F_3)$ any $c \in (0, 1)$. Each mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfying (2.1) is a $G - F$ -Contraction such that

$$\frac{G(Tx, Ty, Tz)}{G(x, y, z)} e^{G(Tx, Ty, Tz) - G(x, y, z)} \leq e^{-\tau}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$.

Remark 2.8. From (F_1) and (2.1), we deduce that every $G - F$ -Contraction T is a contractive mapping, that is $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq G(x, y, z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$.

From $(F1)$ and (2.2), we conclude that every $G - F$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type T satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} G(Tx, Ty, Tz) \leq & \alpha G(x, y, z) + \beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + \gamma G(y, Ty, Ty) + hG(z, Tz, Tz) \\ & + \delta G(x, Ty, Ty) + \Delta G(y, Tz, Tz) + eG(z, Tx, Tx) \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$, $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + h + \Delta + 2\delta = 1$, $h \neq 1$ and $e \geq 0$.

3. Fixed Points for G-F-Contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, G) be complete G -metric space and let T be a self-mapping on X . Assume that there exists $F \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $\tau \in \mathfrak{R}^+$ such that T is a $G - F$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(G(Tx, Ty, Tz)) \leq & F(\alpha G(x, y, z) + \beta G(x, Tx, Tx) + \gamma G(y, Ty, Ty) + hG(z, Tz, Tz) \\ & + \delta G(x, Ty, Ty) + \Delta G(y, Tz, Tz) + eG(z, Tx, Tx)) \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $G(Tx, Ty, Tz) > 0$, where $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + h + \Delta + 2\delta = 1$, $h \neq 1$ and $e \geq 0$. Then T has a fixed point. Moreover if $\alpha + \delta + 2e \leq 1$, then the fixed point T is unique.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point, and let $\{x_n\}$ be the Picard sequence with initial point x_0 , that is, $x_n = T^n x_0 = T x_{n-1}$. If $x_n = x_{n-1}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then x_n is a fixed point of T . Now, let $G_n = G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $x_n \neq x_{n-1}$, that is, $T x_n \neq T x_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, put $x_n = x_{n-1}$, $y = x_n$ and $z = x_n$ in the contractive condition (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau + F(G_n) &= \tau + F(G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\
&= \tau + F(G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n)) \\
&\leq F(\alpha G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + \beta G(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})) + \\
&\quad \gamma G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + hG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + \\
&\quad \delta G(x_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n) + \Delta G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + eG(x_n, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})) \\
&= F(\alpha G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + \beta G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + \\
&\quad \gamma G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + hG(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \\
&\quad \delta G(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \Delta G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + eG(x_n, x_n, x_n)) \\
&= F((\alpha + \beta)G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + (\gamma + h + \Delta)G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \\
&\quad \delta G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + \delta G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\
&= F((\alpha + \beta + \delta)G(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_n) + (\gamma + h + \Delta + \delta)G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\
&= F((\alpha + \beta + \delta)G_{n-1} + (\gamma + h + \Delta + \delta)G_n)
\end{aligned}$$

Since F is strictly increasing, we deduce $G_n < (\alpha + \beta + \delta)G_{n-1} + (\gamma + h + \Delta + \delta)G_n$ hence $(1 - \gamma - h - \Delta - \delta)G_n < (\alpha + \beta + \delta)G_{n-1}$ for all $n \in N$.

From $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + h + \Delta + 2\delta = 1$ and $h \neq 1$.

We deduce that $1 - \gamma - h - \Delta - \delta > 0$ and so $G_n < \frac{(\alpha + \beta + \delta)}{1 - \gamma - h - \Delta - \delta} G_{n-1} = G_{n-1}$ for all $n \in N$.

Consequently,

$\tau + F(G_n) \leq F(G_{n-1})$, for all $n \in N$. This implies

$$F(G_n) \leq F(G_{n-1}) - \tau \leq \dots \leq F(G_0) - n\tau \quad (3.2)$$

for all $n \in N$ and so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(G_n) = -\infty$. From the property (F_2) , we get that $G_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, let $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n^k F(G_n) = 0$ by (3.2), the following holds for all $n \in N$.

$$G_n^k F(G_n) - G_n^k F(G_0) \leq G_n^k (F(G_0) - n\tau) - G_n^k F(G_0) = -n\tau G_n^k \leq 0 \quad (3.3)$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3), we deduce that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nG_n^k = 0$ and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/k} G_n = 0$.

This implies that the series $\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ is convergent. This x_n means it is a G -Cauchy sequence. X is a complete G -metric space, there exists $u \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow u$ if $u = Tu$ the proof is finished, assuming that $u \neq Tu$. If $Tx_n = Tu$ for infinite values of $n \in N \cup \{0\}$, then the sequence x_n has a subsequence that converges to Tu and the uniqueness of the limit implies $u = Tu$. Then we can $Tx_n \neq Tu$ take that all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$.

