



## Fractional Order Iterative Boundary Value Problem

M. Menchih, K. Hilal, M. Elomari and A. Kjouni

**ABSTRACT:** In the present paper, we establish the existence and uniqueness results for an iterative differential equation involving Caputo fractional derivative of order  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ . We prove our main results by applying Schauder fixed point theorem. Some fractional inequalities are used to prove the extremal solution of our proposed model. As application, an example is given to illustrate the theoretical results.

**Key Words:** Iterative differential equation, Caputo derivative, maximal solutions, fractional differential inequalities.

### Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Existence and uniqueness results</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Fractional differential inequalities</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>4 Existence of maximal and minimal solutions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Comparaison theorems</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>6 An illustrative example</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>7 Conclusion</b>	<b>10</b>

### 1. Introduction

Fractional derivatives provide an excellent tool for the description of memory and hereditary properties of various materials and processes. Fractional differential equations, in particular, are getting a lot of attention and importance. Highly remarkable monographs which provide the main theoretical tools for qualitative analysis of fractional differential equations and at the same time, show the interconnection as well as the contrast between integer differential models and fractional models (see [5,20]). Many works on the existence, uniqueness, stability, periodicity of solutions and optimal control for all kinds of fractional differential equations have been reported (see [12,13,15,17]). Iterative differential equation often arises in the modeling of a wide range of natural phenomena such as disease transmission models in epidemiology, two body problems of classical electrodynamics, population models, physical models, mechanical models and other numerous models (see [8,9,7]). This kind of equations which relates an unknown function, its derivatives and its iterates, is a special case of the so-called differential equations with state-dependent delays. More precisely, the following class of functional differential equations with state and time-varying delays (see [11]),

$$x^{(n)}(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau_1(t, x(t))), \dots, x(t - \tau_n(t, x(t))))),$$

can be considered as the mother of our equation, where the complicated lags  $\tau_i(t, x(t))$  give rise to appearance of the iterates. Almost the literature related to this type of equations that presently exists includes first order equations. Unfortunately, very few papers have been devoted to study of order greater than one (see [9,14,6,18]). The difficulty in studying these equations which have distinctive characteristic lies in iterative terms that often hinder the application of usual methods. The study of

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26A33, 34A08.

Submitted June 06, 2022. Published July 24, 2022

iterative differential equations can be traced back to papers by Petuhov [18] and Eder [9]. In 1965, Petuhov [18] considered the existence of solutions of differential equation

$$x''(t) = \lambda x(x(t)),$$

under the condition that  $x(t)$  maps the interval  $[-T, T]$  into itself and that  $x(0) = x(T) = \alpha$ . He obtained conditions on  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  for existence and uniqueness of solution. In 1984, Eder [9] used Banach contraction mapping principle to find the existence and uniqueness of solution to the following iterative differential equation

$$x'(t) = f(x(x(t))),$$

with  $x(t_0) = t_0$ , where  $t_0 \in [-1, 1]$ . In 1990, Feçkan [10] obtained the local solution of the standard iterative differential equation

$$x'(t) = \phi(x(x(t))),$$

with the initial condition  $x(0) = 0$ , where  $\phi \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . In 1993, Wang [21] studied the same equation associated to initial condition  $x(\nu) = \nu$  by using the Schauder's fixed point theorem, where  $\nu$  is the endpoint of the well defined interval. By using the nonexpansive operators, in 2010, Berinde [6] proposed the existence and the convergence results to iterative differential equation studied by Feçkan and Wang. In 2018, Kaufmann [14] established the existence and uniqueness of solutions of the functional differential equation

$$x''(t) = f(t, x(t), x(x(t))),$$

by using Schauder's fixed point.

