



On Some Closed-form Evaluations for the Generalized Hypergeometric Function

Arjun K. Rathie and B. R. Srivatsa Kumar

ABSTRACT: The main objective of this note is to provide eight closed-form evaluations for the generalized hypergeometric function with argument 1. This is achieved by separating a generalized hypergeometric function into even and odd components together with the use of several known sums involving ratios of binomial coefficients recently obtained by Sofo.

Key Words: Generalized hypergeometric function, central binomial coefficients, combinatorial sum.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Closed-form evaluations	3
3 Concluding remark	5

1. Introduction

The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q(z)$ with p numerator and q denominator parameters is defined by [7]

$${}_pF_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_p \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_n (a_2)_n \dots (a_p)_n z^n}{(b_1)_n (b_2)_n \dots (b_q)_n n!}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $(a)_n$ is the well-known Pochhammer's symbol defined by

$$(a)_n = \begin{cases} a(a+1) \dots (a+n-1) & ; n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1 & ; n = 0. \end{cases}$$

In terms of gamma function, we have

$$(a)_n = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}.$$

Here, as usual the parameters a_j ($1 \leq j \leq p$) and b_j ($1 \leq j \leq q$) can have arbitrary complex values with zero or negative integer values of b_j excluded. The generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q(z)$ converges for $|z| < \infty$, ($p \leq q$), $|z| < 1$ ($p = q + 1$) and $|z| = 1$ ($p = q + 1$ and $Re(s) > 0$), where s is the parametric excess defined by

$$s = \sum_{j=1}^q b_j - \sum_{j=1}^p a_j.$$

It is interesting to mention here that the generalized hypergeometric function occurs in many theoretical and practical applications such as mathematics, theoretical physics, engineering and statistics. For more details about this function, we refer the standard texts [1, 2, 4, 9].

On the other hand, the binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\binom{n}{m} = \begin{cases} \frac{n!}{m!(n-m)!} & ; n \geq m, \\ 0 & ; n < m, \end{cases}$$

for non-negative integers n and m . It is well-known that the binomial and reciprocal of binomial coefficients play an important role in many areas of mathematics (including number theory, probability and statistics). A large number of very interesting results can be seen the research papers by Mansour [3], Pla [5], Rockett [8], Sofo [10, 11], Suri [12], Sury et al. [13], Trif [14] and Zhao and Wang [15]. However, in our present investigations, we are interested in the following results obtained by Sofo [10, 11]. These are

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n+\frac{7}{2}}{n}}{\binom{2n+9}{2n}} = \frac{9\pi}{2} - \frac{456}{35}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \binom{n+\frac{7}{2}}{n}}{\binom{2n+9}{2n}} = 9 \ln(\sqrt{2}+1) + \frac{2559\sqrt{2}}{35} - \frac{552}{5}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n+3}{n}}{\binom{3n+5}{3n}} = \frac{100\sqrt{3}\pi}{243} - \frac{10}{9}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \binom{n+3}{n}}{\binom{3n+5}{3n}} = \frac{10}{9} + \frac{40}{27} \ln 2 - \frac{160\sqrt{3}\pi}{729}. \quad (1.5)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\binom{6n+5}{5}} = \frac{40}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{15}{2} \ln 3. \quad (1.6)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{\binom{6n+5}{5}} = \frac{5\pi}{3} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \right). \quad (1.7)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\binom{n+1}{1}}{\binom{\frac{3n}{2}+3}{3}} = 3 \ln 3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} - 2. \quad (1.8)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n \binom{n+1}{1}}{\binom{\frac{3n}{2}+3}{3}} = 2 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9}. \quad (1.9)$$

In terms of the generalized hypergeometric function, the results (1.2)-(1.9) can be written in the following manner.