Now by (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
G(u, Tu, Tu) &\leq G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(x_{n+1}, Tu, Tu) \\
&\leq G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + G(Tx_n, Tu, Tu) \\
&\leq G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \alpha G(x_n, u, u) + \beta G(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + \\
&\quad \gamma G(u, Tu, Tu) + hG(x_n, Tx_n, Tx_n) + \\
&\quad \delta G(x_n, Tu, Tu) + \Delta G(u, Tu, Tu) + eG(u, Tx_n, Tx_n) \\
&= G(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \alpha G(x_n, u, u) + \beta G(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \\
&\quad \gamma G(u, Tu, Tu) + hG(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + \\
&\quad \delta G(x_n, Tu, Tu) + \Delta G(u, Tu, Tu) + eG(u, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ the previous inequality, we get
 $G(u, Tu, Tu) \leq (\gamma + \Delta)G(u, Tu, Tu) < G(u, Tu, Tu)$
 which is a contradiction, hence $Tu = u$.

Now, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point. Assume that $w \in X$ in another fixed point of T , different from u . This means that $G(u, w, w) > 0$. Taking $x = u$, $y = w$ and $z = w$ in (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau + F(G(u, w, w)) &= \tau + F(G(Tu, Tw, Tw)) \\ &= \tau + F(G(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n, Tx_n)) \\ &\leq F(\alpha G(u, w, w) + \beta G(u, u, u) + \\ &\quad \gamma G(w, w, w) + hG(w, w, w) + \\ &\quad \delta G(u, w, w) + \Delta G(w, w, w) + eG(w, u, u)) \\ &= F(\alpha G(u, w, w) + \delta G(u, w, w) + 2eG(w, u, u)) \\ &= F((\alpha + \delta + 2e)G(u, w, w)) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction if $\alpha + \delta + 2e \leq 1$. Hence $u = w$. □

References

1. S. Banach, *Sur Les op'érations dans Les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux' equations integrales*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, 3, 133-181, (1922).
2. V. Berinde, F. Vetro, *Common fixed points of mappings satisfying implicit contractive conditions*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 105, (2012).
3. S.K. Chatterjea, *Fixed-point theorems*, Comptes Rendus de l'Academie Bulgare des Sciences, 25, 727-730, (1972).
4. M. Cosentino, P. Vetro, *Fixed Point Results for F-Contractive Mappings of Hardy-Rogers-Type*, Filomat, 28:4, 715-722, (2014).
5. M. Edelstein, *On fixed and periodic points under contractive mappings*, Journal of the London Mathematical Society, 37, 74-79, (1962).
6. R. Kannan, *Some results on fixed points*, Bulletin of Calcutta Mathematical Society, 60, 71-76, (1968).
7. J.J. Nieto, R.L. Pouso, R. Rodriguez-Lopez, *Fixed point theorems in ordered abstract spaces*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 132, 2505-2517, (2007).
8. D. Reem, S. Reich, A.J. Zaslavski, *Two Results in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications 1, 149-157, (2007).
9. S. Reich, *Some remarks concerning contraction mappings*, Canadian Mathematical Bulletin, 14, 121-124, (1971).
10. I.A. Rus, *Generalized Contractions and Applications*, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
11. R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces*, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 52, 797-801, (2010).
12. T. Suzuki, *A new type of fixed point theorem in metric spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications, 71, 5313-5317, (2009).
13. D. Wardowski, *Fixed points of a new type of contractive mappings in complete metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications 2012:94 (2012).
14. Z. Mustafa and B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, Journal of Nonlinear and Convex Analysis, 7, 289-297, (2006).
15. G S M Reddy, *A Common Fixed Point theorem on complete G-metric spaces*, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 118, 195-202, (2018).
16. G S M Reddy, *Generalization of Contraction Principle on G-Metric Spaces*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14, 1177-1283, (2018).
17. G S M Reddy, *Fixed point theorems of contractions of G-metric Spaces and property'P'in G-Metric spaces*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14, 885-896, (2018).
18. G S M Reddy, *New proof for generalization of contraction principle on G-Metric spaces*, Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical and Control Systems, Vol. 11, Special Issue-08, 2708-2713, (2019).

19. G S M Reddy, V Srinivas Chary, *Fixed Point Results for Almost ZG-contraction via Simulation Functions in G-metric spaces*, International Journal of Control and Automation, 12(6), 608-615, (2019).
20. G S M Reddy, *Fixed point theorems of Rus -Reich -Ciric type contraction and Hardy- Rogers type contraction on G-metric spaces*, International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29(2), 2782 -2787, (2020).
21. G S M Reddy, *Generalized Ciri'c Type Contraction in G - metric spaces*, International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing, 13(1), 302-308, (2020).
22. G S M Reddy, *Fixed Point Results for Pata Type Contractions in G - Metric Spaces*, TEST Engineering and Management, 83, 3317 - 3320, March-April, (2020).
23. G S M Reddy, *Fixed Point Theorems for (ε, λ) -Uniformly Locally Generalized Contractions*, Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 14, 1177-1183, (2018).

G. Sudhaamsh Mohan Reddy,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science and Technology(IcfaiTech), Icfai Foundation for Higher Education,
Hyderabad-501203, India.
E-mail address: dr.sudhamshreddy@gmail.com