In this paper, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of the fractional iterative boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)), & t \in ]0, T[, \\ x(0) = 0, & x(T) = T. \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

Where  $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous function,  $x^{[1]}(t) = x(t)$ ,  $x^{[2]}(t) = x(x(t))$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x^{[m]}(t) = x(x^{[m-1]}(t))$ ,  $1 < \alpha < 2$  and  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha$  is the Caputo derivative. We establish also some fractional differential inequalities which are an important and great mathematical topic for research, they have many applications, the most important ones are in establishing uniqueness of solutions in fractional differential equations and systems. Also they provide upper bounds of the solutions of the above equations. Moreover, the comparison principle is proved. Note that due to the iterative term  $x^{[i]}(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  in order for solution to be well-defined, we require that the image of  $x$  be in the interval  $[0, T]$ ; that is, we need  $0 \leq x(t) \leq T$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . Our motivations came from the fact that very little is known about fractional order iterative problems and that many dynamical systems are better characterized by the fractional derivatives. Furthermore, as far as known, this kind of problems has not been studied till now. Thus, it is worthwhile to contribute in filling this gap by continuing the investigation in this direction in order to enrich and complement the available works in the literature.

We arrange the rest of the paper as follows. In section 2, we present some definitions and properties of fractional calculus related to our work. Section 3 contains the existence and uniqueness results which are proved by using Schauder's and Banach fixed points. As an illustration, in section 4, we provide an example to show the feasibility of our main results. Some fundamental fractional differential inequalities are proved in section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the existence of extremal solution. Finally, a comparison theorem, which will be useful for further study of qualitative behavior of solutions, are given in the last section.

## 2. Existence and uniqueness results

We first convert the boundary value problem (1.1) to a fixed point problem. To do, applying the fractional integral to the both sides of the first equation of (1.1), we get

$$x(t) = c_0 + c_1 t + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds.$$

Using the value conditions, we obtain  $c_0 = 0$  and

$$c_1 = 1 - \frac{1}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds.$$

Intersecting the value of  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) = & t - \frac{t}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds \\ & + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $x$  satisfies the integral equation

$$x(t) = t + \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, x^{[1]}(s), x^{[2]}(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds, \quad (2.1)$$

where,

$$G(t, s) = \begin{cases} -\frac{t}{T\Gamma(\alpha)}(T-s)^{\alpha-1} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}(t-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq s < t \leq T, \\ -\frac{t}{T\Gamma(\alpha)}(T-s)^{\alpha-1}, & 0 \leq t < s \leq T. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Motivate by the above calculus, we can introduce the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.**  *$x$  is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1) if only and if  $x$  satisfies the inetgral solution (2.1).*

*Proof.* It's clear that  $x(0) = 0$  and  $x(T) = T$ . Applying the Caputo fractional derivative to (2.1), we obtain that

$${}_C D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in ]0, T[.$$

Which acheve the proof. □

By  $X = C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  we denote the Banach space of all continuous functions from  $[0, T]$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  equipped with the norm

$$\|x\| = \sup\{|x(t)|; t \in [0, 1]\}.$$

We define the operator  $\mathcal{A} : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$(\mathcal{A}\varphi)(t) = t + \int_0^T G(t, s) f(s, \varphi^{[1]}(s), \varphi^{[2]}(s), \dots, \varphi^{[m]}(s)) ds,$$

where  $G(t, s)$  is the function defined by (2.2).

Recall that for solutions of the boundary value problem (1.1) to be well defined, we need  $0 \leq x(t) \leq T$ , for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . As such, if  $x \in C([0, T])$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $0 \leq (\mathcal{A}x)(t) \leq T$ , for all  $0 \leq t \leq T$ , then  $x$  is a solution of the boundary value problem (1.1). To guarantee  $0 \leq (\mathcal{A}x)(t) \leq T$ , we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** *The function  $x$  is a solution of the boundary value probelm (1.1) if only and if  $x$  is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $0 \leq (\mathcal{A}x)(t) \leq T$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

To establish our existence and uniqueness results we will need the following asumptions.

(H1) There exist  $A > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)| < A,$$

for all  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $\frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} < \frac{1}{\alpha+1}$ .

(H2) There exist  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_m > 0$  such that

$$|f(t, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) - f(t, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)| < \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \|x_i - y_i\|,$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

We are now ready to present our first result which will be proved by Schauder's fixed point [19].