$${}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{9}{2} \\ 5, \frac{11}{2} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{9\pi}{2} - \frac{456}{35}, \quad (1.10)$$

$${}_3F_2 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{9}{2} \\ 5, \frac{11}{2} \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] = 9 \ln(\sqrt{2}+1) + \frac{2559\sqrt{2}}{35} - \frac{552}{5}, \quad (1.11)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, 4 \\ 2, \frac{7}{3}, \frac{8}{3} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{100\sqrt{3}\pi}{243} - \frac{10}{9}, \quad (1.12)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, 4 \\ 2, \frac{7}{3}, \frac{8}{3} \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] = \frac{10}{9} + \frac{40}{27} \ln 2 - \frac{160\sqrt{3}\pi}{729}, \quad (1.13)$$

$${}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, 1 \\ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{11}{6} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{40}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{15}{2} \ln 3, \quad (1.14)$$

$${}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, 1 \\ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{11}{6} \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] = \frac{5\pi}{3} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \right), \quad (1.15)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 2, 2 \\ \frac{5}{3}, \frac{7}{3}, 3 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = 3 \ln 3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} - 2, \quad (1.16)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, 2, 2 \\ \frac{5}{3}, \frac{7}{3}, 3 \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] = 2 - \frac{2\sqrt{3}\pi}{9}. \quad (1.17)$$

Further, it is well-known that the process of resolving a generalized hypergeometric function ${}_pF_q(z)$ into even and odd components can lead to new results. We shall employ this procedure combined with the results (1.10) to (1.17) in Section 2 to obtain eight new closed-form evaluations of the series ${}_4F_3(1)$, ${}_6F_5(1)$ and ${}_7F_6(1)$.

2. Closed-form evaluations

In this section, we shall establish the following eight new closed-form evaluations for the generalized hypergeometric function ${}_4F_3(1)$, ${}_6F_5(1)$ and ${}_7F_6(1)$.

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, 1, \frac{9}{4} \\ \frac{5}{2}, 3, \frac{13}{4} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{9\pi}{2} + 9 \ln(\sqrt{2} + 1) + \frac{2559\sqrt{2}}{35} - \frac{864}{7} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{3}{4}, 1, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{11}{4} \\ 3, \frac{7}{2}, \frac{15}{4} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{55}{9} \left(\frac{9\pi}{2} - 9 \ln(1 + \sqrt{2}) - \frac{2559\sqrt{2}}{35} + \frac{3408}{35} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

$${}_6F_5 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, 2, \frac{5}{2} \\ \frac{7}{6}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{11}{6} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{10}{27} \left(\frac{7\sqrt{3}\pi}{27} + 2 \ln 2 \right), \quad (2.3)$$

$${}_7F_6 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, 1, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{7}{6}, \frac{5}{2}, 3 \\ 2, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{3}, \frac{11}{6}, \frac{7}{3}, \frac{13}{6} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{70}{9} \left(\frac{46\sqrt{3}\pi}{81} - 2 - \frac{4}{3} \ln 2 \right), \quad (2.4)$$

$${}_7F_6 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{2}{3}, 1 \\ \frac{5}{6}, \frac{13}{12}, \frac{5}{4}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{17}{12} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{40}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{15}{2} \ln 3 + \frac{5\pi}{3} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right), \quad (2.5)$$

$${}_7F_6 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{11}{12}, 1, \frac{7}{6} \\ \frac{4}{3}, \frac{19}{12}, \frac{7}{4}, \frac{11}{6}, \frac{11}{6}, \frac{23}{12} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{1155}{4} \left(\frac{40}{3} \ln 2 - \frac{15}{2} \ln 3 - \frac{5\pi}{3} \left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}} \right) \right), \quad (2.6)$$

$${}_5F_4 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1, 1, \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{4}{3}, \frac{5}{3}, 2 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(3 \ln 3 - \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{9} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

$${}_4F_3 \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{5}{6}, \frac{7}{6}, 2, \frac{3}{2} \\ \frac{11}{6}, \frac{13}{6}, \frac{5}{2} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = \frac{105}{64} \left(3 \ln 3 + \frac{\sqrt{3}\pi}{3} - 4 \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Proof. In order to establish the results (2.1)-(2.8), we shall use the following general results recorded in [6, p. 441].