**Theorem 2.3.** *Assume that hypothesis (H1) holds. Then the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) has a solution defined on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* From the lemma 2.2, we first prove that  $0 \leq (\mathcal{A}x)(t) \leq T$ . To do, we consider  $t \in [0, T]$ , and we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}x)'(t) &= 1 - \frac{1}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, x(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} f(s, x(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) ds \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{A}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} ds - \frac{A}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-2} ds \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} (1+\alpha) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently  $\mathcal{A}x$  is increasing on  $[0, T]$ , which implies that  $0 \leq (\mathcal{A}x)(t) \leq T$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . To complete the proof, it's suffice to show that  $\mathcal{A}(S) \subset S$ , where  $S$  is defined by

$$S = \{\varphi \in X; \quad \|\varphi\| \leq r\},$$

such that  $1 + \frac{2AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \leq \frac{r}{T}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}x(t)| &\leq T + \frac{AT^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} + \frac{AT^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq T + \frac{2AT^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\leq r. \end{aligned}$$

Which, on taking the norm of  $t \in [0, T]$ , implies that  $\mathcal{A}(S) \subset S$ . An application of Schauder's theorem yields a fixed point of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

Now, we consider uniqueness of solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1). To this end, we need the Banach fixed point to show our second result [19].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Assume that (H1), (H2) hold. Under the condition*

$$\sum_{i=1}^m L_i \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2T^\alpha},$$

*the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) has a unique solution on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Since (H1) holds, we have  $\mathcal{A}(S) \subset S$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}x(t) - \mathcal{A}y(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) - f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s))| ds \\ &\quad + \frac{t}{\Gamma(\alpha)T} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, x(s), \dots, x^{[m]}(s)) - f(s, y(s), \dots, y^{[m]}(s))| ds \\ &\leq \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \|x^{[i]} - y^{[i]}\| + \frac{T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \|x^{[i]} - y^{[i]}\| \\ &\leq \frac{2T^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \|x - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^m L_i \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2T^\alpha}$ , then the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is a contraction mapping.

Hence, we deduce by Banach contraction mapping principle that the operator has a unique fixed point which correspond to a unique solution of problem on  $[0, T]$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Fractional differential inequalities

In this section, we discuss a fundamental results relative to strict inequalities for the fractional boundary value problem (1.1). We begin by the following lemma which will be needed later.

**Lemma 3.1.** [16] *Let  $m : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be locally Holder continuous function such that, for any  $t_1 \in (0, +\infty)$ , we have*

$$m(t_1) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad m(t) \leq 0, \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, t_1].$$

Then it follows that

$${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha m(t_1) \geq 0. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us now discuss a fundamental result relative to strict fractional differential inequalities.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that  $z, y : [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be locally Holder continuous,  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}, [0, T])$ , and*

$$(i) \quad {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha y(t) \leq f(t, y(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)), \quad (ii) \quad {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha z(t) \geq f(t, z(t), \dots, z^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

with one of the inequalities being strict. Then

$$y^{[i]}(0) < z^{[i]}(0), \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad (3.2)$$

implies that

$$y^{[i]}(t) < z^{[i]}(t), \quad t \in [0, T], \quad \text{for all} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m. \quad (3.3)$$

*Proof.* Suppose that the conclusion (3.3) is false. Let us suppose that the inequality (ii) is strict. Since  $z, y$  be a Holder continuous functions, there exist  $t_1 \in [0, T]$ , such that  $y^{[i]}(t_1) = z^{[i]}(t_1)$  and  $y^{[i]}(t) < z^{[i]}(t)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq t_1$ .

Setting  $m(t) = y^{[i]}(t) - z^{[i]}(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, t_1]$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $m(t_1) = 0$  and  $m(t) \leq 0$ ,  $t \in [0, t_1]$ . Hence by lemma 3.1, we obtain  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha m(t_1) \geq 0$ , which yields

$${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha y^{[i]}(t_1) \geq {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha z^{[i]}(t_1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

In particular, we have

$$f(t, y(t), \dots, y^{[m]}(t)) \geq {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha y(t_1) \geq {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha z(t_1) > f(t, z(t), \dots, z^{[m]}(t)).$$

This is a contradiction, since  $y^{[i]}(t_1) = z^{[i]}(t_1)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Hence the conclusion (3.3) is valid and the proof is complete.  $\square$

The next result is for nonstrict fractional differential inequalities which requires a one sided Lipshitz type condition.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Assume that the conditions of theorem 3.2 hold, with nonstrict inequalities. Suppose that*

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_m) - f(t, y_1, \dots, y_m) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \frac{1}{1+t^\alpha} (x_i - y_i),$$

whenever  $x_i \geq y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^m L_i > 0$ .

Then

$$y^{[i]}(0) \leq z^{[i]}(0), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

implies that, provided  $\Gamma(\alpha + 1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^m L_i$ ,

$$y^{[i]}(t) \leq z^{[i]}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.4)$$

*Proof.* We set  $z_\epsilon^{[i]}(t) = z^{[i]}(t) + \epsilon(1+t^\alpha), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , for small  $\epsilon > 0$ . So that, we have

$$z_\epsilon^{[i]}(0) > z^{[i]}(0), \quad \text{and} \quad z_\epsilon^{[i]}(t) > z^{[i]}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m, t \in [0, T].$$

In particular, we have  $z_\epsilon(t) = z(t) + \epsilon(1+t^\alpha)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha z_\epsilon(t) &= {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha z(t) + \epsilon {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha (1+t^\alpha) \\ &\geq f(t, z(t), \dots, z^{[m]}(t)) + \epsilon \Gamma(\alpha + 1) \\ &\geq f(t, z_\epsilon(t), \dots, z_\epsilon^{[m]}(t)) + \epsilon \Gamma(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i \frac{1}{1+t^\alpha} (z_\epsilon - z) \\ &\geq f(t, z_\epsilon(t), \dots, z_\epsilon^{[m]}(t)) + \epsilon (\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^m L_i) \\ &> f(t, z_\epsilon(t), \dots, z_\epsilon^{[m]}(t)), \quad t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $z_\epsilon^{[i]}(0) > z^{[i]}(0) \geq y^{[i]}(0)$ , hence by application of the theorem 3.2 we get  $y(t) < z_\epsilon(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

By the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon > 0$ , taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $y(t) \leq z(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Existence of maximal and minimal solutions

In this section, we shall prove the existence of maximal and minimal solutions for the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) on  $[0, T]$ . We need the following definition in what follows.

**Definition 4.1.** *A solution  $r$  of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) is said to be maximal if for an other solution  $x$  of the problem (1.1) one has  $x(t) \leq r(t)$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

*Similarly, a solution  $\rho$  of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) is said to be minimal if for an other solution  $x$  of the problem (1.1) one has  $x(t) \geq \rho(t)$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .*

We discuss the case of maximal solution only, as the case of minimal solution is similar and can be obtained with the same arguments with appropriate modifications.

Given an arbitrarily small real number  $\epsilon > 0$ , consider the following boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha x(t) = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)) + \epsilon, & t \in ]0, T[, \\ x(0) = \epsilon, \quad x(T) = T. \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

Where,  $x^{[1]}(t) = x(t), x^{[2]}(t) = x(x(t)), \dots, x^{[m]}(t) = x(x^{[m-1]}(t))$ ,  $1 < \alpha < 2$ ,  ${}^C D_{0+}^\alpha$  is the Caputo derivative, and  $f \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ .

An existence theorem for the problem (4.1) can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Assume that (H1) holds. Then for every small  $\epsilon > 0$  the fractional boundary value problem (4.1) has a solution defined on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$\frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}(\alpha+1) < 1.$$

Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}((\alpha+1) - \epsilon(1-\alpha)) < 1.$$

Now the rest of the proof is similar to theorem 2.3.  $\square$

Our main existence theorem for maximal solution for the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) is the following.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Assume that (H1) and the conditions of theorem 3.2 hold. If*

$$\frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}((\alpha+1) - \epsilon_0(1-\alpha)) < 1.$$

*Then the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) has a maximal solution on  $[0, T]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\epsilon_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  be a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ . Where  $\epsilon_0$  is a positive real number satisfying the inequality

$$\frac{AT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}((\alpha+1) - \epsilon_0(1-\alpha)) < 1.$$