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{q+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] + {}_{q+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] \\ &= 2 {}_{2q+2}F_{2q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a_1}{2}, \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_{q+1}}{2}, \frac{a_{q+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{b_1}{2}, \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{b_q}{2}, \frac{b_q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_{q+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] - {}_{q+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; -1 \right] \\ &= \frac{2a_1 a_2 \dots a_{q+1}}{b_1 b_2 \dots b_q} {}_{2q+2}F_{2q+1} \left[\begin{matrix} \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a_1}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{a_{q+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{a_{q+1}}{2} + 1 \\ \frac{3}{2}, \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{b_1}{2} + 1, \dots, \frac{b_{q+1}}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, \frac{b_q}{2} + 1 \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

The results (2.9) and (2.10) can be established by resolving the generalized hypergeometric function

$${}_{q+1}F_q \left[\begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{q+1} \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_q \end{matrix} ; 1 \right]$$

into even and odd components and making use of the following identities:

$$(a)_{2n} = 2^{2n} \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)_n \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)_n$$

and

$$(a)_{2n+1} = a 2^{2n} \left(\frac{a}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)_n \left(\frac{a}{2} + 1 \right)_n$$

Therefore, for the derivation of the results (2.1) and (2.2), we substitute the results (1.10) and (1.11) by letting $q = 2$ and substituting $a_1 = 1/2, a_2 = 1, a_3 = 9/2, b_1 = 5$ and $b_2 = 11/2$ in (2.9) and (2.10) respectively, and after some simplification, we obtain the results (2.1) and (2.2).

Similarly, other results (2.3) to (2.8) can be established by choosing the appropriate parameters and making use of the results (1.12) to (1.17) respectively. We omit these details. \square

3. Concluding remark

In this short note, we have provided eight closed-form evaluations for the generalized hypergeometric function with argument 1 with the help of the results obtained earlier by Sofo. The results established have been verified numerically using MAPLE.

Acknowledgment

The authors thank anonymous referees for valuable suggestions and comments.

References

1. Andrews G. E., Askey, R., and Roy R., Special Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
2. Bailey, W. N., Generalized Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1935; Reprinted by Stechert-Hafner, New York, 1964.
3. Mansour, T. *Combinatorial identities and inverse binomial coefficients*, Adv. Appl. Math., 28, 196-202, 2002.
4. Olver, F. W. J., Lozier, D. W., Boisvert, R. F., and Clark, C. W., (eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
5. Pla, J., *The sum of inverses of binomial coefficients revisited*, Fibonacci Quart., 35, 342-345, 1997.
6. Prudnikov, A. P., Brychkov, Yu. A., and Marichev, O. I., More Special Functions: Integrals and Series; Vol. 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers; Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1990.
7. Raniville, E. D., Special Functions, The Macmillan Company, New York, 1960; Reprinted by Chelsea Publishing Company, Bronx, NY, 1971.
8. Rockett, A. M., *Sums of the inverses of binomial coefficients*, Fibonacci, Quart., 19, 433-437, 1981.
9. Slater, L. J., Generalized Hypergeometric Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1960.
10. Sofo, A., General properties involving reciprocals of Binomial coefficients, Journal of integer sequences, 9, Article 06.4.5, 2006.
11. Sofo, A., *Some properties of reciprocals of double binomial coefficients*, Tamsui Oxford J. Math., Sci., 25(2), 141-151, 2009.
12. Sury, B., *Sum of the reciprocals of the binomial coefficients*, European J. Combin., 14, 551-535, 1933.
13. Sury, B. Wang T. and Zhao, F. Z., *Identities involving reciprocals of binomial coefficients*, Journal of Integer Sequences, 7, Article 04.2.8, 2004.
14. Trif, T., *Combinatorial sums and series involving inverse of binomial coefficients*, Fibonacci Quart., 38, 79-84, 2000.
15. Zhao F. and Wang, T., *Some results for sums of the inverse of binomial coefficients*, Integers, Electronic Journal of Combinatorial Number Theory, 5(1), 22, 2005.

Arjun K. Rathie,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Vedant College of Engineering and Technology
 Village: Tulsī, Post: Jakhmund, Dist: Bundi,
 Rajasthan, India.
 E-mail address: arjunkumarrathie@gmail.com

and

B. R. Srivatsa Kumar*,
 Department of Mathematics,
 Manipal Institute of Technology,
 Manipal Academy of Higher Education,
 India. *Corresponding author
 E-mail address: sri_vatsabr@yahoo.com