By theorem 4.2, there exists a solution  $r(t, \epsilon_n)$  defined on  $[0, T]$  of the fractional boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha} = f(t, x^{[1]}(t), x^{[2]}(t), \dots, x^{[m]}(t)) + \epsilon_n, & t \in ]0, T[, \\ x(0) = \epsilon_n, & x(T) = T. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Then any solution  $u$  of the problem (1.1) satisfies

$${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha} u(t) \leq f(t, u(t), \dots, u^{[m]}(t)),$$

and any solution of auxiliary problem (4.2) satisfies

$${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha} r(t, \epsilon_n) = f(t, r(t, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(t, \epsilon_n)) + \epsilon_n > f(t, r(t, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(t, \epsilon_n)).$$

Where  $u(0) = 0 < \epsilon_n = r(0, \epsilon_n)$ . Then

$$u^{[i]}(0) = 0 < \epsilon_n = r^{[i]}(0, \epsilon_n), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Implies that

$$u(t) \leq r(t, \epsilon_n), \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } t \in [0, T]. \quad (4.3)$$

Since  $\epsilon_2 = r(0, \epsilon_2) < \epsilon_1 = r(0, \epsilon_1)$ , Then

$$r^{[i]}(0, \epsilon_2) < r^{[i]}(0, \epsilon_1), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Hence by theorem 3.2, we infer that

$$r^{[i]}(t, \epsilon_2) \leq r^{[i]}(t, \epsilon_1), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T], i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

In particular

$$r(t, \epsilon_2) \leq r(t, \epsilon_1), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Therefore,  $r(t, \epsilon_n)$  is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers and the limits

$$r(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(t, \epsilon_n) \quad (4.4)$$

exists.

Now we show that the convergence in (4.4) is uniform on  $[0, T]$ . To do, it's enough to show that the sequence  $r(t, \epsilon_n)$  is equicontinuous on  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $t_1, t_2 \in [0, T]$  such that  $t_1 < t_2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |r(t_1, \epsilon_n) - r(t_2, \epsilon_n)| &\leq |t_1 - t_2| + \frac{|t_1 - t_2|}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, r(s, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(s, \epsilon_n))| ds \\ &\quad + \epsilon_n \frac{|t_1 - t_2|}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} T^{\alpha-1} + \int_0^{t_1} |(t_1-s)^{\alpha-1} - (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1}| |f(s, r(s, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(s, \epsilon_n))| ds \\ &\quad + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (t_2-s)^{\alpha-1} |f(s, r(s, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(s, \epsilon_n))| ds \\ &\leq t_2 - t_1 + \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\Gamma(\alpha)T} \frac{AT^\alpha}{\alpha} + \epsilon_n \frac{t_2 - t_1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} T^{\alpha-1} + A \left( \frac{t_2^\alpha - t_1^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right) + 2A \left( \frac{(t_2 - t_1)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When  $t_2 - t_1 \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $|r(t_1, \epsilon_n) - r(t_2, \epsilon_n)| \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Therefore,  $r(t, \epsilon_n) \rightarrow r(t)$ , uniformly for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Next we show that  $r(t)$  is solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1) defined on  $[0, T]$ .

Since  $r(t, \epsilon_n)$  is solution of the fractinal boundary value problem (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(t, \epsilon_n) &= t + \epsilon_n - \frac{t}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, r(s, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(s, \epsilon_n)) ds - \epsilon \frac{tT^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, r(s, \epsilon_n), \dots, r^{[m]}(s, \epsilon_n)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the convergence in (4.4) is uniform, one can obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) &= t - \frac{t}{T\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^T (T-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, r(s), r^{[2]}(s), \dots, r^{[m]}(s)) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s, r(s), r^{[2]}(s), \dots, r^{[m]}(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that  $r(t)$  is solution of (1.1). By using (4.3) we obtain that  $u(t) \leq r(t)$ , for all  $t \in [0, T]$ .

Hence the fractional boundary value problem has a maximal solution on  $[0, T]$ .  $\square$

## 5. Comparaison theorems

The main problem of the differential inequalities is to estimate a bound for the solution set for the differential inequality related to fractional boundary value problem (1.1). In this section, we prove that the maximal and minimal solutions serve as bounds for the solutions of the related differential inequality to our problem on  $[0, T]$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *Assume that (H1) holds. Suppose that there exist  $L_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that*

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) < \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1+t^\alpha} (x_i - y_i),$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x_i \geq y_i$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $\sum_{i=1}^m L_i \leq \Gamma(1 + \alpha)$ .

Furthermore, if there exist a function  $u \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha u(t) \leq f(t, u(t), \dots, u^{[m]}(t)), & t \in [0, T], \\ u(0) = 0 & u(T) = T. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$u(t) \leq r(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $r(t)$  is a maximal solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1).

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon$  be arbitrarily small. By theorem 4.3,  $r(t, \epsilon)$  is a maximal solution of the problem (4.1) so that the limit

$$r(t) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r(t, \epsilon),$$

is uniform on  $[0, T]$  and the function  $r$  is maximal solution of the problem (1.1). Hence

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha r(t, \epsilon) = f(t, r(t, \epsilon), \dots, r^{[m]}(t, \epsilon)) + \epsilon, & t \in [0, T], \\ r(0, \epsilon) = \epsilon & r(T, \epsilon) = T. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0+}^\alpha r(t, \epsilon) > f(t, r(t, \epsilon), \dots, r^{[m]}(t, \epsilon)), & t \in [0, T], \\ r(0, \epsilon) > u(0). \end{cases}$$

Which implies that

$$u^{[i]}(0) < r^{[i]}(0, \epsilon), \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

By application of theorem (3.3) we have

$$u^{[i]}(t) < r^{[i]}(t, \epsilon), \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

In particular,

$$u(t) < r(t, \epsilon).$$

We achieve the proof of the theorem by taking  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

**Theorem 5.2.** Assume that (H1) holds. Suppose that there exist  $L_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , such that

$$f(t, x_1, \dots, x_n) - f(t, y_1, \dots, y_n) < \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{1+t^\alpha} (x_i - y_i),$$

for all  $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x_i \geq y_i$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $t \in [0, T]$ , with  $\sum_{i=1}^m L_i \leq \Gamma(1 + \alpha)$ .

Furthermore, if there exist a function  $v \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\begin{cases} D^\alpha v(t) \geq f(t, v(t), \dots, v^{[m]}(t)), & t \in [0, T], \\ v(0) > 0 & v(T) = T. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\rho(t) \leq v(t)$$

for all  $t \in [0, T]$ , where  $\rho(t)$  is a minimal solution of the fractional boundary value problem (1.1).

Note that the proof is similar and can be obtained with the same arguments with appropriate modifications.

## 6. An illustrative example

In this section, We present an example to better illustrate our existence and uniqueness results. Consider the fractional iterative differential equation

$${}_C D^{\frac{3}{2}} 0^+ x(t) = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{16} \cos(x(t)) + \frac{1}{20} \sin(x^{[2]}(t)) + \frac{1}{24} \cos(x^{[3]}(t)), \quad t \in [0, \pi], \quad (6.1)$$

associated to the boundary condtions

$$x(0) = 0, \quad x(\pi) = \pi. \quad (6.2)$$

We have

$$f(t, x, y, z) = -\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{16} \cos(x) + \frac{1}{20} \sin(y) + \frac{1}{24} \cos(z).$$

Then

$$|f(t, x, y, z)| \leq A, \quad \text{where } A = 0,4875.$$

Since  $\frac{A\pi^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} = 0,26 < \frac{1}{\alpha+1} = \frac{2}{5} = 0,4$ , then the hypothesis **(H1)** holds. By theorem 2.3, the problem (6.1)-(6.2) has a solution on  $[0, T]$ .

By the mean value theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t, x_1, x_2, x_3) - f(t, y_1, y_2, y_3)| &\leq \frac{1}{16}|x_1 - y_1| + \frac{1}{20}|x_2 - y_2| + \frac{1}{24}|x_3 - y_3| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 L_i \|x_i - y_i\|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $L_1 = \frac{1}{16}$ ,  $L_2 = \frac{1}{20}$  and  $L_3 = \frac{1}{24}$ .

Since  $\sum_{i=1}^3 L_i = 0,154166667 \leq \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2\pi^\alpha} = 0,29841551$ . Then all asumptions of theorem (2.4) hold.

Hence the fractional boundary value problem (6.1)-(6.2) has a unique solution on  $[0, T]$ , which is a maximal solution.

## 7. Conclusion

In this paper, we have looked at fractional boundary value problems in the context of Caputo derivative. Due to Schauder's fixed point and some results of fractional calculus, the existence and uniqueness of solution of our problem are established. Also, we give a necessary condition for the existence of maximal solution.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere apreciation to the referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

## References

1. A. Elmfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, *Existence and uniqueness results for Caputo fractional boundary value problems involving the p-Laplacian operator*. *U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A*. 84(1) (2022)37?46
2. A. Elmfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, *New existence results for nonlinear functional hybrid differential equations involving the  $\psi$  ? Caputo fractional derivative*. *Results in Nonlinear Analysis*. 5(1)(2022) 78-86
3. A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, *xistence results for nonlocal Cauchy problem of nonlinear ?Caputo type fractional differential equations via topological degree methods*, *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, 6(2) (2022)270?279
4. H. Azevedo Biagioni, *A Nonlinear theory of generalized functions, lecture Notes in Math. 1421, Springer, Berlin, 1990*
5. D. Baleanu, J. A. T. Machado, A. C. J. Luo, *Fractional dynamics and control*, Springer, 2012
6. V. Berinde, *Existence and approximation of solutions of some first order iterative differential equations*, *Miskilc Mathematical Notes*. 11 (2010) 13–26

7. S. Cheraïet, A. Bouakkaz, R. Khemis, *Bounded positive solutions of an iterative three-point boundary-value problem with integral boundary conditions*, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **65** (2021): 597-610
8. D. Driver, *A Two-Body Problem of Classical Electrodynamics: The One-Dimensional Case*, *Annals of Physics.* **21** (1963): 122-142
9. A. Eder, *The functional-differential equation  $x'(t) = x(x(t))$* , *J Differ Equ.* **54** (1984)390-400
10. M. Fečkan, *On a certain type of functional-differential equations*, *Math. Slovaca*, **43** (1993) 39-43
11. J. Hale, *Theory of fractional differential equations*, Springer, Varlag, New York, 1977
12. K. Hilal, A. Kajouni, *Boundary value problems for hybrid differential equations*, *Math. Model. Anal.* **5** (2015)
13. K. Hilal, A. Kajouni, *Existence of the Solution for System of Coupled Hybrid Differential Equations with Fractional Order and Nonlocal Conditions*, *Int. J. Differ. Equ.* (2016)
14. ER. Kaufmann, *Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions for a Second-Order Iterative Boundary-Value problem*, *EJDE.* (2018) 342-358
15. A. Kilbas, H. Srivastava, J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006
16. V. Lakshmikantham, S. Leela, *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Academic Press, New York 1969
17. S. Melliani, M. Elomari, K. Hilal, M. Menchih, *Fuzzy fractional differential wave equation*, *Int. J. Optim. Control: Theor. Appl.* **42** (2021)
18. V.R. Petuhov, *On a boundary value problem, (Russian. English summary)*, *Trudy Sem. Teor. Differencial. Uravneniis Otklon. Argumentom Univ. Drúzby Narodov Patrisa Limumby.* **3** (1965) 252-255
19. Agarwal, R.P., Meehan, M. and O'regan, D., *Fixed point theorems*, Cambridge university press, 2001
20. V. E. Tarasov, *Fractional dynamics: Application of fractional dynamical calculus to dynamics of particles fields, and media*, Springer, 2011
21. K. Wang, *On the equation  $x'(t) = f(x(x(t)))$* , *Funkcial. Ekvac.* 1990

Manal Menchih,  
 Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Calculus ,  
 Sultan Moulay Slimane University Beni Mellal,  
 Morocco.  
 E-mail address: Manalaysan97@gmail.com

and

K. Hilal,  
 Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Calculus ,  
 Sultan Moulay Slimane University Beni Mellal,  
 Morocco.  
 E-mail address: hilalkhalid2005@yahoo.fr

and

M. Elomari,  
 Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Calculus ,  
 Sultan Moulay Slimane University Beni Mellal,  
 Morocco.  
 E-mail address: m.elomari@usms.ma

and

A. Kajouni,  
 Laboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Calculus ,  
 Sultan Moulay Slimane University Beni Mellal,  
 Morocco.  
 E-mail address: kajjouni@gmail.com